

MEETING MINUTES FOR BARRINGTON PLANNING BOARD IN PERSON LOCATION

Early Childhood Learning Center 77 Ramsdell Lane Barrington, NH 03825

OR

You are invited to appear by audio phone or computer see below:

The public has access to contemporaneously listen and, if necessary, participate in the meeting through dialing the following phone #603-664-0240 and Conference ID: 666 028 615# OR link bit.ly/BarrPB20210803

(Approved September 7, 2021) Tuesday, August 3, 2021 6:30 p.m.

MEETING MINUTES NOTE: THESE ARE SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES ONLY. A COMPLETE COPY OF THE MEETING AUDIO IS AVAILABLE AT THE LANDUSE DEPARTMENT.

Members Present

Jeff Brann, Vice Chair- filled in for J. Jennison Chair Steve Diamond-Remotely Ron Allard Donna Massucci-filled in for B. Hackett Andrew Melnikas-filled in for C. Krans

Absent Members

B. Hackett

C. Krans

J. Jennison, Chair

A. Knapp, ex-officio

Town Planner: Marcia Gasses

Staff: Barbara Irvine

Town Administrator: Conner MacIver

MINUTES REVIEW AND APPROVAL

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi August 3, 2021/pg. 1 of 9

1. Approval of July 20, 2021, meeting minutes.

A motion was made by <u>R. Allard</u> and seconded by <u>A. Melnikas</u> that without objection the meeting minutes of July 20, 2021 be approved as written. The motion carried unanimously.

Roll Call:

Jeff Brann-Yay

Steve Diamond-Yay (Remotely-Covid reasons)

Ron Allard-Yay

Donna Massucci-Yay

Andrew Melnikas - Yay

ACTION ITEMS

- 2. 105-2&13-GR/HCO/WPO-21-LL (Owners: Dan C. & Debra Cui) Request by applicant to adjust boundary lines between lots 2 and 13 at 761 Washington Street in the General Residential and Highway Commercial Overlay Zoning District. (Map 105, Lots 2 & 13) BY: David W. Vincent, LLS, Land Surveying Services; PO Box 1622; Dover, NH 03821.*
- J. Brann gave a brief description of the application.

Dan Cui, homeowner, asked the Board if they could continue the case due to additional information needed. Dan Cui explained that they would like to continue to the next meeting on September 7, 2021.

A motion was made by <u>R. Allard</u> and seconded by <u>A. Melnikas</u> to approve the case be continued until September 7, 2021. The motion carried unanimously.

Roll Call:

Jeff Brann-Yay

Steve Diamond-Yay (Remotely-Covid reasons)

Ron Allard-Yay

Donna Massucci-Yay

Andrew Melnikas-Yay

- 3. 251-63-RC-21-DesignPreliminaryReview (Owners: Steven & Pamela Lenzi) Request by applicant Robert Russell from 2A Tactical, LLC for a Design Preliminary Review to construct a 6,000 s.f. will have 2 story building with 11,080 s.f. useable space. The building will have 4,120 s.f. office/classroom space and 2,624 s.f. of retail space, 3,376 s.f. of warehouse/storage space with 960 s.f. of gunsmithing space and have classes with maximum 20 students. located at Calef Highway (aka Route 125) and Bumford Road on 8.6 acres in the Regional Commercial Zoning District. (Map 251, Lot 63). BY: Scott Frankiewicz, LLS & Bernie Temple, PE; New Hampshire Land Consultants, PLLC.
- J. Brann gave a brief description of the application.

Scott Frankiewicz from New Hampshire Land Consultants represented the applicant. Also present were Robert Russell from 2A Tactical, LLC., Dewayne Watson from Unified Builders, Inc and the existing landowners of the property Steven and Pamela Lenzi. Scott explained that he was the for a Preliminary Review Design for A2 Tactical, LLC. Scott explained that the property was located at the corner of Calef Highway (aka Route 125) and Bumford Road. Scott explained that they are proposing to construct a 6,000 s.f. building with a second story with access from Bumford Road. Scott explained that there would be a north exit onto Calef Highway (aka Route 125). Scott showed the Board the concrete pad with a storage area with storage containers and the area would be fenced in. Access to the site would be from Bumford Road and they are proposing 39 parking spaces where 29 spaces are required by the uses in the building. Scott explained that they

do plan on holding classes. The parking would be 12 parking spaces in the front, 4 on the side, and the balance would be in the larger area shown on the plan. Scott showed on the plan the loading area and explained that the trucks would pull off Calef Highway (aka Route 125) then they would exit going north. The trucks would be backing in; this would only be once or twice a month. Scott explained that they have submitted a NHDOT Permit for Calef Highway access. They have received comments back from NHDOT and would be working with them. Scott explained that they would be working with the Town on the Bumford Road access and that this was a Class 6 road. Scott explained that would be a swale on the Calef Highway (aka Route 125) side catch basin. Scott explained that the landscaping in the back was a filled site, and they would be cutting in the front with a bunch of trees. Scott explained that they are working on the lighting with Sharon. Scott explained that there would be some pole lights in the parking lot and on the building with lights from the building to the storage area. There was 280' from the edge of the parking to the adjusted buffer; this area would remain wooded as it was now. Scott went over the several rendering plans that he supplied to the Board of the different parts of the building. Scott explained that they would have a roof over the storage units.

S. Diamond asked if there would any discharging of firearms on the site.

Robert Russell, owner of 2A Tactical, stated that there would be discharging of firearms on the site.

J. Brann asked if there was going to be a range there.

Robert stated no.

J. Brann asked if it was going to be into a container?

Robert explained that they would have a test fire room that would be inside the facility and the walls would be designed for containing live fire and there would be ventilation. Robert explained that this was for the gunsmith and explained that they fix guns every day. Robert explained that this was not a range; it's for test fires with no public access. Robert explained that this would not be able to be heard from the outside and there would be 360-degree protection.

S. Diamond asked if the public would be using it [range] in the future.

Robert explained that this was for the gunsmith and was not a gun range for the public; this was for employees. Robert explained that they have no plans on having an indoor or outdoor range.

- J. Brann suggested putting this as a note on the plan.
- S. Diamond asked where would flammable and explosive materials be stored in large qualities.

Robert explained that they would have ammunition stored like other retail businesses stored and would need to check with ATF if there was guidance on certain quantities. Robert explained that the bulk would be stored in the shipping containers, and he would prefer that most of the materials to be out of the building. Robert explained the materials that are ready to fire are safe; it's the components that are dangerous.

<u>J. Brann</u> asked if part of the class would involve loading.

Robert stated no. They would be teaching loading what would use a substitute for the gun powder. Robert explained that they would have ammunition in the retail store and there would be some in bulk in other areas. Robert explained that the main bulk was going to be in the shipping container garden in the backyard and asked S. Diamond if that helps.

<u>S. Diamond</u> agreed and asked if people were making firearms. <u>S. Diamond</u> asked what constitutes what was the firearm. Does it have a serial number, and can you mix and match parts? S. Diamond asked what were the legalities of this?

Robert explained that they are a Class 7 manufacturer and stated that they do manufacture firearms. It is strictly regulated by the laws and regulations set by the ATF along with some by the State of New Hampshire. Robert explained that 99.9% of this comes from the ATF. Robert explained that generally a firearm was a serialized item and explained that a silencer was a firearm.

<u>J. Brann</u> asked if they needed to be permitted for this facility from ATF.

Robert expressed that they already are and explained that they already possessed all these permits now.

<u>J. Brann</u> expressed although these are interesting, they are not within the Board's purview to regulate.

Robert explained that they have the permits now and they are carrying over the exact same operation to the new location; the only change would be the address. Robert explained that they could work with Chief Walker and if he had some NFPA information they would follow it.

Scott explained that this business has been in Town since 2015 and they have outgrown their space.

<u>D. Massucci</u> asked about in the back where they are going to have the shooting.

Robert explained that was a 30' long concrete walled room.

<u>D. Massucci</u> asked how was set up and asked if they have a tank or something like a ballistic container.

Robert explained that there was a commercially built item called a bullet bunker. Robert explained that this was something that they purchased that are made for the government and police departments that was rated up to a certain caliber that was OSHA approved.

D. Massucci asked about the ventilation.

Robert explained that the room itself would have its own ventilation his employees who work there have a blood test once a year to make sure that levels are good to go. Robert explained that they have to get a baseline and then they have to check annually; he believed that this was OSHA standard. Robert explained that at a minimum they wear gloves.

<u>D. Massucci</u> expressed to make sure they have enough electrical service in there.

Robert explained that the actual device that captures the bullet was hundred percent self-contained and does not use any power; it's not plugged in.

<u>D. Massucci</u> expressed that they have no air.

Robert explained that it weighs three thousand pounds, and they have a strong ventilation system that they turn on. Robert explained that doesn't particularly draw a lot of wattage.

D. Massucci asked where does that go.

Robert explained that goes outside.

J. Brann asked if that required a Title 5 clean air permit.

Robert stated that he couldn't answer that and explained that they are also working with an engineering team.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi August 3, 2021/pg. 4 of 9

- <u>J. Brann</u> expressed that you have to address this if you have efficient sources and if they see certain values that you have to do in your reporting.
- R. Allard asked about the downdraft and should be negative pressure as well.

Robert explained that there was some venting behind where you going to have a lattice of this bulletproof material. Robert explained that the air would be much like you feel now if the target was down there. Robert explained that it was on a timer, and no one would hear outside.

R. Allard expressed that the rendering was good, but he didn't see emergency exits.

Scott explained that there's at least three on the back side of the building showed the locations on the renderings.

A. Melnikas expressed that this would need to pass by Chief Walker.

Robert stated that they did.

A. Melnikas asked what Chief Walker said; did he want protection, no sprinklers, but fire alarm full station.

Robert expressed he wouldn't have a building without smoke alarms.

- A. Melnikas asked if the building wasn't big enough for sprinklers.
- <u>J. Brann</u> asked if there's a 20' clear pavement space up front of the building edge between the front and the edge of the pavement. <u>J. Brann</u> expressed that he wanted to ensure that they [Fire Department] could have access 360 degrees around the building in case there was a fire.
- S. Diamond explained that there was a typo; it said silencer shop and it wasn't spelled correct.

Robert explained to that they are one of the largest silencers dealers in the country.

- <u>S. Diamond</u> asked about the access. He knows that it's a Class 6 road and wanted to make sure that the site lines from all the directions are clear because there's not much space approaching from the property.
- <u>J. Brann</u> explained that he thought they discussed that they would ensure that vegetative buffer was not going to inhibit the site lines.

Scott stated that it was on the plan.

J. Brann asked if the State gave an indication about issuing a driveway cut.

Scott explained that he signed the full highway and fire department permits, spoke to NHDOT Jim Hewitt, and sent to him a few things to review. Scott explained that this property has been in existence since the early 1900's and no permits have ever been pulled so he didn't see a problem. Scott explained that they need to work with the Town on Bumford Road.

J. Brann expressed that there was some discussion by the Road Agent and who was responsible for the road now.

Scott explained that was a Class 6 road.

M. Gasses explained that it was a class 6 road, and the Town does not maintain it but it's a Town road. M. Gasses explained they would be looking at upgrades that would be requirements that would be part of the application.

<u>J. Brann</u> explained that they would be requirements to upgrade to their site and the Road Agent suggested to the entrance of Bumford Road. <u>J. Brann</u> explained that he would agree with the Road Agent that they would like to see upgrade in that area and not interested in having the Town maintain that road.

Dewayne asked that they could pave 16' into the entrance of the site.

- <u>J. Brann</u> expressed something like that and maybe pavement to the storage container entrance. <u>J. Brann</u> explained at least address the entrance and turning off to Bumford Road. <u>J. Brann</u> asked if there was going to be trucks coming in and out with concerns of traffic back up.
- R. Allard expressed that he felt the Fire Chief would want access to the storage area.

Scott stated that the Road Agent and NHDOT had the same concerns; they work would with them.

<u>J. Brann</u> read from the Town Planner's comments asking if the buffer was created pre-buffer.

Scott explained that they have a 50' buffer and it was created in 1929 as far as he went back.

- M. Gasses explained that it makes a difference if it was a lot of record with no changes because it would not apply the buffers.
- <u>J. Brann</u> explained that there was a requirement to have legend on each sheet and if you have several sheets, you can ask for a waiver. <u>J. Brann</u> asked about on the illumination plans, with the requirements asking what the lighting would be in the parking areas and the entrances, and also shown on the elevation renderings of the building that shows where the illumination shows on the building. <u>J. Brann</u> asked that they look at what the Town illumination requirements are for the plans.

Robert explained that he was hoping to keep the building well at night; not bright like a plane landing and asked if it has to tone down at night.

<u>J. Brann</u> explained that the Board would need to know what the light levels would be per the Town requirements on the ground and around the building.

Robert explained that they are not shooting them right into someone's home.

- J. Brann explained that the lights need to point down, and he explained that we're trying to reduce light pollution.
- J. Brann explained that they need an extensive drainage analysis as well as plans and the Board would expect the details.

Scott explained that they have supplied a part set.

J. Brann explained they would need to show the detention pond.

Scott explained that they are working on it.

<u>J. Brann</u> asked if they were going to have maintenance requirements that would go with it. <u>J. Brann</u> explained that they would need the same thing for the vegetative buffer. <u>J. Brann</u> asked about doing gun manufacturing he assumed you have lathes.

Robert stated that they don't, but they plan to get one.

J. Brann asked if they use cutting oil for those type of things.

Robert stated yes.

<u>J. Brann</u> asked how the oils and other chemicals would be stored and how would they be disposed. <u>J. Brann</u> asked how they would be sure that they don't migrate outside the facility.

Robert explained that they have an evaporator if they have a problem per the State of New Hampshire to have an evaporator so if you sweep up the floor areas that have contaminants, they don't dump that in the trash. Robert explained that they dump that into a ten-thousand-dollar machine at his current shop and this machine was his treatment machine for heavy metals. Robert explained that they don't have a machine that actively runs cutting oil. Robert explained that they would make sure everything would be properly taken care of and they have a spill kit.

<u>J. Brann</u> asked, looking at the renderings, where would those operations take place and where would the gunsmithing take place in this facility.

Robert explained that the guns would be on the first floor and showed location on the plan. Robert explained that they would be using some hazardous materials stored in cabinets that would be OSHA approved like fire cabinets.

<u>J. Brann</u> explained that what they used needs to be in the application so that the public knows what they are using. <u>J. Brann</u> asked if there was a pad for an enclosed dumpster.

Robert explained that they are ready to do all of this and they would supply all the documents and certifications.

<u>D. Massucci</u> asked if it had handicap accessible and can you have elevators.

Dewayne explained that they will be handicap accessible and have an elevator shown as little specs for the inside of the space.

<u>D. Massucci</u> asked about having some windows on the bottom floors.

Robert explained he didn't want it to look like a prison but had fewer windows for security reasons and easy egress.

R. Allard suggested a different stop for the emergency exits.

Dewayne explained that there are three doors in the rear.

R. Allard asked why there wasn't a door and showed the location.

Dewayne explained that there was a door there and locations of other exits. Dewayne explained all the exits on the plan for egress.

<u>J. Brann</u> asked Dewayne if he was saying between the shipping area and that work room is where the two exits are that's actually an archway that was open.

Dewayne explained that there was an open arch into to the work room with plastic slats.

- J. Brann opened public comment.
- J. Brann closed public comment.
- J. Brann explained to the applicant that this would need third party review.

A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>R. Allard</u> to close the Design Review for 2A Tactical, LLC. The motion carried unanimously.

Roll Call:

- R. Allard-Yay
- A. Melnikas-Yay
- D. Massucci-Yay
- S. Diamond-Yay (Remotely)
- J. Brann-Yay

REPORTS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- <u>R. Allard</u> asked the Board to change the parking spaces from one spot to two spots. <u>R. Allard</u> explained that he would like to have the section for Parking Standards changed on Table 6 on page 42 of the Site Review Regulations. <u>R. Allard</u> explained that it reads under residential change all three sections that says one space with the base requirement change to two spaces.
- <u>J. Brann</u> asked if this was a bed and breakfast with one space plus one space per permanent right.
- R. Allard explained that he would like that changed to 2 spaces.
- <u>J. Brann</u> asked why wouldn't it be one space for the bed and breakfast; when people come to a bed and breakfast they usually don't bring two cars.
- R. Allard agreed that one space for the bed and breakfast.

The Board had a discussion and decided to have a public hearing to make the change from one parking space to a minimum of two parking spaces.

OTHER BUSINESS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE BOARD

4. Review of a request for a building permit at 331 Long Shores Drive, a Private Road, for LuAnn Haggerty (Map 102, Lot 77).

A motion was made by <u>D. Massucci</u> and seconded by <u>A. Melnikas</u> to send the standard letter with corrections to the Select Board. The motion carried unanimously.

Roll Call:

- R. Allard-Yay
- A. Melnikas-Yay
- D. Massucci-Yay
- S. Diamond-Yay (Remotely)
- J. Brann-Yay

SETTING OF DATE, TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

The next meeting will be on September 7, 2021, at 6:30 p.m. at the ECLC at 77 Ramsdell Lane.

Without objection the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

A motion was made by <u>R. Allard</u> and seconded by <u>A. Melnikas</u> to adjourn the meeting at 8:00 p.m. Roll Call:

J. Brann-Yay

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi August 3, 2021/pg. 8 of 9

. Massucci-Yay . Melnikas-Yay			