Town of Barrington, New Hampshire ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTAPPLICATION



Office Use Only

Case #: <u>269-2-RC-24000</u>
Date Received:

Amount \$.510.00 Time Received: Paid: Received:

APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION

APPLICANT: Robert Diberto	Phone #603-781-4321
Address of Applicant: 334 Durham Road, Madl	bury, NH 03823
E-Mail Address:stonegreyhouse@gmail.com	
PROPERTY OWNER (if different from applicant):	
Address:	Phone #
E-Mail Address:	
PROPERTY/PARCEL INFORMATION	
Address: Route 4	
Brief Directions: 200'+- east of the Barrin	ngton/Nottingham town line on the
south side of Route 4	
Zoning District: RCAssessor's Map #269	Lot(s) #2
ΓΥΡΕ OF APPEAL: (Please check one)	
xVariance	from Section $4 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot (5)$ of the Zoning Ordinance
Special Exception	per Sectionof the Zoning Ordinance
Appeal of Administrative Decision	regarding Sectionof the Zoning Ordinance
Equitable Waiver	per Sectionof the Zoning Ordinance
DESCRIBE BRIEFLY YOUR PLANS FOR THE Plans on additional paper attached to the application of the subdivision, with the two baccess from a roadway and easement longer the subdivision.	on.) back lots obtaining their driveway ocated in Nottingham. These will be

Revised 10/03/2023

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Please check off)

B. Seven (7) Copies of Completed Zoning Board of AdjustmentApplication Note: Only include those pages of the application that are relevant to your request.	X
Please do not include the abutters list with the 9 copies (include only as part of original signed application (Part A above)).	X
C. Context or Locus Map (Show Surrounding Zoning Districts)	
D. Tax Map (Copy can be attained by the Assessing Office)	************************
E. Existing Conditions Site Plan or Recorded Subdivision Plan to include well and septic location (may be found at Strafford County Registry of Deeds).	×
F. Seven (7) Copies of a plot plan drawn in accordance with a boundary line to scale not less than 1" = 40'. They need to include the lot dimensions including area in square feet, and also the size and location of existing and proposed buildings if applicable, including setbacks. Site Plan - Drawn and Stamped by Registered Land Surveyor a. 24" X 36" - 2 Copies b. 11' X 17" - 6 Copies c. 8 1/2 " X 11" - 1 Copy d. PDF copy emailed to Town Planner @ planning@barrington.nh.gov	<u> </u>
 G. Seven (7) copies of colored photos: Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit Up to four photos may be shown per 8 ½" X 11" page size. a. Photos are to be taken looking toward the site and adjacent to the site b. Photos should show adjacent improvements and existing on-site cond c. Number the photographs according to view. 	e. ditions.
H. List of abutters List of Certified Abutters (Include Applicant and all licensed professionals, i.e., engineer, architect, land surveyor, or soil scientist, whose seals are affixed to the plan, as well as any holder of a conservation or agricultural easement.) Including addresses and map and lot number of pathat adjoin or is directly across the street or stream from the land under consideration by the local land use board of the subject property.	x arcels
I. Mailing Labels in triplicate with abutters names and addresses for notices.	X

J. TOTAL FEE paid by cash or check made payable to "Town of Barrington"

1.	Application fee of:		
	\$150.00 VARIANCE	\$	150.00
	\$150.00 SPECIAL EXCEPTION	\$_	
	\$150.00 APPEAL FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION	\$_	
	\$150.00 EQUITABLE WAIVER	\$	
2.	Certified letters fee: # of abutters 9 X \$10.00 =	\$_	90.00
3.	Applicant & Owner mailing fee: 2 X \$10.00 =	\$	20.00
4.	Foster's newspaper public notice	\$	250.00

TOTAL FEE \$ 510.00

SIGNATURE PAGE

THIS SECTION OF THE APPLICATION MUST BE COMPLETED BY ALL APPLICANTS

I, the undersigned Applicant, hereby certify that the information contained within this Application is complete and accurate, and I acknowledge that I have read and understand the Application Instructions, which are set forth on the first two pages of this Application form.

Instructions, which are set forth on the firs	t two pages of this Application form.
Signature of Applicant	*Both Signatures Required
Signature of Owner*	
submitted at the time of the application. **	
AUTHORIZATION	N TO ENTER SUBJECT PROPERTY
and other pertinent Town Departments and application, including performing inspection	mbers of the Barrington Zoning Board, Land Use Department d boards to enter my property for the purpose of evaluating this cons during the application phase, post-approval phase, it is understood that these individuals must use all reasonable property.
Signature of Property Owner:	Date: 2-26-24
Signature of Land Use Staff:	Date:

VARIANCE REQUIREMENTS

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY VARIANCE APPLICANTS ONLY

The Zoning Board of Adjustment may not authorize a zoning ordinance variation unless ALL of the following criteria are met. Please provide evidence that the requested Variance complies by addressing the issues below.

A. Variance Requested	
A variance is requested from Section(s) $\frac{4.1.3(5)}{}$ of the Zoning Ordinance to permit:	
SEE ATTACHED NARRATIVE	
B. The Five Variance Criteria (as set forth in NH RSA 674:33, I(b))	w ere manu gal
Please demonstrate compliance with the following:	
1. Granting the variance will not be contrary to the public interest.	
	en enniverselen
2. Granting the variance would be consistent with the spirit of the Ordinance.	
3. Granting of the variance would do substantial justice.	
4. Granting the variance will not result in diminution of surrounding property values.	

NOTE: please complete EITHER paragraph 5A OR paragraph 5B. Staff recommends that you complete paragraph 5B only if you feel you cannot meet the requirements set forth in paragraph 5A. 5A. Literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship: (i) The following special conditions of the property distinguish it from other properties in the area: and No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general purposes of the ordinance (II)provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because: and The proposed use is a reasonable one because: (III)OR If the criteria in subparagraph 5A above are not established, explain how, owing to special 5B. conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it:

The state of the s

ABUTTER LIST

THIS SECTION OF THE APPLICATION MUST BE COMPLETED BY ALL APPLICANTS

Pursuant to RSA 676:7 as amended, the State Law of New Hampshire, the Town of Barrington is required to notify the applicant and every abutter of the public hearing by certified mail. The cost of required publication or posting of notice, and the cost of mailing said notices, shall be paid by the applicant.

Refer to RSA 672:3 as amended, for the definition of an Abutter.

PLEASE NOTE: Abutter ownership information for lots located in Barrington, shall be obtained through the Town's Assessment Office.

Tax Map	Lot No.	Owner(s) of Record	Mailing Address
~ ~~~ ~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
B257411	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
** *** *******************************			
		en anna man an anna Sanna na Ceilliailli Shona a Mhoi Birliain an Shonan Allachna Anna an Anna Birliain (na an	
		destina tyle eri san elementan elemente sive – elemente sive elemente eleme	
en ann airlean ann an airlean, agus ann agus ann ann agus agus ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
***************************************	**************************************	**************************************	

***************************************	·	and the second s	
		THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	
		0 A8 - 0	
2 MOTO OF THE PARTY OF THE PART			
·		The state of the s	
Commence of the Commence of th			
Signature of	Property (Owner:	Date:
Signature of	`Land Use	Staff: Barbara	a fruing Date: 2/28/2024



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 Second Crown Point Road Barrington, NH 03825 Phone: (603) 332-2863 Fax: (603) 335-4623

www.BerrySurveying.Com

To:

Ms. Vanessa Price, Town Planner

Town of Barrington, 4 Signature Drive, P.O. Box 660

Barrington, NH 03825

Subject:

Robert L. Diberto

NH Route 4, Tax Map 269, Lot 2, Barrington, NH

To Whom it May Concern,

Please note that I, Robert L. Diberto grant permission to Berry Surveying & Engineering to apply for any and all local, state, and federal permits as may be needed to develop Tax Map 269, Lot 2 Barrington, NH. This extends to any Project Manager Level employee or above. Though not an exhaustive list, it is anticipated that local variances, special exceptions, 9.6 applications, conditional use applications, state and federal permits may be needed and authorization is given for signature on application forms.

Robert Diberto 334 Durham Road

Madbury, NH 03823

STATE OF NEW HAMSPHIRE COUNTY OF STRAFFORD

Korbert Di Billo

On this the 23 day of February before me, the undersigned office, personally appeared, Robert L. Diberto known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged that they subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged that they executed the same for the purposes therein contained.

In witness whereof I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

-Notary Public / Justice of the Peace

My Commission Expires: 5-18-27

Kenneth A Berry JUSTICE OF THE PEACE State of New Hampshire My Commission Expires 5/18/2027



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 Second Crown Point Road Barrington, NH 03825 Phone: (603) 332-2863 Fax: (603) 335-4623

www.BerrySurveying.Com

February 12, 2024

Abutters List

Owner of Record

Tax Map 269, Lot 2

Robert L DiBerto 334 Durham Rd Madbury, NH 03823 Book 4490, Page 869

Barrington Abutters

Tax Map 269, Lot 3

Wilhelm Woods Realty LLC 281 Main St Durham, NH 03824 Book 3923, Page 487

Tax Map 269, Lot 1-3

Camp McCampo 2023 Rev Tst Maddie L & Amy M Campo 319 Old Concord Tpk Barrington, NH 03825 Book 5132, Page 385

Tax Map 269, Lot 8

James & Carina Haley 366 Old Concord Tpk Barrington, NH 03825

Tax Map 269, Lot 11-1

Heather Cooper 336 Old Concord Tpk Barrington, NH 03825 Book 3303, Page 683

Tax Map 269, Lot 11-2

Daniel E Ayer PO Box 1679 Dover, NH 03821 Book 3180, Page 481

Nottingham Abutters

Tax Map 6, Lot 14

James & Carina Haley 366 Old Concord Tpk Barrington, NH 03825

Tax Map 7, Lot 1-A

Wilhem Woods Realty LLC Geoffrey N Clark DVM 165 Industrial Park Dr Unit 1 Dover, NH 03820 Book 5213, Page 722

Tax Map 7, Lots 1N-4, 1N-5, 1N-6, 1N-7

Robert L DiBerto 334 Durham Rd Madbury, NH 03823

Tax Map 7, 2N-2

Greta K & Devin J McNeil 4 Sutton St Nottingham, NH 03290 Book 6409, Page 2344

Municipalities

Town of Nottingham ATTN: Town Clerk 139 Stage Rd Nottingham, NH 03290



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

Professionals

John P Hayes, III, CSS 7 Limestone Way N Hampton, NH 03862

Kenneth A. Berry PE LLS Christopher R. Berry, Project Manager Berry Surveying & Engineering 335 Second Crown Point Road Barrington, NH 03825



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

335 Second Crown Point Road Barrington, NH 03825 Phone: (603) 332-2863 Fax: (603) 335-4623 www.BerrySurveying.Com crberry@metrocast.net February 23, 2024

Town of Barrington Zoning Board 4 Signature Drive Barrington, NH 03825

Re: Minor Back Lot Subdivision (Variance)

Robert L. Diberto

U.S. Route 4/Old Turnpike Road

Barrington N.H.

Dear Chairwoman and members of the Zoning Board,

On behalf of Robert Diberto, Berry Surveying & Engineering (BS&E) submits for Zoning Board review of a Variance to allow driveway access over land which is not the legal frontage as part of a subdivision of Tax Map 269, Lot 2 and which is not part of the neck of the back lots.

Background and General Narrative:

Robert Diberto owns the parcel known as Tax Map 269, Lot 2 which has frontage on Route 4. The rear of this property is the Nottingham Town Line. The parcel has been surveyed by Berry Surveying & Engineering in October of 2018 and a wetlands analysis was conducted by John P. Hayes III, CWS in spring of 2021. There is a large wetland system bi-secting the lot. The site slopes from Route 4 to the rear of the lot. This lot is mostly wooded with a tree line around an existing trail off Route 4 North-West of Warren Road. This lot is currently vacant land. We have supplied a 4-sheet plan set along with this proposal.

Proposal:

Overall, the applicant is proposing to subdivide the existing land into three lots using the back lot ordinance. Two lots will utilize the back lot ordinance and be for residential use and the remaining land will meet the standards of the Reginal Commercial zone and be used for commercial use. This subdivision application is in process with the Planning Board. As a part of the process, we are requesting a Special Exception from this board for the two back lots to not have their access off their legal frontage. This variance request is to Section 4.1.3(5) which requires driveways for back lots to be centered in the neck of the back lot as much as possible. These back lots are proposed to take their driveway access from Lipizzan Drive through the rear of the lots and through Nottingham. This driveway access will allow for development of productive upland areas without the need for wetlands impacts.

Five Variance Criteria

1.) Granting the variance will not be contrary to the public interest.

Granting this variance will not be contrary to the public interest. The public interest is in providing safe access to productive upland areas and in protecting the wetland systems of the town. The proposal includes providing access from an approved subdivision in the Town of Nottingham and avoids wetland impacts. The fact that the driveway is not within the neck of the back lots does not substantially change the locality in a marked way. In fact, due to the internal nature of the project and subdivision in Nottingham there is no change to current public infrastructure and will not be seen by the general public.

2.) Granting the variance would be consistent with the spirit of the Ordinance.

Granting the variance would be consistent with the spirit of the Ordinance. The spirit of the ordinance is to ensure that the driveway placement is safe and constructable while not encroaching on abutting lands. In this case, the neck of the back lots are bisected by a wetland which is 450'+- at the narrowest point. This wetland is a mix of poorly drained and very poorly drained soils and construction of a driveway across this expanse would be very detrimental to the wetland system. We have chosen an area for a shared driveway for the two back lots which requires no wetland disturbance and therefore the request is consistent with the spirit of the Ordinance.

3.) Granting the variance would do substantial justice.

Granting the variance would do substantial justice. In this case, substantial justice would be providing access to productive uplands on the lot without the need for a large wetland disturbance for construction of a driveway. There is no loss to or undermining of the ordinance itself that is outweighed by the applicant's ability to reach productive developable uplands.



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

4.) Granting the variance will not result in diminution of surrounding property values.

Granting the variance will be will not result in the diminution of surrounding property values. The streetscape from Route 4 will remain unchanged in this area and the lots in Nottingham which would be affected by the easement are under common ownership with this applicant and have yet to be built out. Therefore, there will be no abutting property values which would be adversely affected. The proposed residential use is permitted on the land and is congruent with surrounding land uses in the area, and therefore does not create any diminution of property value.

- 5a.) Literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship:
 - i) The following special conditions of the property distinguish it from other properties in the area:

The special conditions of the property lie in both the large wetland ecosystem found on the lot and the marooned upland area found between this wetland and the town line. This upland area is unable to be accessed without a substantial impact to the wetland system or without access provided in the way that this request delineates. The parcel is special in that it has the ability to access this upland from land that is currently under common

And

ii) No fair and substantial relationship exists between the general purposes of the ordinance provision and the specific application of that provision to the property because:

The intention of the Ordinance is to ensure driveways are created in such a manner as to be safe and constructable while not encroaching over the boundary lines with grading, snow storage, utilities, and any other construction activities or driveway appurtenances. In this case, a driveway could not be constructed within the neck that would not involve significant wetland impacts which would outweigh the benefit of the driveway being located within the neck.



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

And

iii) The proposed use is a reasonable one because:

The proposed use is a reasonable one because it allows for driveway access to productive upland areas utilizing an approved subdivision roadway in the Town of Nottingham rather than either requiring a large wetland disturbance or having the upland areas remain inaccessible. We feel that we have chosen not only the more reasonable use, but also the most responsible use.

OR

5b) If the criteria in subparagraph 5A above are not established, explain how, owing to special conditions of the property that distinguish it from other properties in the area, the property cannot be reasonably used in strict conformance with the ordinance, and a variance is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of it.

There are special conditions of this property that require a variance, and is therefore necessary to enable a reasonable use of the property. Without this variance, the applicant would be forced to either undertake a large permanent wetland disturbance or to have productive upland areas remain inaccessible. This hardship is unnecessary if the variance is granted.

We hope that the board looks favorably upon the request, and BS&E looks forward to working with the board on this application.

Respectfully Submitted,

BERRY/\$URYEYING & ENGINEERING

Christopher R. Berry Principal, President



BERRY SURVEYING & ENGINEERING

4.1.2....Lot Frontage

The side of a parcel used to satisfy the frontage requirement specified in the Table of Dimensional Standards, or other alternative standards, must be the side of the parcel used to provide vehicular access to the property. The Zoning Board of Adjustment may permit, by grant of a Special Exception, that a different side of the property be used for access because site constraints make using the otherwise required frontage inconsistent with protecting the safety, health and welfare of the public.

4.1.3.....Back Lots

For residential subdivisions, up to two (2) back lots may be allowed, notwithstanding the frontage requirements specified in the Table of dimensional Standards.

- **4.1.3(1).....** The parcel must have at least fifty (50) feet of frontage on an existing Class V or better road.
- **4.1.3(2).....** If there are two (2) back lots, the ownership of the neck and frontage shall be owned equally by both back lots.
- 4.1.3(3).....The area of the neck cannot be used in any way to calculate the minimum lot size.
- 4.1.3(4).....Back lots are not allowed off of cul-de-sacs.
- 4.1.3(5).......Driveways must be centered in this neck as much as possible and the neck kept clear and maintained for safety.
- **4.1.3(6).....** A permanent road agreement will be executed, shown on the plan and recorded in the deed of each lot.

4.2 Minimum Lot Size

The minimum dimensional standards for lots prescribed in Table 2, the Table of Dimensional Standards, shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of this Section. These dimensional standards may be reduced for either a Conservation Subdivision, approved by the Planning Board pursuant to Article 6 or a Planned Unit Development (PUD) approved by the Planning Board pursuant to Article 16.

4.2.1....Standards for the GR and NR District

- 4.2.1(2)..........For each additional one (1) bedroom dwelling unit under a common roof, which does not qualify as an Accessory Dwelling Unit under this Ordinance, the minimum standards cited in Paragraph 1) above shall be increased in the following proportions. Minimum lot size shall be increased by 40,000 sq. ft., the area free of Hydric A soils, open water, bogs, marshes, rivers, streams, or exposed ledge shall be increased by 30,000 sq. ft. and the area of upland soils shall be increased by 15,000 sq. ft. Any dwelling unit created under this provision may contain a total of no more than two additional habitable rooms (such as a kitchen and living room), in addition to a bedroom. No additional habitable rooms may be created in said dwelling units at any time in the future.

