



BARRINGTON PLANNING BOARD MEETING

NEW LOCATION: EARLY CHILDHOOD LEARNING CENTER

77 RAMSDELL LANE

Barrington, NH 03825

(Approved April 17, 2018)

Tuesday April 3, 2018

6:30 p.m.

NOTE: THESE ARE SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES ONLY. A COMPLETE COPY OF THE MEETING AUDIO IS AVAILABLE AT THE LAND USE DEPARTMENT.

MEETING MINUTES

Members Present

James Jennison, Chair

Jeff Brann, Vice Chair

Steve Diamond

Donna Massucci

Alternate Member: Andy Knapp-ex-officio

Town Planner: Marcia Gasses

MINUTES REVIEW AND APPROVAL

1. Approval of the March 20, 2018 meeting minutes.

The minutes were approved without objection.

ACTION ITEMS

2. [236-24-GR-17-Sub \(3\) \(Owners: Lysle J. & Kim Evans Brown\)](#) Request by applicant James E. Connick to subdivide into three lots of 1.84 acre each with waivers at 20 Orchard Hill Road Map 236, Lot 24) in the General Residential (GR) Zoning District. By: Joel D. Runnals, LLS, Norway Plains Associates, LLS; PO Box 249; Rochester, NH 03866.

J. Jennison gave a brief description of the application.

Joel Runnals from Norway Plains Associates, Inc. explained that he was representing applicant James Connick for a 3 lot subdivision who has a purchase and sales agreement on the property of Lysle J. & Kim Evans Brown. He explained that Mr. Connick was working with the Town representatives on the road and subdivision. He explained that this was one lot and the Town went to court to confirm Orchard Hill was a Town Road. He explained the applicant went before the selectmen for a 50' ROW and compromised a 35' ROW. He explained that the applicant also went before the Zoning Board of Adjustment for a Special Exception which was granted on February 21, 2018 to allow proposed Map 236, Lot 24-2 to take access from a side not its frontage via a shared 30' driveway easement. He explained that test pits have been done. He explained that all 3 lots are equal in size of 80,000 s.f. He explained that they are applying to the State for subdivision approval.

S. Diamond asked what the dash mark on the plan in the upper right hand corner on the plan.

Joel explained that it was a stream.

J. Brann asked if the applicant received Zoning Board of Adjustment approval.

Joel explained that the applicant received approval on February 21, 2018 for Special Exception.

J. Brann asked about 5.3.2 (11) Utilities in the Subdivision Regulations when you submit a plan you need to show the proposed utilities.

Joel explained that there was no proposed utilities; there were only overhead wires.

M. Gasses explained that the pole was shown on the topographic plan.

J. Brann asked if there are no proposed utilities.

Joel explained not until the lot is developed.

J. Jennison asked if the applicant needed to go back to the Zoning Board of Adjustment for a driveway easement.

Joel explained that the applicant didn't need to go back because of the Special Exception for the access.

S. Diamond asked when did the applicant plans to build the shared driveway. He asked if it would be built once the lot was sold.

Jim Connick explained that the driveway would be built once they start building.

S. Diamond questioned that the applicant would need to sell the lot in the middle before they sold the blue lot.

Jim Connick explained that he felt it didn't matter what lot was sold first. It would still show that the easement was on the lot.

S. Diamond asked if they would just drive though the lot.

Jim Connick explained that it was all woods.

S. Diamond asked if they had the right to cut down trees. He asked if the person buying the blue lot would have to pay for the shared driveway.

Jim Connick explained that he would be building the houses, selling them complete, and explained that the driveway would already be in.

J. Brann questioned as part of the application should all 5 sections of the checklist be filled out.

M. Gasses explained that she reviewed Section 3 of the checklist and that Sections 4 and 5 were non-applicable.

S. Diamond questioned the triangle shape of the lots.

Joel explained that anywhere there was a corner with a triangle you would have that problem.

J. Brann explained that in the Subdivision Regulations it states the maximum extent possible all new lots shall be rectangular.

S. Diamond asked what would be the problem be if the lots were more rectangular.

Joel explained that each lot was exactly 80,000 s.f. and that he tried to keep a straight line.

J. Brann asked if the house at 17 Orchard Hill Road was gone.

Joel stated that was not part of the subdivision.

M. Gasses explained that the house needs to be torn down before permits can be issued for the 2 new lots.

Joel explained that they would need to meet with the Selectmen to accept the road after this was approved.

J. Brann questioned if this was a shared driveway not a private road.

M. Gasses explained that this would need to meet the setback requirements.

Requested Waivers:

1. **5.3.1 (8)** The estimated location and use of all existing structures...on the site and within 100' of the site.

M. Gasses read:

Reason: the applicants request is to show only those structures that are on the subject parcel and not trespass.

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by S. Diamond to grant the waiver for the 5.3.1 (8) on the application of James Connick not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

2. **11.2.2 (3)** No portion of a lot under these regulations shall be less than 75 feet in width...

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by D. Massacci to grant the waiver for the 11.2.2 (3) specific circumstances relative to the subdivision, or conditions of the land in such subdivision, indicate that the waiver will properly carry out the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by A. Knapp to accept the application as complete. The motion carried unanimously.

M. Gasses read Staff and Town Planner comments:

Suzanne McNeil, Assessing – Responded no comments

Peter Cook, Road Agent – Has no issues with the application and believes it will be a benefit to the Town to be able to do work on the road with the proposed right of way for the Town.

Conservation Commission – Responded no comment

John Huckins, Building Inspector/ZA – Plan does not show if Lots 24-1 and 24-2 meet zoning 4.2.1(1) 60, 000 sq. ft. free of hydric A soils, open water, bogs, marshes... A note needs to be added

Rick Walker, Fire Chief – Add note to the plan that, “if more than two building lots share the common driveway the driveway must be named and all lots would have an address number off the newly created street.

Marcia Gasses, Town Planner –

- Draft driveway easement language needs to be provided
- Define easement by meets and bounds
- Show front setback off the driveway easement
- Label House on Map 236 Lot 7 that is in the proposed right of way that was approved by the Board on February 6, 2018 “to be removed”
- The house in the right of way (Map 236 Lot 7) must be removed prior to building permits being issued for lots 24-1 and 24-2

S. Diamond asked about the comment from John Huckins about free of Hydric A soils.

Joel explained that there were not Hydric A soils present.

M. Gasses explained that a wetlands scientist stated that fact.

J. Brann asked if there was going to be a note on the plan.

M. Gasses stated that there would be to meet the requirements.

S. Diamond asked about the test pits.

Joel explained that they are shown on the topographic plan.

J. Jennison opened public comment.

J. Jennison closed public comment.

S. Diamond asked when and why did it become so routine for applicants to apply for so many waivers special exceptions and variances.

M. Gasses read Conditions Precedent:



Planning & Land Use Department

Town of Barrington

PO Box 660

333 Calef Highway

Barrington, NH 03825

603.664.0195

barrplan@metrocast.net

barrplan@gmail.com

DRAFT NOTICE OF DECISION

<i>[Office use only]</i>	<i>Date certified:</i>	<i>As built received:</i>	<i>Surety returned</i>
		<i>n/a</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, individual(s), or organization submitting this application and to his/her/its agents, successors, and assigns.</i>			
Proposal Identification: 236-24-GR-17-Sub(3) (Owners: Lysle J. & Kim Evans Brown) Request by applicant James E. Connick to subdivide into three lots of 1.84 acres each with waivers at 20 Orchard Hill Road (Map 236, Lot 24) in the General Residential (GR) Zoning District. By: Joel D. Runnals, LLS, Norway Plains Associates, LLS; PO Box 249; Rochester, NH 03866			

Owner: Lysle and Kim Evans Brown 17 Orchard Hill Road Barrington, NH 03825 Applicant (Contact): James E. Connick 324 Den Quarry Road Lynn, MA 01904 Professional: Joel D. Runnals, LLS, Norway Plains Associates PO Box 249 Rochester, NH 03866	Dated: XXXXX/2018
---	-------------------

--	--

Dear applicant:

This is to inform you that the Barrington Planning Board at its XXXXX, 2018 meeting **CONDITIONALLY APPROVED** your application referenced above.

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to the plans being certified by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work or recording of any plans. Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final.

Please Note* If all of the precedent conditions are not met within 6 calendar months to the day, by XXXXXX, 2018, the Boards approval will be considered to have lapsed, unless a mutually agreeable extension has been granted by the Board. *Reference 8.2.3 of the Town of Barrington Subdivision Regulations*

Conditions Precedent

- 1)
 - a) Add the owners signature to the final plan
 - b) Add the wetland scientist stamp & signature to the final plan
 - c) Add State Subdivision Approval Number to the Plan
- 2) Add the following plan revisions to the plans
 - a) Define the driveway easement area by metes and bounds
 - b) Label the house on Map 236 Lot 7 that is in the proposed right of way "to be removed"
 - c) Show the setback from the driveway easement
- 3) Add the following plan notes:
 - a) The house in the right of way (Map 236 Lot 7) must be removed prior to building permits being issued for lots 24-1 and 24-2
 - b) The following waivers were granted as part of this application:
5.3.1(8) The estimated location and use of all existing structures...on the site and within 100' of the site. *If granted*
11.2.2(3) No portion of a lot under these regulations shall be less than 75 feet in width...
- 4) Town Counsel shall approve proposed driveway easement language
- 5)# Proper and complete survey monumentation shall be installed on the properties as a condition to final approval of the application. Granite bounds shall be set at the intersection of existing or proposed lot sidelines with existing proposed streets. Iron pins (pipe or rod) are to be placed at all property line corners and angles, and all points of curvature and points of tangency. Monuments for the lot being developed shall be placed not more than 300 feet apart in any straight line. The applicant's surveyor shall certify in writing that the bounds and pins have been installed according to the submitted plan. (*Reference 8.8 of the Town of Barrington Subdivision Regulations*)
- 6) Any outstanding fees shall be paid to the Town

- 7) Final Drawings (a) five sets of black line (b) plus one set of 11"X17" final approved plans (c) one electronic version by pdf must be on file with the Town. Each individual sheet in every set of drawings must be stamped and signed by the land surveyor, engineer, or architect responsible for the plans. Note. If there are significant changes to be made to the plans, as specified above, one full size check print must be sent to the Land Use Office for review prior to producing these final drawings.

General and Subsequent Conditions

#1

(Note: in both sections above, the numbered condition marked with a # and all conditions below the # are standard conditions on all or most applications of this type).

I wish you the best of luck with your project. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Marcia J. Gasses

Town Planner & Land Use Administrator

cc: File

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by J. Jennison to approve the 3 lot subdivision with the conditions as read by the Planner. Approved; Vote 4/1

Yay-D. Massucci

Yay-A. Knapp

Yay-J. Brann

Yay-J. Jennison

Nay-S. Diamond

3. [232-17-NR/HCO-18-Sub \(2\) \(Owner: Gordon W. Brown\)](#) Request by applicant to subdivide 54.2 acre lot into 2 parcels and waivers. Proposed (Map 232, Lot 17) to be subdivided into two lots with lot 1 being 4.54 acre and remaining lot will be 49.7 acres and waivers at 1216 Franklin Pierce Highway in the General Residential (GR) and Highway Commercial Overlay (HCO) Zoning Districts. By: David W. Vincent, LLS; Land Surveying Services; PO Box 1622; Dover, NH 03821.

J. Jennison gave a brief description of the application.

David Vincent from Land Surveying Services represented the applicant Gordon Brown for a 2 lot subdivision which would be a residential lot. He explained that he had revised plans based on comments from NHDOT. He explained that the applicant would like to take 4.54 acres off the 54.2 acres leaving the remaining land with the house with a driveway. He explained test pits were done on the proposed lot and shown on the plan. He explained that there was an existing well and septic on the large lot with the house. He explained that they would be keeping the rock wall and there would be 50' from the wetlands. He explained that this would need a State permit for the driveway. The State wanted shown clear sight lines for the proposed driveway and would want 400' sight distance from Route 126.

J. Brann questioned the location of the proposed leach field compared to the driveway.

David Vincent explained that doesn't mean that the leach field needs to go there and explained that a location needed to be shown. He explained that where the person decides to put the home would determine the location, they might need to dig new test pits, and must get State septic approval. He stated that State subdivision approval was received.

A. Knapp questioned the location of proposed leach field appears to be in the 40' setback of the road.

David Vincent explained that the 4K area meets the minimum area for a leach field.

A. Knapp asked if it could be off a State Highway.

M. Gasses explained that it's not the pavement it's the edge of the right of way.

A. Knapp asked what the setback off a State Highway was.

M. Gasses explained that septic doesn't fall into the same setback requirement it falls under the State guidelines.

J. Brann stated that this shows a 40' setback but it could be within that setback.

David Vincent explained that in the Town of Barrington a septic could be placed inside your side setbacks, but not within wetlands buffers.

M. Gasses explained that when the 2 test pits are done it was to prove that the lot can support a septic. If the owner decides to put the house in a different location the State would require additional test pits be done.

David Vincent explained that the driveway would have to stay in the location shown; if they decide to move the driveway they need to go back to the State. He explained that wherever they decide to put their home they need to go back to the State with new test pits and get State septic approval.

J. Jennison stated that this would be the same for the well.

A. Knapp asked if there was ledge and asked about the driveway permit pending with the State.

David Vincent stated there was no ledge and explained that he needed to get information back to the State on the State permit. He explained that they needed a profile of the proposed driveway.

A. Knapp asked if the State comes out and inspects area; concerned about the bad corner.

David Vincent explained that there would be a note on the plan showing that there would be a site easement from Lot 17.0 to Lot 17.1. He explained that on the proposed lot they would show areas for the lot owner to maintain 400' in both directions.

M. Gasses asked if the site easements were outside the right of way.

David Vincent that this would be inside the property lines.

A. Knapp questioned how was this going to work with the proposed lot and the current lot. He asked who maintains the site distance.

J. Brann explained that that driveway on the proposed lot was far enough away from the property line that an easement was not needed on that side.

David Vincent explained that Lot 17.1 doesn't need to cross Lot 17.0.

J. Brann asked if that was going to be part of the NHDOT driveway permit.

David Vincent explained that was correct. He explained that the plan would be recorded showing the easement. It would state to see Note #12 for the NHDOT permit.

S. Diamond asked if DOT would be the one to enforce this down the road.

David Vincent stated that this would be a Stat approved driveway and they are the ones that are in charge of the driveways on their roads.

M. Gasses explained that the State takes their right of ways very seriously.

David Vincent explained that the documents are on file with DOT and they would come out if there's a problem.

Requested waivers:

1. **5.3.1(5)** Surveyed property lines including angles or bearings, distances, monument locations and size of the entire parcel.

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by S. Diamond to grant the waiver for the 5.3.1 (5) on the portions of Lot 17.0 as shown on the plan dated April 2, 2018 as not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

2. **5.3.1(6)** Existing grades and topographic contours at intervals not exceeding two (2) feet with spot elevations where the grade is less than five percent (5%). For the remaining portion of lot 17-0

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by S. Diamond to grant the waiver for the 5.3.1(6) outside the area of Lot 17.0 as shown on the plan dated April 2, 2018 as not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

3. **5.3.1(7)** The location of existing drainage systems, structures, and drainage easements, if any. For the remaining portion of Lot 17-0

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by S. Diamond to grant the waiver for the 5.3.1(7) on the area of Lot 17.0 portions of the area outside that already delineated as shown on plan dated April 2, 2018 as not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

4. **5.3.1(8)** The estimated location and use of all existing structures, including wells and septic systems, on the site and within 100 feet of the site for the remaining portion of Lot 17-0.

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by S. Diamond to grant the waiver for the 5.3.1(8)

on the outside the of Lot 17.0 portions of the area outside that already delineated as shown on plan dated April 2, 2018 as not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

5. **5.3.1(9)** Natural features such as streams, marshes, lakes, ponds, rock outcrops, wooded areas, significant trees, ledge, and other significant environmental features, including wetland areas as defined in the Zoning Ordinance for the remaining portion of Lot 17-0

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by S. Diamond to grant the waiver for the 5.3.1(9) on the area of Lot 17.0 portions of the area outside that already delineated as shown on plan dated April 2, 2018 as not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

6. **5.3.1(10)** man-made features such as, but not limited to, existing roads, stone walls, pedestrian ways, cemeteries, and other structures on the remaining portion of Lot 17-0

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by S. Diamond to grant the waiver for the 5.3.1(10) on the area of Lot 17.0 portions of the area outside that already delineated as shown on plan dated April 2, 2018 as not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by S. Diamond to accept the application as complete. The motion carried unanimously.

J. Jennison opened public comment.

Bruce Lambert from 1166 Franklin Pierce Highway explained that if there was a driveway put in this location would be dangerous the land was on a slope and the land was very wet with a stream. He explained that his land was wet and has standing water. He was concerned of the danger of people going 60 mph in the area. He explained that he felt the clearance of 400' was not enough when the snow banks are high and felt there would be accidents.

J. Brann asked how this would affect him. He explained that the applicant was not changing the topography of the land but just erecting a structure (house).

Bruce Lambert expressed his concern was for safety on the road.

J. Brann asked what the posted speed limit was.

Bruce Lambert stated that the speed limit was 35. He explained that this was the most dangerous place to put a driveway because of the S turn.

S. Diamond asked if his concern was about the safety of the curve with people going fast and not the topography.

Bruce Lambert explained that it looks like you can see far but it's not when someone was going 60 mph; they would be right on you.

David Vincent explained that NHDOT permit requires a 20' apron leveled so there would be plenty of room to sit there (in the driveway before pulling out).

J. Brann asked if this met State requirements. He asked if this was the same for site line for other driveways and snow would be no different.

David Vincent explained that the home owner needed to maintain their property.

Bruce Lambert express that this was not a good place for a house and driveway.

J. Jennison closed public comment.

A. Knapp asked about the waiver for topography only on the back but water runs across the entire lot based on what Mr. Lambert was saying but nothing was not shown.

J. Brann explained that it was to the left corner.

David Vincent showed the Board where it was wet to the wall.

J. Jennison stated the 50' buffer extended all the way (across on the plan).

7. 11.2.2 Lot Shape – Proposed Lot 17-1

A motion was made by S. Diamond and seconded by J. Brann to grant the waiver for the 11.2.2 as not granting the waiver would pose an unnecessary hardship to the applicant and granting the waiver would not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. The motion carried unanimously.

D. Massucci asked if NHDOT goes out and looks at the driveway.

David Vincent explained that NHDOT has inspected the property and sent back comments.

D. Massucci expressed that she travels on this road every day and raised her concern with the driveway could be dangerous when pulling out. She noticed a lot more driveways and asked who gave permission for these driveways.

David Vincent explained that if on a State highway; the driveway would be approved by NHDOT.

J. Brann stated that he also had concerns, but this was a State road and this was not approved by the Planning Board; the driveway would be handled by NHDOT. He explained that NHDOT would be the one to determine if this driveway was safe or not.

J. Jennison asked if NHDOT was contacted about changing the placement of the driveway.

David Vincent explained that he knew what NHDOT wanted and explained that they would rather not change the placement of the driveway. He explained that NHDOT likes to give at least 200' from any intersection.

J. Jennison asked if the driveway was placed in the safest spot possible.

David Vincent explained that there are different types of driveways and this was a residential driveway that has to have certain widths. He explained if they want a commercial use the applicant would need to submit a new application to NHDOT.

S. Diamond stated the follow:

1. This was a State driveway, which is not a Planning Board decision.
2. Safety was a valued reason but there wasn't anything that can be done by the Board, and it was a windy road.
3. Drainage explained; Barrington was not under the MS4 on storm water. He explained that it-- might happen in the future.
4. Lots include some of Barrington's prime agriculture land.

Staff comments read by M. Gasses:

Rick Walker, Fire Chief – For the purpose of fire protection should the remaining parcel be subdivided in the future fire protection may be required depending on the number of lots created.

Suzanne McNeil, Assessing – Land is in current use. Applicant will need NHDOT driveway permit for house#.

Peter Cook, Road Agent – No issues with proposal

Conservation Commission – No comment

John Huckins, Building Inspector/Zoning Administrator – No issues

Marcia Gasses, Town Planner

- The applicant has received State Subdivision Approval
- Add Note “Required erosion control measures shall be installed prior to disturbance of the sites surface and shall be maintained through the completion of all construction, if it becomes apparent that additional erosion control measures are required to stop any erosion on the construction site due to actual conditions, the owner shall be required to install the necessary erosion protection at no expense to the Town.
- Add note identifying which plans are to be recorded and which are on file with the Town.
- Add owners signature to the final plan

M. Gasses read Conditions Precedent:



Planning & Land Use Department

Town of Barrington

PO Box 660

333 Calef Highway

Barrington, NH 03825

603.664.0195

barrplan@metrocast.net

barrplan@gmail.com

**DRAFT
NOTICE OF DECISION**

[Office use only]	Date certified:	As built received: n/a	Surety returned n/a
"Applicant", herein, refers to the property owner, business owner, individual(s), or organization submitting this application and to his/her/its agents, successors, and assigns.			
Proposal Identification: 232-17-NR-HCO-18-Sub(2) Request by applicant to subdivide 54.2 acre lot into 2 parcels and waivers. Proposed (Map 232, Lot 17) to be subdivided into two lots with lot 1 being 4.54 acres and remaining lot 49.7 acres and waivers at 1216 Franklin Pierce Highway in the General Residential (GR) and Highway Commercial Overlay (HCO) Zoning Districts. By David W. Vincent, LLS; Land Surveying Services; PO Box 1622; Dover, NH 03821			

Owner: Gordon W. Brown PO Box 31 Barrington, NH 03825 Professional: David W. Vincent, LLS Land Surveying Services PO Box 1622 Dover, NH 03821	Dated: XXXXX/2018
---	-------------------

Dear applicant:

This is to inform you that the Barrington Planning Board at its XXXXX, 2018 meeting **CONDITIONALLY APPROVED** your application referenced above.

All of the precedent conditions below must be met by the applicant, at the expense of the applicant, prior to the plans being certified by the Planning Board. Certification of the plans is required prior to commencement of any site work or recording of any plans. Once these precedent conditions are met and the plans are certified the approval is considered final.

Please Note* If all of the precedent conditions are not met within 6 calendar months to the day, by XXXXXX, 2018, the Boards approval will be considered to have lapsed, unless a mutually agreeable extension has been granted by the Board. *Reference 8.2.3 of the Town of Barrington Subdivision Regulations*

Conditions Precedent

- 1)
 - a) Add the owners signature to the final plan
 - b) Add the wetland scientist stamp & signature to the final plan
 - c) Add State Subdivision Approval Number to the Plan
 - d) Add the NHDOT Driveway Permit number to the plan
- 2) Add the following plan notes:

a) "Required erosion control measures shall be installed prior to disturbance of the sites surface and shall be maintained throughout the completion of all construction, if it becomes apparent that additional erosion control measure are required to stop any erosion on the construction site due to actual conditions, the owner shall be required to install the necessary erosion protection at no expense to the Town.

b) Add note identifying which plans are to be recorded and which are on file with the Town.

c) "For the purpose of fire protection should the remaining parcel be subdivided in the future fire protection may be required depending on the number of lots created.

d) The following waivers were granted as part of this application:

5.3.1(5) Surveyed property lines including angles or bearings, distances, monument locations and size of the entire parcel. *Board granted*

5.3.1(6) Existing grades and topographic contours at intervals not exceeding two (2) feet with spot elevations where the grade is less than five percent (5%). For the remaining portion of lot 17-0 *Board granted*

5.3.1(7) The location of existing drainage systems, structures, and drainage easements, if any for the remaining portion of Lot 17-0 located outside the area delineated on the plan.

5.3.1(8) The estimated location and use of all existing structures, including wells and septic systems, on the site and within 100 feet of the site for the remaining portion of Lot 17-0 located outside the area delineated on the plan.. *Board granted*

5.3.1(9) Natural features such as streams, marshes, lakes, ponds, rock outcrops, wooded areas, significant trees, ledge, and other significant environmental features, including wetland areas as defined in the Zoning Ordinance for the remaining portion of Lot 17-0 located outside the area delineated on the plan. *Board granted*

5.3.1(10) man-made features such as, but not limited to, existing roads, stone walls, pedestrian ways, cemeteries, and other structures on the remaining portion of Lot 17-0 located outside the area delineated on the plan. *Board granted*

11.2.2 Lot Shape – Proposed Lot 17-1 *Board granted*

3)# Proper and complete survey monumentation shall be installed on the properties as a condition to final approval of the application. Granite bounds shall be set at the intersection of existing or proposed lot sidelines with existing proposed streets. Iron pins (pipe or rod) are to be placed at all property line corners and angles, and all points of curvature and points of tangency. Monuments for the lot being developed shall be placed not more than 300 feet apart in any straight line. The applicant's surveyor shall certify in writing that the bounds and pins have been installed according to the submitted plan. (*Reference 8.8 of the Town of Barrington Subdivision Regulations*)

4) Any outstanding fees shall be paid to the Town

5) **Provide check for \$25 made out to SCR D to cover the LCHIP fee.**

- 6) Final Drawings (a) five sets of black line (b) plus one set of 11"X17" final approved plans must be on file with the Town. Each individual sheet in every set of drawings must be stamped and signed by the land surveyor, engineer, or architect responsible for the plans. Note. If there are significant changes to be made to the plans, as specified above, one full size check print must be sent to the Land Use Office for review prior to producing these final drawings.

General and Subsequent Conditions

- #1) Current Use subject property or a portion of it is presently in Current Use. The applicant must provide the Town of Barrington Assessing Department current use map and/or other items needed to assure requirements of RSA-79A and the New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administrations Rules are satisfied.

(Note: in both sections above, the numbered condition marked with a # and all conditions below the # are standard conditions on all or most applications of this type).

I wish you the best of luck with your project. If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Marcia J. Gasses
Town Planner & Land Use Administrator

cc: File

A motion was made by J. Brann and seconded by D. Massucci to approve the 2 lot subdivision for Gordon Brown with the conditions as read by the planner. The motion carried unanimously.

COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED

REPORTS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

OTHER BUSINESS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE BOARD

SETTING OF DATE, TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

The next meeting will be on April 17, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. at the ECLC 77 Ramsdell Lane.

Without objection the meeting was adjourned at 8:20 p.m.