From: Lisa Soucy [mailto:lisa.soucy67@yahoo.com] Sent: Monday, June 03, 2013 10:07 PM To: barrplan@metrocast.net Subject: RE: GRAVEL PIT - EXCAVATION APPLICATION - GREEN HILL ROAD

Dear Marcia:

I just wanted to send an e-mail re-iterating my concerns for the safety of the residents of the Town of Barrington who, not only live on Green Hill Road, but travel on the road daily, whether it be by motor vehicle, motorcycle, bicycle, jogging, walking, walking horses or walking a dog. I implore the Planning Board to revisit the zoning change language and see it in the light it was amended - to permit businesses to operate in the area, not major excavation operations that negatively impact the residents of the Town of Barrington and Rochester. The warm weather is upon us and the our street is as active as ever and once school gets out, Green Hill Road will be even busier with foot traffic and walkers and bikers.

Changes in zoning and laws occur for the publics safety and well being and to IMPROVE their quality of life, not deteriorate it. People bought property and homes on Green Hill Road for the "neighborhood" atmosphere. If we wanted to live on a main thoroughfare we would have purchased homes on Route 125 or Route 202.

It is not fair that the Planning Board is giving more weight and consideration to bend over backwards to try and make Trinity's application doable and the residents suffer the loss of safety and enjoyment of their property and neighborhood. Instead of backing the residents and standing up for the quality of life on our road and the safety of our children, the property values of our homes, the Planning Board is more concerned with trying to find ways to address the residents' concerns by giving Trinity "tasks" and "honey-do lists and that is supposed to appease the residents and make this whole major operation more acceptable. The Planning Board should be denying the application based on the safety issues, negative impact on the traffic and property taxes and because of the public outcry, residents that care about keeping the neighborhood safe for raising their family.

Should the application be approved, the flow of the truck traffic should be limited to 125 because of the Chief of Police's determination that the incline to 202 is a SAFETY ISSUE for the trucks trying to pull out from Green Hill Road onto Route 202. No road repair is going to be done that is going to flatten the hill to make it safe to pull out from Green Hill Road onto 202. For this reason, and this reason alone, the truck traffic should be limited to one way travel to and from Route 125 and Trinity should be paying for any and all road repair present and future, inclusive of the bridge repair. Allowing the truck traffic to travel in one direction from 125 to 202, although that is posed as "fair" by the residents who live closer to Route 125, does not address the safety issue of pulling out from Green Hill Road onto Route 202. The Chief of Police clearly said that was his recommendation that he stands by and that, unlike the zoning ordinance change, is not up for interpretation. At the very least, the Planning Board should be giving weight to the Chief of Police's position. It seemed it did at first but since the last meeting, because of some residents' suggestions, documents have been circulated with the compromise so some residents will at least feel that the "whole road will suffer the truck traffic, and not just the 125 side". As I understand these feelings, it, unfortunately does not address the SAFETY issue

and the reason behind the Chief of Police's recommendation.

I respectfully request that the Planning Board revisit the language of the zoning change and error on the side of the residents, and not the applicant. Residents of two towns should not be left to suffer the loss of safety, property value and enjoyment of their home for the benefit of an excavation operation for people who will only benefit and not be forced to suffer the effects of the operation daily just by merely being on their own property and in their own home.

Thank you for forwarding my concerns to the Planning Board.

Respectfully submitted.

Lisa Sturtevant