

**Meeting with Architect on Building layout.** I met with the architects and reviewed what they had done. They have come up with conceptual plans for several building designs with space layout as blocks of space needed for particular functions, but not details on where within that space walls or other things occur. They believe the least expensive option will be one story on the area nearest the playground with a flat roof (perhaps with gables or other features to make it look more traditional. A second option is to place a two story building near the Northwestern parking lot (nearer jct Ramsdell and Rte 9) with entrance facing junction for visibility. A third option that I did not care for was to place a two story building in front of what is the current building near route 9. After discussion they will develop two additional options that envision building into the hill near the guardrail between Rec field/playground, such that bottom floor opens out at rec field/lower parking lot level and second floor on level of upper parking lot. This could also allow recreation to have access to bathrooms and/or space to use when meetings weren't occurring. The third option kept the lower story meeting room bathrooms, storage, but went up three stories. They will develop this, but it does not appear it will work for several reasons (cost and keeping offices together). They are not planning to detail how interior space is specifically laid out (beyond function areas) until the Board narrows the options. ***Which option does the Board prefer be developed further?***

**Project Delivery Method:** Second major item is to discuss and perhaps decide on whether to go design-bid, construction manager or some variant of design build. If the Board is going construction manager that decision should be made sooner rather than later. ***Does the Board wish to make decisions on narrowing the options?***

**Survey RFP.** We are reaching the end of our multi-year agreements with Doucet and Norway Plains. I would like to extend for another year at the same terms. We have been satisfied with the work of both. ***Does the Board have any objection?***

**Public Works Bids:** Peter has two projects on which he has received bids (Line Striping and re-roof the salt shed)? ***Does the Board accept his recommendation for acceptance of the low bids?***

**Library Alternate Trustees:** The library trustees request that the selectmen appoint Leigh Elliott and Althea Sheaff to the two vacant trustee alternate positions. ***Does the Board wish to make these appointments?***

**Information:**

**Discussion of expansion of parking at Gym/Library/ECLC.** Parking is an issue at times. There was a plan developed by an engineer in the past that shows an expansion of the parking lot to go from 48 to 70 spaces (and close entrance nearest to ECLC, separating it clearly from entrance to school. I believe the Town and/or School may want to pursue the possibility of funding an expansion on that parking lot. The expansion basically is proposed toward the footpath between the Rec and ECLC, then having parking around the edges and a lane of parking down the middle.

**Planning Board Site Review on Highway Garage:** I have requested being on the first August meeting for the site review. We will present 3 plans to represent what we believe the three likely footprint/roof drainage options are for the garage.

**Former Town Hall:** When the asbestos was removed a lot of the old furniture and stuff left in the building was piled in such a way that it was hard to get at files. We have disposed of this material so we can now more easily get at the records in the building.

***Nonpublic for land issue associated with Route 125.***