

BARRINGTON PLANNING BOARD MEETING

NEW LOCATION: EARLY CHILDHOOD LEARNING CENTER
77 RAMSDELL LANE
Barrington, NH 03825

Tuesday April 2, 2019 6:30 p.m.

Meeting Minutes

MEETING MINUTES NOTE: THESE ARE SUMMARY ACTION MINUTES ONLY. A COMPLETE COPY OF THE MEETING AUDIO IS AVAILABLE AT THE LAND USE DEPARTMENT.

Members Present

James Jennison, Chair Jeff Brann, Vice Chair Steve Diamond Donna Massucci Ron Allard Robert Pimpis

Absent

Andy Knapp ex- officio

Town Planner: Marcia Gasses

Staff: Barbara Irvine

1. Vote for Chair and Vice Chair

A motion was made by $\underline{\textit{J. Brann}}$ and seconded by $\underline{\textit{Bob Pimpis}}$ to elect J. Jennison as chair. The motion carried 4-2 Vote

A motion was made by $\underline{D.\ Massucci}$ and seconded by $\underline{J.\ Jennison}$ to elect $\underline{Jeff\ Brann}$ as vice chair. The motion carried unanimously.

MINUTES REVIEW AND APPROVAL

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 1 of 13

2. Approval of the March 19, 2019 meeting minutes.

Without objection the minutes of March 19, 2019 were approved.

ACTION ITEM

- 3. Millo's requesting an extension for building expansion on Map 238 Lot 7 for their minor Site Plan approval of April 10, 2017. See case below.
 238-7-TC/SDAO-17-SR Addition (Millo's Pizza-George Tsoulakas). Request by applicant for a proposal for a building expansion of 720 s.f. and gravel parking lot expansion with 20 spaces at 575 Franklin Pierce Highway (Map 238, Lot 7) in the Town Center (TC) Zoning District. By: Stephen J. Haight, P.E. Civilworks New England; P.O. Box 1166; Dover, NH 03820.
- J. Jennison gave a brief description of the application.

Steve Haight from Civilworks New England represented Millo's Pizza; also present was Nick Tsoukalas. Steve explained that he was before the Board to ask for an extension for the approval of April 10, 2017 for an expansion of the building of 720 s.f. and gravel parking lot. Steve explained to the Board that an offsite well by an abutter had not been installed but it was in the works and would be operating by October.

M. Gasses explained to the Board that the well and pump house were installed, but that the building to connect it to was not there. M. Gasses explained that they were starting construction this week. M. Gasses explained that the time frame for opening the convenience store was in October.

Steve Haight explained that all they were asking for was a 2-year extension.

M. Gasses explained that was her recommendation to allow time to get done what was needed.

Steve Haight explained to the Board that they would assume that the project would be done by October but would like to get a 2-year extension. Steve explained that they would go with the 2-year and would come back if they needed more time.

- J. Brann questioned that if the applicant was only allowed one extension.
- M. Gasses explained that the wording was confusing because they may for one extension without review for compliance with the current regulations, but we did review for compliance.
- S. Diamond asked what the rules were for extensions.
- M. Gasses explained that they can come before the Board to request the extension. In the Notice of Decision, it states "the Board may grant one extension for any proposed site plan". The second extension would require submittal of plans to make sure they are complying with the ordinance and the regulations. She did not see anything in the application that did not conform.
- S. Diamond asked if the Board can grant as many times as they wanted.
- M. Gasses explained that this was up to the Board whether they want to change this. M. Gasses explained that if nothing changed they should be able to get two.

A motion was made by <u>J. Jennison</u> and seconded by <u>J. Brann</u> to grant the extension until May 5, 2021.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 2 of 13

The motion carried unanimously.

4. 234-31&31.4-GR-19-ConsSub (Owner: Donetta Haley) Request by applicant for a 13 Lot Conservation Subdivision, Waivers and 9.6 Special Permit on Meetinghouse Road and Oak Hill Road on 51.50 acres (Map 234, Lots 25, 31, 31.4) in the General Residential and Village Districts. By: Chris Berry, Berry Surveying & Engineering; 335 Second Crown Point Road; Barrington, NH 03825.

J. Jennison gave a brief description of the application.

Chris Berry from Berry Surveying & Engineering represented Donetta Haley. Chris gave an overview of the application and what was reviewed at the design review. He explained that they have been before the Conservation Commission and Zoning Board of Adjustment. Chris explained that they went to the Zoning Board of Adjustment to eliminate the 100' buffer on Meetinghouse Road and have it as the internal road but include the condition a 40' setback be required from Meetinghouse Road. Chris explained that they were required by the Zoning Board to have a 100' buffer from the development from the first residential lot on Oak Hill Road. Chris explained that the Board wanted Meetinghouse Road constructed straight through to Smoke Street. Chris explained that he went back to the applicant and they agreed to have Meetinghouse Road go straight through to Smoke Street. Chris gave the overview of the second design review after going before the Conservation Commission and explained that they would need some waivers for the roadway. Chris showed the Board the yield plan showing the road going through for the 13 Lot Conservation Subdivision. Chris explained that if they could get an easement from Eversource they would be able to flatten the road way and regrade some of the slopes on the entrance to Smoke Street a little flatter and safer. He explained that Eversource granted the easement for grading and drainage so that they could reconstruct Meetinghouse Road.

J. Brann asked if there was another easement up along the powerlines.

Chris Berry explained to the Board that there was another easement. Chris explained that Eversource also had a 150' easement through this property. Chris explained that the application also required an alteration of terrain permit. They were now required to look at water bodies in all adjacent areas and this was in a Zone A waterbody which was Mallego Brook. Chris explained that they had to develop a stormwater system. Chris explained to the Board that they would need to put in very large gravel wetland.

R. Pimpis asked if the raingarden made more excavation in order to make them usable.

Chris Berry explained that the systems had to be below the groundwater table. Chris explained that they went out and did 2 test pits, so they would know where the groundwater was and excavated below that. Chris explained that this was a base subdivision plan with correct setbacks, which shows wells, septic's, and driveways. Chris explained to the Board that this project requires a cistern and that it was currently showing on the north side of the project. He stated that after the TRC meeting, based on where the driveways need to go, this would be moved to the south side. Chris explained to the Board the roadway design was why waivers were needed.

 $\underline{\text{J. Brann}}$ questioned the cutout area of 6 and 7 and asked if that was the original location of the cistern.

Chris Berry explained that was correct.

Chris Berry explained why the waivers were needed. Chris explained the first waiver of 12.2.1 Table 1 was the maximum of 7 % road grade for a major access road. Chris was proposing a section greater than 7% at 9%. Chris explained that that section areas could be flattened out and there are section areas that

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 3 of 13 were over 9%. Chris explained that they were able to limit the 9% as it comes into Smoke Street which was the most challenging area.

<u>J. Brann</u> questioned the previous discussion with the Board that transition of the 2% to 3% area from Smoke Street with 1 ½ car lengths before the 9% grade and asked how he addressed that.

Chris Berry explained that they slid the section of 2% and moved the curve over to lengthen it out. Chris explained that they now have the easement from Eversource, so they can cut the material out.

S. Diamond asked if there was good sight distance from both sides.

Chris Berry explained that when you are sitting in your car stopped and approaching traffic both had good sight distance.

- J. Brann questioned that they were able to cut back so that there would be good sight distance.
- S. Diamond asked if they got from Eversource the right to clear the trees.

Chris Berry explained that they did. Chris explained the reason for the waiver 12.2.1 for shoulder widths to the Board and why it was needed. Chris explained that Barrington requires a six-foot shoulder width. Chris explained that after meeting with Town staff that they would use 3' shoulders through the whole project.

J. Brann asked as part of the application it requires easements and asked if the applicant had them.

Chris Berry explained to the Board that they do not have final easement language. Chris explained that as of part of the final approval they would need to have the easements.

 \underline{J} . Jennison asked if down the road maybe 10 years if sidewalks were desired would telephone poles be in the way.

Chris Berry explained that this would be all underground power. Chris explained that you could install sidewalks very easy with a three-foot shoulder.

Chris Berry explained that the third waiver of 12.7 that requires 2% or less road grade within 100 feet of an intersection. Chris explained the proposed more than 2% through the distances from the intersection of Smoke Street and Meetinghouse Road. Chris explained that they had lengthened the landing zone as much as possible and are less than 2% but still less than the 100' required. Chris explained that the Board wanted to keep the platform as wide as possible. Chris explained that the entrance at Oak Hill Road would meet the 2% of the road grade within the 100' intersection.

S. Diamond asked where Meetinghouse Road connects with Oak Hill Road, was the current intersection going to change or stay current.

Chris Berry explained that it was changing uphill. Chris explained that there were two reasons. One was that it was quite the curve and grade so that when people come around they quickly come to the reverse curve. Chris explained that they want a driver to see well beyond the reverse curb.

S. Diamond asked how many feet change.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 4 of 13 Chris Berry explained that it would be 30' to 50'. Chris explained secondly helps topographically to move up slope, so you can see further and this location looking into the side that would require going to the Select Board for an easement to allow to connect the new road. Chris explained that they would also need a right turn lane long Oak Hill Road; a deceleration lane would not be required. Chris explained that they wanted people to slow down onto Meetinghouse Road, so they widened it 8' for additional space to slow down on the turn.

<u>J. Brann</u> asked about the drainage basin rain garden 103 on the north side of the intersection to Oak Hill Road and Meetinghouse Road.

Chris Berry explained that it was rain garden 101.

J. Brann expressed that in the next application that land in the corner was going to be turned over to the Town.

Chris Berry stated yes.

J. Brann asked was any portion of the rain garden in the land that would be turned over to the Town.

Chris Berry expressed that it was the entire area.

M. Gasses explained that the Highway Department would prefer to own the infrastructure so there was no question on their right to maintain it. She explained that the second one was an easement.

J. Brann expressed that he was looking for a maintenance plan and did not have one.

Chris Berry explained to him unless he received the stormwater report he would not have that information.

M. Gasses explained that this was something that was discussed with staff along with the drainage.

Chris Berry asked if they had the drainage study.

- <u>J. Brann</u> expressed that he did not have the stormwater analysis.
- M. Gasses explained that this would become a Town road and they would be maintaining the road.
- J. Brann asked if the Town Road Agent wanted to own the infrastructure along this road.
- M. Gasses explained that they don't want to but if they are going to have this road they want to be able to maintain the road.
- <u>J. Brann</u> stated that they know that this road would not automatedly be accepted by the Town, so the road needs to be maintained until the road would be accepted.
- M. Gasses explained that they would have easements.

Chris Berry explained that they would only have easements to one. Chris explained that rain gardens 101 and 103 would be already in the right of way. Chris explained that in the operation and maintenance plan would explain the documents required by AOT. It explains rain gardens, swales, rain garden 102, rain garden 103, and a lot of other drainage issues. Chris explained to the Board that up to the time that the

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/pg. 5 of 13 Town does take over responsibility of the road, it would be maintained by an HOA. Chris explained that there would be an HOA as part of this subdivision.

J. Brann asked if 101 was part of phase one.

Chris Berry explained that it was setup as part of Project 2 and explained that it probably should be setup as a temporary easement then when it was transferred it goes away.

J. Brann asked about the maintenance of 102.

Chris Berry explained that the HOA has an easement on 102 until the road would be accepted.

J. Brann asked if there would be an easement for the Town for maintenance of 102.

Chris Berry explained that the Town would need to agree with the HOA.

M. Gasses explained that this was going to be part of the whole subdivision.

J. Brann asked if the Town had to make a commitment to maintain the drainage systems.

M. Gasses explained that this happens in almost every subdivision that was done in Town.

<u>J. Jennison</u> explained that if all the road was maintained by the HOA until the Town takes over the road that even if the land transfer happens the road would still be maintained by the HOA.

J. Brann asked who enforces the maintenance of the road [i.e. the basins/rain gardens].

Chris Berry explained that's why the land should not be transferred.

M. Gasses explained that part of the lot is part of the right a way. M. Gasses explained that before the Town accepts a road they work with an attorney to make sure everything was in order. M. Gasses explained that the Town does not take over a road until it has stood for two years. M. Gasses explained that was the policy of the Select Board.

 \underline{J} . Brann asked who would oversee the HOA; this [road acceptance by the Town] could be 2 to 4 years down the road.

M. Gasses explained that the development would oversee the maintenance until the HOA kicks in and if they don't they are in violation of their subdivision approval.

Chris Berry explained that they should have a temporary easement.

R. Allard asked how you would know that the property owners are part of the HOA.

Chris Berry explained that the documents explain what the HOA requirements are. Chris explained that there are actions that can be taken against property owners that are not active with the HOA.

M. Gasses explained that this would be a civil matter and the Town does not get involved.

J. Brann asked if the Town could go after the HOA if they failed.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 6 of 13 M. Gasses agreed and that HOA's are usually very active while they are responsible for the road.

Chris Berry explained the next waiver of 12.7 Table 2, minimum angle of intersection of 90 degrees+/- 10 degrees.

Chris Berry explained that the intersection of Meetinghouse Road and Smoke Street they had taken the centerline probably at a 75% angle shifted it over and used Eversource's land so now it was 90%. Chris explained that now a traveler coming down Meetinghouse Road was at a right angle to Smoke Street. Chris explained that they may not need waiver but asked anyway.

J. Jennison asked if there was any way the waiver could be written so that if built at 60%.

Chris Berry explained that he wouldn't grant the waiver on a number he would grant on the submitted plan.

J. Brann explained that he had the same concern as J. Jennison on the waiver.

M. Gasses explained to the Board that they do have an engineer review the plans and it was not uncommon as issues come up to make a good engineering decision for the Town based on conditions in the field. M. Gasses explained that she didn't recommend that the Board vote on any of the waivers tonight to wait until the comments come back from Dubois & King Town engineer.

Chris Berry explained that the next waiver had to do with ditch and curb requirements in 12.8.8(4) and 12.8.9(1). Chris explained they propose roadway without curbing and use of ditches with a 9% grade for approximately 100 feet in two locations. Chris explained that the intent was to use rip rap lining of swales along steep slopes and use of check dams to achieve stabilization and prevent washouts.

Chris Berry discussed the 9.6 application. He explained that they had met with the Conservation Commission three times on this project. Chris explained that the first time was to discuss the variance and the last two had to do with the open space conservation subdivision and the 9.6 wetlands application. Chris explained that there were two wetlands pockets less than 3,000 s.f. that needed a permit and he showed them on the plan and the Conservation Commission have no problem signing the application when submitted. Chris explained that on the 9.6 permit, which had to do with buffer impacts. He tried very hard to keep certain parts outside the buffer zone and wetlands but based on the size of stormwaters systems, grading, and wetland area, they did have buffer impacts. Chris explained that the small amount of rain garden 101, and a section of rain garden 102 were in the buffer. Rain garden 103 was solely in the buffer. Chris explained that there was no place outside the buffer to put the rain garden systems and most systems are suitable down the slope.

A motion was made by \underline{J} . \underline{J} -innison and seconded by \underline{S} . \underline{D} iamond to accept the application as complete. The motion carried unanimously.

Roll Call: Diamond-Yay Brann-Yay Pimpis-Yay Allard-Yay Jennison-Yay Massucci-Yay

J. Jennison opened public comment.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/pg. 7 of 13 Steven Robillard from 71 Smoke Street explained that he owned property that abuts Meetinghouse Road at the exit point. He asked about rain garden 103 or the wetlands that were more at the exit on Smoke Street. He asked about that area because the status was that it drained across Smoke Street and into his property. He explained that it was a small brook at the time. He explained that the proposal was to eliminate his natural view. He asked if they were going to level it down and asked what the current proposal was for that wetland. He explained that the rain garden was something new to him and his concern was overflow. He asked where the overflow would go and was concerned because of the Mother's Day flood a few years ago. He also explained that there was no drainage on the opposite side of the road.

Chris Berry explained the overview on the plan of this piece of property. Chris explained the way the stormwater would drain and that it could not flood onto the property at 71 Smoke Street.

J. Brann explained that on sheet 19 the corner with a rain garden that there was a culvert underneath the road that would direct any overflow down to Smoke Street.

Steven Robillard expressed that this would go on his property.

J. Brann explained that it would be on the opposite side of the road.

Chris Berry explained that it would flow toward the property of 71 Smoke Street. Chris explained that the entire hillside drains down to the gravel pit area and north of it to a large wetland. Chris explained that there was a culvert, not sure of size, and it goes under the road to the brook toward the Robillard property. Chris explained that they can not increase flow onto the Robillard property and volume onto their property. Chris explained that using all the devices that he was talking about the flow would be no greater than it used to be.

J. Brann asked if the culvert goes under Meetinghouse Road to the intersection of Smoke Street.

Chris Berry explained at some locations the way the flow would go and drain.

J. Brann asked if there was going to be a culvert at the intersection of Smoke Street.

Chris Berry stated no and explained on the plan how it would flow.

<u>J. Jennison</u> explained that the 2-year event that they were talking about, but that the Mother's Day flood was what most people understand.

Chris Berry explained that they cannot increase flow for 2, 10, 50- or 100-year events. Chris explained that for the 100-year event they were not increasing flow for any storm event. Chris explained that use of the volume was a State rule; they are not allowed to increase the volume for water in the wetland regardless of the rate of rainfall/water flow during an event. Chris explained that this wetland water overflows into the culvert, and for a major design issue was as these storm events get larger they base their studies on current information. Chris explained at the 100-year event this wetland would be a full bathtub so they are not allowed to use any of the storage that this wetland has. Chris explained that was why the system was so big. Chris asked if the Mother's Day flood went over Smoke Street.

Steven Robillard explained that it did go over Smoke Street and the neighbors were flooded too.

Chris Berry explained that much of the eastside was ditched but this was the only cross over in that area. Chris explained that the water coming down Smoke Street can be detained into the rain garden.

Steven Robillard asked that they talked about shifting the road to meet [Smoke Road] at 90 degrees, was that going to push it more to the south.

Chris Berry explained that it would move the road 8' to the south.

<u>J. Jennison</u> explained that by creating a dip that would bring it back to the collection point that would hopefully help.

Steven Robillard expressed that his concern was not just for himself but neighbors on both sides. Steven explained that the brook drains through and crosses over Route 9.

- J. Brann explained that the maintenance of this drainage system was not going to make this any worse, but if we had another 100-year event that area could flood out.
- M. Gasses explained that homeowners were responsible for driveway culverts.

Steve Robillard questioned the ground water table in the area where it was very high on his property.

Chris Berry explained that there were areas of high water tables.

S. Diamond asked if there would be any reduction in stormwater recharge.

Chris Berry stated no.

- J. Jennison closed public comment.
- S. Diamond asked about Lot 31 and Lot 31.4. The contour lines across the corner of Lot 31.4 and Lot 31 behind indicate a steep slope going toward Oak Hill road. He asked if it was possible to set aside a right of way for a path above it to avoid the steep hill.

Chris Berry asked if he chose that path based on topographic maps.

- S. Diamond stated yes.
- M. Gasses explained that goes through someone's lot.

Chris Berry explained there was already a woods trail from Meetinghouse Road.

- S. Diamond asked about similar paths.
- M. Gasses expressed that it would be easy to access the open space along the powerlines.

A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>R. Allard</u> to continue until May 7, 2019 to allow Dubois & King to finish their review and the Board to receive their input. The motion carried unanimously.

234-25-V-19-Sub (4) (Owners: Michael H. & Lisa M. McMahon) Request by applicant for a 4
 Lot Subdivision on Meetinghouse Road and Oak Hill Road on 23.55 acres (Map 234, Lot 25) in the

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 9 of 13

Village Zoning District. By: Chris Berry, Berry Surveying & Engineering; 335 Second Crown Point Road; Barrington, NH 03825.

J. Jennison gave a brief description of the application.

Chris Berry from Berry Surveying & Engineering represented Michael & Lisa McMahon for a four-lot subdivision. Chris explained the location of the proposed subdivision. Chris explained that the applicant had decided to develop the Meetinghouse Road side of the property. Chris explained they were subdividing for the town houses they are proposing. The land would be subdivided into three new lots leaving the remaining lot with the existing house on Oak Hill Road. Chris explained that as part of the subdivision a tract of land on Lot 25 would be transferred to the Town and become part of the frontage along Meetinghouse Road. Chris explained that the fire cistern would have a temporary easement until taken by the Town. Chris explained that the lots would be serviced by well and septic. Chris explained that this application could be approved tonight but could not be signed until the other two applications have been approved because they do not have any road frontage until they are approved.

<u>J. Brann</u> asked whether this should be approved because one of the conditions was that there would be a cistern installed. <u>J. Brann</u> asked where that tied into this application.

Chris Berry explained that would be addressed when the other applications are approved. Chris explained that they are only going to have one cistern for this entire project.

J. Jennison stated that the cistern was shown on the other plans.

M. Gasses explained that that they can show the location of the cistern on this side of the road. The distance of the cistern from the driveway would need to be shown.

Chris Berry stated it would be on the final plan.

J. Brann asked that the cistern be shown on all the projects and meets the Fire Chief's requirements.

S. Diamond asked about the cistern requirements; was the Fire Chief's requirement based on number of units or feet.

Chris Berry explained that if someone was within 1,000 drivable feet of an existing cistern they could get away with not putting in a cistern in.

M. Gasses explained the size that was up to the Fire Chief. She believed the requirement was 30,000 gallons.

D. Massucci asked if after 90 days it was turned over to the Town.

Chris Berry explained that not until the road was turned over.

M. Gasses asked about the part of the right of way containing the infrastructure; do they want some type of language and are there going to be two HOA's?

Chris Berry explained there was going to be two HOA's.

A motion was made <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>D. Massucci</u> to accept the application as complete. The motion passed unanimously.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 10 of 13

- J. Jennison opened public comment.
- J. Jennison closed public comment.

A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>B. Pimpis</u> to continue the case until May 7, 2019. The motion carried unanimously.

- 6. 234-25-V-19-SR (Owners: Michael H. & Lisa M. McMahon) Request by applicant for a Site Review proposal to construct three private roadways and a driveway off Meetinghouse Road to provide access to 24 triplex units on Meetinghouse Road and Oak Hill Road (Map 234, Lot 25) in the Village Zoning District. By: Chris Berry, Berry Surveying & Engineering; 335 Second Crown Point Road; Barrington, NH 03825.
- J. Jennison gave a brief description of the application.

Chris Berry from Berry Surveying & Engineering represented Michael and Lisa McMahon for a site review. Chris explained that this would be in the Village Zoning District and the applicant proposes to have 24 triplex units; each unit would have a one car garage with 18' to allow outside space for 2 cars. Chris explained the wetlands drain down to Franklin Pierce Highway and explained that they were careful to stay on the outside of the buffer. Chris explained that this was a Site Review and he supplied a drainage analysis. Chris explained that if these units never get built the drainage is done. Chris explained that there are some areas with curbing to direct water within the area. Chris explained that they have a traffic analysis that also incorporates traffic from this project site. Chris explained that all the traffic numbers are added together and disbursed. Chris explained that they also have a lighting plan and stated that most are residential type lighting. Chris explained that there are lights at the intersections and they have lanterns.

- M. Gasses stated they need to be outside the right of way.
- S. Diamond expressed you have treatment for the rain garden water but not for the sewer.

Chris Berry explained because these systems go back into the ground.

S. Diamond stated that septic systems didn't treat nitrogen.

Chris Berry explained that these systems are called advanced bio filtration with embedded anaerobic zones. Chris Berry explained there would be a high percentage of nitrogen removed.

S. Diamond asked what the percentage was.

Chris Berry stated he would get back to him. Chris explained that this was new housing stock for Barrington that they do not have at this time. Chris explained that these are all 2-bedroom design. Chris explained there are fewer children in this type of housing. Chris explained that M. Gasses supplied a count on kids in school for this type of housing in Barrington.

- J. Brann expressed that this was a private road, but the Town would probably be taken over.
- M. Gasses explained that this was a class 6 road.
- R. Allard expressed that a lot of kids would be coming out of it.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 11 of 13

- M. Gasses expressed they didn't feel a lot of kids would come out of it.
- J. Jennison expressed that was not a good study coming out of there.
- J. Brann asked about the school impact fees.
- M. Gasses explained that it was \$4,281.00 per house and around \$3,000.00 for this type of housing.
- R. Allard expressed that it cost \$15,000 to send a child to school.
- J. Brann stated enrollment has declined and every one of these units would be paying the school impact fee.
- M. Gasses explained that in the last couple of years we have put up over 100 houses and enrollment was flat to declining. M. Gasses explained as it declines our State aid goes down.
- R. Allard stated the tax amount depends on the value of the home.
- D. Massucci asked across the street, how many houses and how big are they.

Chris Berry explained they would-be single-family homes.

- M. Gasses explained that Barrington school enrollment has not gone up since 2006.
- <u>D. Massucci</u> explained that she felt we are trying to grow the town.
- <u>J. Jennison</u> expressed that D. Massucci was saying if we want children shouldn't we be encouraging four-bedroom homes.
- M. Gasses explained that people are not having that many kids anymore.
- J. Jennison expressed that in a few years people would use these as starter homes.
- J. Brann questioned that the light shown as a pole mounted fixture was described as wall mounted.

Chris Berry stated that it was post mounted not wall mounted.

J. Jennison asked was there any drainage going southwest.

Chris Berry explained the way the drainage would go.

- J. Brann expressed that was quite a slope.
- $\underline{S.\ Diamond}$ asked if the square footage was including the garage.

Chris Berry explained that it was not included.

- J. Brann explained that Assessing needed to be contacted about the road names.
- D. Massucci asked about how the mail would be delivered.

Barrington Planning Board Meeting Minutes/bi April 2, 2019/ pg. 12 of 13 M. Gasses asked that they contact the post office about where the mailboxes should be.

J. Brann asked if they would be individual mail boxes.

Chris Berry stated yes.

A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>S. Diamond</u> to accept the application as complete. The motion carried unanimously.

J. Jennison opened public comment.

J. Jennison closed public comment.

A motion was made by <u>J. Brann</u> and seconded by <u>S. Diamond</u> to continue the application to May 7, 2019. The motion carried unanimously.

COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVED

REPORTS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The Board agreed to table the Solar discussion until the May 21, 2019 meeting.

OTHER BUSINESS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE BOARD

SETTING OF DATE, TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

The next meeting will be on April 16, 2019 at 6:30 p.m. at the ECLC 77 Ramsdell Lane.

Without objection the meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Barbara Irvine