

Nonpublic requested for hiring and reputation end of meeting**Recess requested for 7 PM for labor negotiation strategy discussion with attorney**

Public Hearing on Road Policy: I would like one further amendment. After making the corrections it was noted the policy could still be interpreted that notices needed to be mailed to everyone on the road. I suggest the wording change to give notice to the abutters of the property and the road association if there is one.

Here is the current wording: "5. Public Hearing. Prior to the issuance of its decision on the application, the Board of Selectmen shall hold a public hearing with at least seven (7) days prior **notice to the applicant** and to each owner of property abutting the Class VI or Private Road in question. The notice shall also be posted in at least two (2) public places within the Town."

I suggest the following change starting bold section "notice to the applicant, notice to the road association if a contact is known and posting in at least two(2) public places." Also I would like the Board to authorize the Chairman or Vice-Chairman to sign the indemnification/road maintenance document for recording at the registry. ***What does the Board wish to do on these two issues?***

Bid opening for demolition of former Town Hall. I have had a number of different suggestions on how to save money on the demolition so the bids may not be all apples to apples. The general consensus of those reviewing the site (and an expected alternate from some of the bidders) is that where the plan is for this to be a parking lot, the lowest cost option will be simply breaking up the on grade floor in place, crushing the rest of the masonry to under 4 inches and using that to fill and grade the area, especially the cellar of the older part. While that would mean the septic would not go in this area, several sources have indicated putting the septic under the parking lot is an expensive option, especially given the amount of land that is available. The material in the walls could also be used at the Highway Garage to grind and mix in road base to reduce disposal costs of the demolition debris. ***What action does the Board wish to take upon the bids?***

Meeting with Alan Gould MRI I anticipate much of the meeting about process to be in public, although if the Board wishes to discuss individuals, the Board may wish to go into nonpublic with him.

INFORMATION

Jason LaCombe of SMP: He will come (at his own expense) to the Board of Selectmen meeting August 17 to discuss with the board the various options for proceeding, including costs of developing a list of specifications to use for design build and completing the documents to go design-bid. As he points out the Town will pay for design either way, just in the latter it is part of the contract price from the firm doing the design build. Without some benchmarks or specifications for expectations, it will be very difficult to control quality and expectations on the building.

Hearing on Mendum Pond Dam is going to be at Middle School simultaneous to Selectmen meeting: Lake will need to be drawn down about 20 feet after Labor Day to fix dam. This will reduce the area of the lake by about 75%. It could be empty for over 2 years. If so, we will need to adjust the assessments

of all the homes on the pond in 2016 and beyond. Representatives of NH Department of Environmental Services will present the plan and answer questions.

Advisory Budget Committee: The terms of two members and the alternate have expired. I would suggest talking with Peter Royce on attendance patterns and asking if there are other issues before acting. Peter Royce and Tony Gaudiello April 2016 term expiration. Robert Hackett and Dale Sylvia expired April 2015 as did alternate Steve Saunders.

Solar: Carla Jennison's email:

To answer a few of your questions that you posed, we believe that the petitioners intent was to stop a ground mounted installation at the public safety complex. The roof mounted option, seemed much more acceptable to those we spoke with. Beyond the use of the location at the safety complex building most individuals we were in contact with were concerned regarding the details surrounding the lease agreement, to include:

- * lease details
- *length of the time of the lease
- *negotiated electrical rate with Barrington Power
- *overall cost or savings to the taxpayers in the future
- *comprehensive site review that would address property values and taxes regarding this project
- *concerns about visual nuisances

We would encourage the board to seek out other solar companies as we understand it, Barrington Solar Company was chosen solely via their ability to negotiate a lease that was in keeping with the boards requirements within the 2013 town vote.

Solar: Jack Bingham's email

Gentlemen, I would like to reiterate that we require some form of signed MOU to proceed with the planning required for this project to be presented at Town meeting. It is clear that some respondents do not understand that our bid was the lower of the two and with preferable terms even though this has been presented numerous times in public. The state received only \$4 million in the latest REF auction where they would normally get \$10-15 million. Needless to say with the mad rush to install solar this year it is unlikely there will be any money next year at all. We've already been told there will be no more through the end of the year. If there was some signed agreement we would proceed with the necessary steps to be ready for town meeting but it is likely the rate would now need to be \$0.14. This we assume would trigger a new bid process that we would not have any desire to participate in.

... [projected] saving a potential 1/2 million dollars on electricity over the next 40 years. If you wish to consider the options, we are open to a meeting with all the selectmen to make a plan. If not, let's all just walk away.