



Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re:

Trinity Conservation LLC Blast Plan

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:

We have enclosed a proposed blast program, prepared by Maine Drilling and Blasting, Inc for the proposed Trinity Conservation Sand and Gravel operation at Green Hill Road. Based on our discussions with Maine Drilling and Blasting, we understand that the NH Department of Safety regulates the use of explosives/blasting agents. Saf-C 1625.04 regulates vibration limits such that blasting "shall be conducted so that vibrations adjacent to any structure, not controlled by the blaster, or for which the blaster has received the owner's written permission, do not exceed the limits cited in Saf-C 1625.04. We further understand that the Town of Barrington currently does not have a Blasting Ordinance, and that the Fire Chief is responsible for enforcement of the state regulations administered through Saf-C1600, and that he advises the criteria in Article 7.2 applies to the excavation work itself, not to the ancillary blasting.

Per Saf-C 1625.04, a peak particle velocity of 2.0 inches per second shall be the maximum of any one of the 3 mutually perpendicular ground motion velocity components of a vibration. These components shall be measured in directions vertical, longitudinal, and transverse to the vibration source. Any ground vibration over peak particle velocity of 2.0 inches per second shall be reported to the director (of NH Department of Safety) within 24 hours.

As such, Maine Drilling & Blasting has detailed a typical blast scenario and corresponding Peak Particle Velocities that may be expected (or as determined by the blasting contractor to maintain vibration (Peak Particle Velocities) acceptable to NH Department of Safety):

Construction site projects/quarry: Pattern (burden & spacing): 9' x 9'

Borehole size: 4"

Avg. hole depth (cut + sub drill): 40' Explosive type: Bulk emulsion

Explosives load: 34' Stemming load: 5' – 8'

Yards/borehole (minus sub drill): 111 cu/yd. Total yards (including sub drill): 120 cu/yd. Max pounds per borehole: 235.24 lbs.

Powder factor: 1.96 lbs. /vd.

48 Constitution Drive Bedford, NH 03110 Phone (603) 472-4488 Fax (603) 472-9747 www.tfmoran.com Distance(ft.): 500 750 1000 1250 1500 1750 2000 2250 Predicted Peak Particle Velocity: .61 .32 .20 .14 .10 .08 .07 .05


The proposed blast plan indicates that the Predicted Peak Particle Velocity at the nearest properties, which are over 700 feet from the blasting, will be approximately 0.4 inches/second, which is below the limits of 2.0 inches/second established in Saf-C 1625.04.

The blasting contractor will monitor ground vibrations produced by each blast and will produce printed seismograms of vibrations that are recorded in unites of particle velocity in inches/seconds. These printed measurements will be retained by the blasting contractor for a period of at least six months and will be made available to the town and state officials upon request.

The proposed blast plan is contained within the Excavation Site Plans for the Trinity Conservation LLC Sand and Gravel Operation, prepared by this office. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

Jason S. Hill Project Manager





Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re: Stone Crushing / sound abatement

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:

The purpose of this letter is to provide a narrative that outlines the details of the stone crushing operation and the noise control device proposed at the Greenhill Road Trinity Conservation site. The stone crushing at the site will be an ancillary activity in support of the main sand and gravel operation. The operation would be crushing granite rocks into smaller pieces, usually ¾ inch to 1 ½ inches, for building /road aggregates product. The crushing would be supported by one day of blasting every two months and followed up by crushing as needed during a period of 5 to 7 work days in that two month period.

Crushing would be accomplished by a mobile cone crusher, which is a small convenient granite crushing machine. It is a complete crushing unit, uniting crusher, belt conveyor and screening machinery. It is anticipated in the initial staging period of the operation of 12 to 18 months that the mobile crusher would not be permanently located at the site.

We have enclosed materials from a firm, Acoustical Solutions, Inc that consults/designs/installs specific sound solutions and among their areas of activity is outdoor commercial/industrial noise control. For example, we have researched that the average mobile crusher at the source, depending on the level of operation develops 80 to 92 dba. One of Acoustical's designs is a mobile sound curtain/ wall (a 14 foot tall sound mitigation screen) which has an STC rating of 27 or a reduction of approximately 22.6 dba's. We have enclosed the Estimated Noise Barrier Reduction, prepared by Acoustical Solutions, indicating the reduction of 22.6 dB produced using a 14 foot high moveable wall located at 20 feet from the crusher. Consequentially, the original levels would be reduced to below the 75dba level in the area of the source. The operator will focus on the source at the crusher and source of other activity in the excavation at ground level, where sound control is accomplished by the 14 foot tall sound curtain / wall as well as the embankment at the edge of the site, which will be 15 to 20 feet high at phase 3. Specific design will be accomplished when the operator sets up the operation site. Dealing with the source and path in general is superior than trying to mitigate the sound as it nears the receiver.

The attached information from Acoustical Solutions Inc has been submitted for the board's understanding of sound/noise and examples of systems engineered to mitigate sound in commercial and industrial sounds in outdoor applications. It is our understanding that this technology is currently widely used and we are confident that it can effectively deal with sound relative to the proposed operations and meet the town's ordinance that restricts the sound levels at the property line to not greater than 75 dba's.

The applicant would agree, as a condition of approval, that the operations will use a 20 foot sound mitigation screen. Additionally, testing of sound levels (3 times a year) by the operator will be performed, and the Town will be notified of such tests, so that a representative of the Town can be present.



Mobile Cone Crusher

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

Jason S. Hill Project Manager



2420 Grenoble Road Richmond, Virginia, 23294 Telephone: (800) 782-5742

Fax: (804) 346-8808

Estimated Noise Barrier Reduction

Date: 2/25/13

Project Name: NH Crusher Project Location: Barrington, NH

Contact: Jason Hill, TF Moran

**	*	_
----	---	---

Noise Level Chno	g. w/ Barrier
Delta A-wt=	22.6 dB

Elevations	(feet)
Source:	14
Receiver:	5
Top of Barrier 1:	14
Top of Barrier 2:	0
Distances	(feet)
Distances Receiver & Source:	(feet) 25
Receiver & Source:	25
Receiver & Source:	25


ΔdB	Sound Pressure Loss	Realative Loudness
0	0%	Reference
-3	50%	Perceptable Change
-6	75%	Noticeable Change
-10	90%	1/2 as Loud
-20	99%	1/4 as Loud
-30	99.9%	1/8 as Loud

1#/1"BBC-EXT-13

85d0n-22.6= ±62.4


The estimated change in sound level "with barrier" as given here was calculated based on elevation(s) and distance(s) data as provided to Acoustical Solutions, Inc.

This is not a guaranteed reduction only an expected estimate based on provided dimensions and estimated conditional data.



^{**} Sound Transmission Class (STC)

[†] Noise Reduction Coefficient (NRC)





Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re:

Proposed Hours of Operation

Trinity Conservation LLC Sand and Gravel Operation

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:


The purpose of this letter is to define and reaffirm the proposed hours of operation at the Trinity Conservation sand and gravel operation. The operation is proposed to be run year-round, excluding major holidays and as otherwise indicated in this letter. Normal business hours are proposed to be Monday through Friday from 8:00AM to 5:00 PM, where the business will be open to the public. Routine maintenance may be performed on the grounds on Saturday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM; however the business will be closed to the public on Saturday. Routine maintenance shall consist of cleaning equipment, reclamation, inspection and maintenance of storm water treatment and conveyance practices, maintenance of the access roadway and related activities.

Blasting and crushing are limited to normal business hours. The crushing would be supported by one day of blasting every two months and followed up by crushing as needed during a period of 5 to 7 work days in that two month period.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

Jason S. Hill Project Manager

48 Constitution Drive Bedford, NH 03110 Phone (603) 472-4488 Fax (603) 472-9747 www.tfmoran.com





Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re:

Excavation Site Plan

Map 210 Lot 57

Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:

I am writing to you on behalf of Trinity Conservation LLC in response to Dubois & King Inc.'s comment letter, dated February 4, 2013. The purpose of this letter is to address those concerns. The following outlines our response to these comments:

1. The stormwater report lists the elevation of the seasonal high water (ESHWT) table for boring #4 (the only boring within the confines of the sedimentation basin) as 171.8. However, the design criteria sheet states the ESHWT as 168. We would recommend that this discrepancy be addressed and the bottom of the basin be adjusted accordingly to provide an appropriate separation from the groundwater table.

We have attached a Test Borings Report, prepared by H.T.E Northeast, to accompany this letter. At boring H.T.E-1, groundwater was encountered at elevation 171. H.T.E-1 is located within the approximate limits of the infiltration basin. Accordingly, the design floor elevation of the infiltration basin has been raised to elevation 174 to provide the required separation to the water table. Attached please find summary for pond 1xP, Sediment Basin.

2. The proposed vehicle maintenance pad (sheet 11) does not appear to meet the best management practices for fueling and maintenance of excavation and earthmoving equipment in accordance with NHDES regulations. Specifically, secondary containment equipment used during mobile fueling should be sized to contain the most likely volume of fuel to be spilled during a fuel transfer. Containment examples would be a rigid or flexible "pop-up" pool or berm.

Based on our research we have found that most fleet trucks, which would likely access the site, have a fuel tank capacity of 240 to 280 gallons. As such, we have added secondary containment provisions to the proposed vehicle maintenance pad (sheet 11) detail, requiring the use of a flexible pool/berm during fueling. We have attached the product data sheet of a suitable product, manufactured by ChemTex Inc, intended for use on this project.

3. A sightline plan (sheet 6A) was submitted via email separate from the plan set. The plan has a January 30,2013 date. The sight distance is based on the driver's eye (7'6") for a large truck. However, the

48 Constitution Drive Bedford, NH 03110 Phone (603) 472-4488 Fax (603) 472-9747 www.tfmoran.com sight distance for a standard vehicle would appear to be much less based on the profile shown. We would recommend that the plan/profile be revised to include a standard vehicle.

We have added the sight line information relative to a passenger vehicle. See enclosed plan.

A resilient modulus of 38,500 psi was used in the analysis of the roadway. This number was obtained from Special Report 99-14, Resilient Modulus for New Hampshire Subgrade Soils for Use in a Mechanistic AASHTO Design, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1999. In Table 16 of the Report, five (5) different module are presented for varying soil types, and the H.T.E. has used the value for soil NH3. The modulus for the NH3 soils is much higher than any other modulus in the Table, and is based on soil containing high amounts of sand and gravel. Upon review of the boring logs, it appears that many of the samples contained more than a trace of fine sands, and therefore, soil type NH3 may not be representative of the soils found under Green Hill Road, specifically the eastern portion. We suggest that a more conservative resilient modulus be chosen, and that the analysis be rerun with the new value. It should also be noted that the resilience modulus values are based upon relatively dry soil conditions and that at times when there is more moisture in the road base the road will be more susceptible to damage due to the reduced strength of the soils. Based on the above, we do not agree that the typical Barrington Subdivision Regulations road construction standards are suitable for the east end of Green Hill Road. Specifically, Article 15.8 (Road Construction Standards) states "these are minimum standards" and "the amount of base course materials shall be increased in areas of poor soils and for roads carrying commercial/ industrial traffic."

We have enclosed HTE Northeast's, Inc. (HTE's) addendum to their January 3, 2013 letter report of a generalized pavement section analysis for Green Hill Road. This letter considers the comments of DuBois & King dated February 4, 2013.

We trust these responses address your concerns. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

Jason S. Hill Project Manager



Item Number: 8610

Item Name: Containment Berm

Containment Capacity: 269 Gallons

Color: Black



Amount	Length	Width	Height	Depth	Int. Dia.	Ext. Dia.	Weight
1 Each	6'	6'	1'		_	_	39 lbs.

Features and Benefits

- Protect heavy equipment from spills during filling, discharging, cleaning, and maintenance
- Smaller sizes provide total containment of drums, tanks, generators, compressors, and other equipment prone to leaks and spills
- Sidewalls lower quickly to allow drivein/drive-out
- No inflation required



PDS Generated: 6/12/12

Chemtex Inc • 1 Front Street • Cumberland RI 02864 Phone: 1-877-431-0200• Fax: 401-305-3033

EXHIBIT B: 100 YEAR HYDROCAID

SUMMARY Type III 24-hr 100 Rainfall=6.30

Printed 2/13/2013

Page 1

47052.00 Post-Development Drainage R 02-11-13

Prepared by TF Moran Inc.

HydroCAD® 10.00 s/n 00866 © 2011 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Pond 1xP: Sediment Basin

Inflow Area = 49.357 ac. 0.00% Impervious Inflow Depth = 1.04" for 100 event

Inflow 4.259 af

26.1 cfs @ 12.46 hrs, Volume= 6.0 cfs @ 14.93 hrs, Volume= 6.0 cfs @ 14.93 hrs, Volume= Outflow = 4.259 af. Atten= 77%. Lag= 148.0 min

Discarded = 4.259 af 0.0 cfs @ 5.00 hrs, Volume= Secondary = 0.000 af

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 5.00-48.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 174.97' @ 14.93 hrs Surf.Area= 51,852 sf Storage= 40,600 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 71.3 min calculated for 4.254 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 71.2 min (1,035.9 - 964.7)


Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	174.00'	255,000 cf	Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) ted below (Recalc)

Elevation	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)
174.00	32,000	0	0
176.00	73,000	105,000	105,000
177.80	76,500	134,550	239,550
178.00	78,000	15,450	255,000

Device	Routing	invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	174.00'	5.000 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area
#2	Secondary	177.80'	20.0' long x 10.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60
			Coef. (English) 2.49 2.56 2.70 2.69 2.68 2.69 2.67 2.64

Discarded OutFlowMax=6.0 cfs@ 14.93 hrs HW=174.97' (Free Discharge) 1=Exfiltration(Exfiltration Controls 6.0 cfs)

Secondary OutFlowMax=0.0 cfs@ 5.00 hrs HW=174.00' (Free Discharge) 2=Broad-Crested Rectangular WeiControls 0.0 cfs)





Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re: Green Hill Road Pavement Section Analysis

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:

I have attached the pavement section analysis completed by H T E Northeast Inc., relative to the proposed borrow pit and an addendum letter/recommended pavement section by H T E Northeast in response to comments from the town's engineer Dubois & King dated February 4, 2013.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

Jason S. Hill Project Manager



Environmental Engineering Geotechnical Engineering Water Resources Hydrogeology

February 11, 2013 Project No. 4895A

Mr. Jason Hill, Project Manager T. F. Moran, Inc. 48 Constitution Drive Bedford, New Hampshire 03110

RE: Pavement Section Assessments - Addendum

Proposed Roadway Use Change

Proposed Borrow Pit: #204 Green Hill Road

Barrington, New Hampshire

Dear Jason:

This correspondence presents HTE Northeast's, Inc. (HTE's) addendum to our January 3, 2013 letter report of a generalized pavement section analysis for Green Hill Road. This letter considers the comments of DuBois & King dated February 4, 2013.

As a general comment, while the proposed activity results in a significant increase in traffic for the road, the traffic loading still remains low overall relative to ESALs (Equivalent Single Axle Loads).

Except for the B-1/B-2 portion of the project, the conclusions of the January 3, 2013 report are still considered appropriate.

Relative to the east portion of the road, it is recommended that the east 1,250± feet of roadway (includes in the vicinity of test borings B-1 and B-2) should have full box reconstruction to Town specifications. The recommended pavement section is as follows:

	HEAVY DUTY PAVEMENT SECTION (i.e. Truck Traffic) for East Portion
BITUMINOUS CONCRETE: Top Course Thickness Binder Course Thickness	
SUPPORT MATERIALS: Base Course Thickness (NHDOT Item No. 304.3) Subbase Course Thickness (NHDOT Item No. 304.2)	8 inches



Pavement Section Analyses - Addendum Green Hill Road Barrington, New Hampshire

February 11, 2013 Project No. 4895A Page No. 2

It should be noted that it will be necessary for the field engineer, at the time of construction, to verify that adequate removal of unsuitable subgrade soils is achieved and to assess for the need to place a layer of geotextile stabilization fabric over inundated and/or sensitive silty subsoil, marine clay or till subgrade surfaces prior to the placement of pavement support materials. All organic soils should be removed.

This revised recommended pavement section for the east area considers: 1) the subgrade as noted in the borings and 2) the more shallow groundwater conditions in this area.

We trust that your office will find this additional information helpful. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned for further information as necessary.

Very truly yours,

HTE NORTHEAST, INC.

Roger B. Keilig, PE, PG, CPESC

Sr. Project Manager



Environmental Engineering Geotechnical Engineering Water Resources Hydrogeology

January 3, 2013 Project No. 4895A

Mr. Jason Hill, Project Manager T. F. Moran, Inc. 48 Constitution Drive Bedford, New Hampshire 03110

RE: Pavement Section Assessments

Proposed Roadway Use Change

Proposed Borrow Pit: #204 Green Hill Road

Barrington, New Hampshire

Dear Jason:

This correspondence presents HTE Northeast's, Inc. (HTE's) letter report of a generalized pavement section analysis for Green Hill Road. This work was performed in general accordance with our proposal No. P-9581A dated December 13, 2012. The analyses also consider the inplace subgrade soils information presented in out 'Nov. 6, 2012 Test Borings Results Tables' correspondence dated November 12, 2012. The test boring data indicated that, except for the east 1,250± feet of the road, the in-place support soils were medium dense to dense inorganic granular materials to depths ≥3'±. Subgrades for the east 1,250± feet of the road included intermittent organic soils and relatively shallow groundwater.

We were requested to perform analyses and provide recommendations for the Green Hill Road pavement section considering school bus loads as well as to support trailer-dump trucks from the borrow pit, assuming that the tractor trailer dump trucks will be transporting from the pit (23 CY maximum load). We have been informed to assume a maximum 30 hauls / day each way (60 trips total) with each haul as 18 CY gravel (generally).

Green Hill Road outlets to Route 202 to the west and Route 125 to the east. It is understood that paving (binder course only) was performed for the east portion of Green Hill Road in 2009 and for the west portion of Green Hill Road in 2010. Full box re-construction to Town specification did not occur. NHDOT traffic count data indicates a traffic volume of 1800 vehicles per day (vpd) for 2011 for Green Hill Road over Isinglass River (up from 1700 vpd in 2008). Dale Transportation indicated the following relative to school bus traffic: three AM runs per day for pickup (1 each for elementary/ middle/ and high school) and correspondingly three PM runs per day for drop-offs (all with full-size school bus).



Pavement Section Analyses Green Hill Road Barrington, New Hampshire January 3, 2013 Project No. 4895A Page No. 2

Information on existing heavy truck traffic was not available; for our analyses, we assumed that less than ten (10) heavy trucks (5-axel) travel the road per day.

A) SUMMARY of ANALYSES

Our assessment of pavement section performance was based on softwares based on the 'mechanistic/empirical' method of pavement support analysis. Analyses were performed using the 'MnPave' program developed by Minnesota Department of Transportation (MNDOT) and the SW-1 Asphalt Pavement Thickness Design Software program developed by the Asphalt Institute.

SW-1 was used to model traffic conditions before and after the construction of the borrow pit and subsequent addition of thirty 5-axle trailer-dump trucks a day to the existing traffic volume. MnPave is a program created by the MN DOT for asphalt reliability calculations based on traffic volume, subsurface conditions, and asphalt thickness. Given the existing and proposed traffic conditions, MnPAVE was used to calculate the reliability of the existing Green Hill Road. The following information or assumptions were considered for our analyses:

1) ESALs (Equivalent Single Axle Loads) derived from SW-1 Asphalt Pavement Thickness Design Software (refer to two attached SW-1 analyses results printouts):

a. ESALs Existing:

127,000 / 20 years

b. ESALs Proposed:

411,000 / 20 years

- c. Traffic volume estimates for the existing Green Hill Road were 1800 vpd with an estimated 5% trucks.
- d. Traffic volume estimates for the proposed Greenhill Road were 1830 vpd with 6.6% trucks. Additional trailer-dump trucks were categorized as 5-Axle Multiple Unit Trucks.
- e. SW-1 recommends 4 inches minimum of asphalt, this is the program minimum. Three inches (3"), asphalt thickness per the specifications of the Town of Barrington, was used in the Reliability Analysis.
- f. Resilient Modulus of 38500 psi obtained from Special Report 99-14, Resilient Modulus for New Hampshire Subgrade Soils for Use in Mechanistic AASHTO Design, US Army Corps of Engineers, 1999.
- 2) Reliability Estimates generated using MnPAVE from the MN DOT (refer to six attached MnPave analyses results printouts):
 - a. Houston County (MN) was selected for its similar climate to Barrington (NH), average, max and min temps.



Pavement Section Analyses Green Hill Road Barrington, New Hampshire

January 3, 2013 Project No. 4895A Page No. 3

- b. Calculations based on assumption final wearing course of asphalt is installed to bring the total thickness to >3 inches.
- c. Green Hill Road was analyzed considering the east 1,250± feet of road and then the remainder (west section) based on subsurface explorations and the presence of unsuitable road base material observed under the east (1,250°±) portion of the road.
- d. Road structure based on Town of Barrington Road Design and Construction Standards and
- e. MNDOT Class 7 gravel is most similar to NHDOT 304.3 based on gradation requirements and was used in the analysis.

Table 1 - Tabulated Results Based on MnPave

Table 1 – Tabulated Results Dased on Mili ave					
Reliability Estimates	Existing Rd. East	Existing Rd. West			
(Fatigue, Rutting) %					
Existing ESAL					
127,000 / 20 years					
Fatigue	100%	100%			
Rutting	99.8%	100%			
Proposed ESAL					
411,000 / 20 years					
Fatigue	96.8%	99.7%			
Rutting	76.5%	100%			
Maximum ESAL	340,000 / 20 years	880,000 / 20 years			
Fatigue	85.8%	85.4%			
Rutting	85.8%	99.9%			

Note: Acceptable reliability is >85%.

B) <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

Based on our generalized pavement section analyses, the following conclusions are offered:

Existing Roadway Traffic

• It is considered, that with the addition of a 1" thickness wearing course, that the existing roadway can support the current traffic with limited impact (overall) to the road condition.



Pavement Section Analyses Green Hill Road Barrington, New Hampshire

January 3, 2013 Project No. 4895A Page No. 4

Except that the section of road (east portion) in the vicinity of test borings B-1 and B-2 was constructed over unsuitable organic and/or silty materials (groundwater also appeared to be more shallow in this area), and this section of road is already showing signs of wear and cracking.

With the Proposed Borrow Pit

- The majority of the existing Green Hill Road, if completed with addition of a 1.5" wearing course, would be suitable to support the projected increase in heavy truck traffic resultant from the activation of the borrow gravel pit and subsequent increase of thirty 5axle trailer-dump trucks.
- The entrance to the borrow pit will see approximately 50% more ESALs from the heavy trucks as well as the additional wear from turning vehicles. Accordingly, two inches of wearing course is recommended for the 100 feet of Green Hill Road to either side of the proposed borrow pit entrance.
- It is recommended that the east 1,250± feet of roadway (includes in the vicinity of test borings B-1 and B-2) should have full box reconstruction to Town specifications.

We trust that your office will find this information helpful. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned for further information as necessary.

Very truly yours,

HTE NORTHEAST, INC.


Roger B. Keilig, PE, PG, CPESC Sr. Project Manager

Attachments: A) SW-1 analyses results printouts (2); b) MnPave analyses results printouts (6)



Pavement Design Detail Report

SW-1 Thickness Design Software version 1.0




User:		sdoherty	Date:	1/3/2013	Time:	10:06
		Project	Informa	tion		
Project N	oject Name: Green Hill Rd. Existing					
Description	on:		Green H condition	ill Rd. ESALs ns.	s under cur	rent
Pavement	t Use:		General	Roadway		
Problem '	Туре:		New Pay	ement Design	n	
		Design I	nput Sum	mary		
Climate:			45° F			
Design T	raffic	(ESAL):	126,627			
Subgrade	$M_r(p)$	si):	38,500			
		Design '	Γraffic D	etails		
Design L	ife (ye	ars):	20			
Design L	ane Fa	ctor:	0.8			
Initial Av (AADT):		Annual Daily Traffic	1800			
Truck Vo AADT:	olume,	as a percentage of	5			
Annual C	Compo	und Growth Rate (%):	5): 2			
Type of u	ısage:		Rural			
Truck Cla	assific	ation	% Truck	TS .	Truck Fa	actor
TRUCK(2-AX	LE,4-TIRE)	70		0.01	
TRUCK(2-AX	LE,6-TIRE)	15		0.30	
TRUCK([3-AX]	LE or MORE)	10		0.90	8

MULT.TRUCK(<=4-AXLE)		0.64		
MULT.TRUCK(5-AXLE)		2 1.36		
MULT.TRUCK(>=6-AXLE)	1	1.63		
TOTAL:	100	N/A		
Calculated Equival	ent Single Axle Lo	ads (ESAL)		
Initial Year Traffic (ESAL):	5,211			
Design Life (ESAL):	126,627			
Subgr	ade Information		ø	
Type of Measurement:	Resilient Modu	Resilient Modulus (M _r)		
Correlation Equation:	N/A			
Recommended Design Strength Percentile	75.0			
Design Strength Percentile:	N/A			
Individual M _r Values	M _r			
marriadar W _r varios	38,500	38,500		
Average:	38,500	38,500		
Std Dev:	0	0		
Design M _r	38,500			
D	esign Results			
HMA Thickness (in)	4.0			
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	18.0			



Pavement Design Detail Report

SW-1 Thickness Design Software version 1.0



User:	sdoherty	Date:	1/3/2013	Time:	10:51
	Projec	t Inform	ation		
Project Na	Project Name: Green Hill Rd. Proposed				
Description	1:	Green H conditio	lill Rd. ESAI ns.	s under pro	posed
Pavement 1	Use:	General	Roadway		
Problem T	ype:	New Pa	vement Desig	gn	
	Design I	nput Sui	nmary		
Climate:		45° F			
Design Tra	iffic (ESAL):	411,885			
Subgrade N	Subgrade M _r (psi): 38,500				
	Design	Traffic I	Details		
Design Lif	e (years):	20			
Design La	ne Factor:	0.8			
Initial Ave (AADT):	rage Annual Daily Traffic	1830			
Truck Volu AADT:	ume, as a percentage of	6.6			
Annual Co (%):	mpound Growth Rate	2			
Type of us	age:	Rural			
Truck Clas	ssification	% Truc	ks	Truck F	actor
TRUCK(2	-AXLE,4-TIRE)	53		0.01	
TRUCK(2	-AXLE,6-TIRE)	12		0.30	

TRUCK(3-AXLE or MORE)	7	0.90		
MULT.TRUCK(<=4-AXLE)	1	0.64		
MULT.TRUCK(5-AXLE)	26	1.36		
MULT.TRUCK(>=6-AXLE)	1	1.63		
TOTAL:	100	N/A		
Calculated Equival	ent Single Axle Lo	ads (ESAL)		
Initial Year Traffic (ESAL):	16,950			
Design Life (ESAL):	411,885			
Subgr	ade Information			
Type of Measurement:	Resilient Moduli	ıs (M _r)		
Correlation Equation:	N/A			
Recommended Design Strength Percentile	75.0			
Design Strength Percentile:	N/A			
Individual M _r Values	M _r			
That was the same of the same	38,500			
Average:	38,500	38,500		
Std Dev:	0	0		
Design M _r	38,500			
D	esign Results			
HMA Thickness (in)	4.0			
Aggregate Base Thickness (in)	18.0			

MnPAVE Design Summary

MnPAVE 6.210 Simulation Input File: Greenhill East Existing

Confidence and Reliability do not necessarily agree. All layer values are reduced based on Confidence. Monte Carlo Reliability randomly selects values for each layer. Use Reliability for final design.

Preliminary Life Estimate		20-Year Reliability		
Fatigue Rutting		Fatigue	e Rutting	
>50 years	46 years	100%	99.8%	

Project Information

District	County	City
6	Houston	Barrington
Project Number	Route	Reference Post
4895A	Green Hill Rd	from to
Letting Date	Construction Type	
12/27/12		
Designer		Soils Engineer
Sean Doherty		Roger Keilig

Climate Information

Season Mode	Location
Days	43° 44' Latitude, 91° 25' Longitude

Structural Information (Design Level: Intermediate)

` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Layer	Type	Subtype	Height (in.)
1	Hot-Mix Asphalt	PG52-34	3.00
2	Aggregate Base	FDR / Class 7	12.00
3	Engineered Soil	Silt	6.00
4	Engineered Soil	Silt Loam (plastic)	12.00
5	Engineered Soil	Silty Clay	

Traffic Information

Load Type	Total Repetitions
ESALs	127,000

Notes

Reliability Statistics for Green Hill Road east of the proposed gravel pit under current conditions.

20 Year Reliability of > 85% recommended for ESALs <1,000,000 Houston County MN selected for climate similarities with Barrington NH

The Minnesota Department of Transportation makes no guarantee or warranty, either express or implied, with respect to the reuse of the data provided herewith, regardless of its format or means of its transmission. The user accepts the data "as is", and assumes all risks associated with its use. By accepting this data, the user agrees not to transmit this data or provide access to it or any part of it to another party unless the user shall include with the data a copy of this disclaimer. The Minnesota Department of Transportation assumes no responsibility, actual or consequential, for damage that results from any user's reliance on this data.

MnPAVE 6.210 Simulation Input File: Greenhill East Max

Confidence and Reliability do not necessarily agree. All layer values are reduced based on Confidence. Monte Carlo Reliability randomly selects values for each layer. Use Reliability for final design.

Preliminary Life Estimate		20-Year F	Reliability
Fatigue	Rutting	Fatigue	Rutting
37 years	20 years	98.5%	86.7%

Project Information

District	County	City
6	Houston	Barrington
Project Number	Route	Reference Post
4895A	Greenhill Rd	from to
Letting Date	Construction Type	
12/27/12		
Designer		Soils Engineer
Sean Doherty		Roger Keilig

Climate Information

Season Mode	Location	
Days	43° 44' Latitude, 91° 25' Longitude	

Structural Information (Design Level: Intermediate)

Layer	Type	Subtype	Height (in.)
1	Hot-Mix Asphalt	PG52-34	3.00
2	Aggregate Base	FDR / Class 7	12.00
3	Engineered Soil	Silt	6.00
4	Engineered Soil	Silt Loam (plastic)	12.00
5	Engineered Soil	Silty Clay	

Traffic Information

Load Type	Total Repetitions
ESALs	340,000

Notes

Maximum load Reliability Statistics for Green Hill Road east of the proposed gravel pit.

20 Year Reliability of > 85% recommended for ESALs <1,000,000 Houston County MN selected for climate similarities with Barrington NH

The Minnesota Department of Transportation makes no guarantee or warranty, either express or implied, with respect to the reuse of the data provided herewith, regardless of its format or means of its transmission. The user accepts the data "as is", and assumes all risks associated with its use. By accepting this data, the user agrees not to transmit this data or provide access to it or any part of it to another party unless the user shall include with the data a copy of this disclaimer. The Minnesota Department of Transportation assumes no responsibility, actual or consequential, for damage that results from any user's reliance on this data.

Printed Thursday, January 03, 2013 at 11:07:19

MnPAVE 6.210 Simulation Input File: Greenhill East Proposed

Confidence and Reliability do not necessarily agree. All layer values are reduced based on Confidence. Monte Carlo Reliability randomly selects values for each layer. Use Reliability for final design.

Preliminary I	Preliminary Life Estimate		Reliability
Fatigue	Rutting	Fatigue	Rutting
31 years	17 years	95%	77.2%

Project Information

District	County	City	
6	Houston	Barrington	
Project Number	Route	Reference Post	
4895A	Greenhill Rd	from to	
Letting Date	Constru	ction Type	
12/27/12			
Designer		Soils Engineer	
Sean Doherty		Roger Keilig	

Climate Information

Season Mode	Location	
Days	43° 44' Latitude, 91° 25' Longitude	

Structural Information (Design Level: Intermediate)

Layer	Туре	Subtype	Height (in.)
1	Hot-Mix Asphalt	PG52-34	3.00
2	Aggregate Base	FDR / Class 7	12.00
3	Engineered Soil	Silt	6.00
4	Engineered Soil	Silt Loam (plastic)	12.00
5	Engineered Soil	Silty Clay	

Traffic Information

Load Type	Total Repetitions
ESALs	412,000

Notes

Reliability Statistics for Green Hill Road east of the proposed gravel pit under propused conditions.

20 Year Reliability of > 85% recommended for ESALs <1,000,000 Houston County MN selected for climate similarities with Barrington NH

MnPAVE 6.210 Simulation Input File: Greenhill West Existing

Confidence and Reliability do not necessarily agree. All layer values are reduced based on Confidence. Monte Carlo Reliability randomly selects values for each layer. Use Reliability for final design.

Preliminary Life Estimate		20-Year F	Reliability
Fatigue	Rutting	Fatigue	Rutting
>50 years	>50 years	100%	100%

Project Information

District	County	City	
6	Houston	Barrington	
Project Number	Route	Reference Post	
4895A	Greenhill Rd	from to	
Letting Date	Construction Type		
12/27/12			
Designer		Soils Engineer	
Sean Doherty		Roger Keilig	

Climate Information

Season Mode	Location
Days	43° 44' Latitude, 91° 25' Longitude

Structural Information (Design Level: Intermediate)

Layer	Type	Subtype	Height (in.)
1	Hot-Mix Asphalt	PG58-34	3.00
2	Aggregate Base	FDR / Class 7	6.00
3	Aggregate Base	MNDOT Class 6	12.00
4	Engineered Soil	Sand	

Traffic Information

Load Type	Total Repetitions
ESALs	127,000

Notes

Reliability Statistics for Green Hill Road west of the proposed gravel pit under current conditions.

20 Year Reliability of > 85% recommended for ESALs <1,000,000 Houston County MN selected for climate similarities with Barrington NH

MnPAVE 6.210 Simulation Input File: Greenhill West Max

Confidence and Reliability do not necessarily agree. All layer values are reduced based on Confidence. Monte Carlo Reliability randomly selects values for each layer. Use Reliability for final design.

Preliminary Life Estimate		20-Year Reliability	
Fatigue	Rutting	Fatigue	Rutting
22 years	49 years	85.4%	99.9%

Project Information

District	County	City
6	Houston	Barrington
Project Number	Route	Reference Post
4895A	Greenhill Rd	from to
Letting Date	Constru	ction Type
12/27/12		
Designer		Soils Engineer
Sean Doherty		Roger Keilig

Climate Information

Season Mode	Location
Days	43° 44' Latitude, 91° 25' Longitude

Structural Information (Design Level: Intermediate)

Layer	Type	Subtype	Height (in.)
1	Hot-Mix Asphalt	PG58-34	3.00
2	Aggregate Base	FDR / Class 7	6.00
3	Aggregate Base	MNDOT Class 6	12.00
4	Engineered Soil	Sand	

Traffic Information

Load Type	Total Repetitions
ESALs	880,000

Notes

Maximum Load Reliability Statistics for Green Hill Road west of the proposed gravel.

20 Year Reliability of > 85% recommended for ESALs <1,000,000 Houston County MN selected for climate similarities with Barrington NH

MnPAVE 6.210 Simulation Input File: Greenhill West Proposed

Confidence and Reliability do not necessarily agree. All layer values are reduced based on Confidence. Monte Carlo Reliability randomly selects values for each layer. Use Reliability for final design.

Preliminary Life Estimate		20-Year F	Reliability
Fatigue	Rutting	Fatigue	Rutting
41 years	>50 years	99.8%	100%

Project Information

District	County	City
6	Houston	Barrington
Project Number	Route	Reference Post
4895A	Greenhill Rd	from to
Letting Date	Constru	ction Type
12/27/12		
Designer		Soils Engineer
Sean Doherty		Roger Keilig

Climate Information

Season Mode	Location
Days	43° 44' Latitude, 91° 25' Longitude

Structural Information (Design Level: Intermediate)

Layer	Type	Subtype	Height (in.)
1	Hot-Mix Asphalt	PG58-34	3.00
2	Aggregate Base	FDR / Class 7	6.00
3	Aggregate Base	MNDOT Class 6	12.00
4	Engineered Soil	Sand	

Traffic Information

Load Type	Total Repetitions
ESALs	412,000

Notes

Reliability Statistics for Green Hill Road west of the proposed gravel pit under proposed conditions.

20 Year Reliability of > 85% recommended for ESALs <1,000,000 Houston County MN selected for climate similarities with Barrington NH



November 12, 2012 Project No. 4895

Mr. Jason Hill, Project Manager TF Moran, Inc. 48 Constitution Drive Bedford, New Hampshire 03110

RE: Nov. 6, 2012 Test Borings Results Tables

Proposed Roadway Use Change Green Hill Road & Hansonville Road

Barrington, New Hampshire

Dear Jason:

Submitted herewith is HTE Northeast's, Inc. (HTE's) tabulation of test borings performed along Green Hill Road and Hansonville Road. This work was performed in general accordance with our proposal No. P-9581 dated October 18, 2012. It is understood that a borrow pit is proposed for a parcel to the north side of Green Hill Road and east of Hansonville Road. All of the Greenhill Road test borings were performed within the west-bound travel lane.

It is understood that paving (binder course only) was performed for the east portion of Green Hill Road in 2009 and for the west portion of Green Hill Road in 2010. Hansonville Road was last paved about $10\pm$ years ago.

Relative to the proposed borrow pit activities it is understood that up to 15 dump-truck or trailerdump trips are proposed each for outlet to Route 202 to the west and Route 125 to the east.

A) SUMMARY of TEST BORINGS

The test borings were performed with an Acker Soil Sentry truck-mounted test boring drill rig. The split spoon sampling was by the hammer free-fall (not auto-hammer) method.

The test borings were advanced by the continuous split spoon sample method to depths of down to 6± feet. Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) were performed in each test boring in general accordance with ASTM D 1586 at 5-foot intervals. The SPT consists of driving a 1¾ inch I.D. split spoon sampler with a 140 pound hammer falling 30 inches. The blows for each 6 inches of penetration are recorded for a total of 18 to 24 inches. The sum of the blows required to drive the sampler from 6 inches to 18 inches penetration is referred to as the Standard Penetration Resistance, or N-value, which is an index measure of in-situ soil density or consistency.



Road Test Borings Green Hill Road Barrington, New Hampshire

November 12, 2012 Project No. 4895 Page No. 2

For the soil sampling, the #1 split-spoon was generally driven (starting from beneath pavement) from 0.3'± to 2.3'± and the #2 split-spoon was generally driven from 2.3'± to 4.3'± The following tables present a summary of the borings:

Table 1 – Green Hill Road Test Borings

	en min Koau	550 250111155	-		
LOCATION	ASPHALT	BLOWS/6":	BLOWS/6":	SOILS: #1	SOILS: #2
& NOTES	THICKNESS	$0.3'\pm$ to $2.3'\pm$	$2.3'\pm$ to $4.3'\pm$	SPOON	SPOON
B-1; #33	2½±	20-16-8-6	7-10-7-6	9" S&G/4" Silt	Silt/ 2" Org./m-c Sand; wet @3.3'
B-1		Blows 4.3' – 6. 6-9-8-11	3'	Spoon #3: Marine	clay + silt
B-2; #47 Hse	3±	15-13-5-6	7-11-13-20	10" S&G/Silt/ Org. Silt	f-m Sand; wet @ 3.6'
Note on B-1/B-2	areas: Cracks pre-	valent these areas.			
B-3; #98 Dr.	3±	9-10-13-13	19-18-17-19	6" S&G/ f-m Sand	F to f-c Sand; little Gravel
B-4;#144 Hse	3½±	9-10-13-13	14-13-9-10	4" S&G/ f-m Sand; Li. Gravel	f-c Sand; little Gravel
B-5; Nte 1	3½±	10-26-24-16	13-11-14-16	S&G	Poor recovery
B-5A; Nte 1A	3½±	13-9-5-7	6-6-13-11	S&G	Gravelly Sand
B-6; #195 Dr.	2±	13-12-11-15	19-21-25/0"	S&G	Sandy Till
B-7;#232 E	3±	29-27-17-13	13-10-14-23	S&G	Sandy Till
B-8; #251 W	2½±	27-30-18-24	14-8-5-4	S&G	S&G/Org. Silt/f Sand
B-9; UP 35NET&T	3½±	20-16-9-6	4-3-5-4	S&G	Topsoil/subsoil
B-10; Nte 2	2±	20-16-8-8	5-6-8-13	S&G/m Sand	Silty f-m Sand
B-11; #348 W	2½±	27-20-21-16	13-14-6-6	S&G	Sand/Slight Org. Sand; wet @3.8'
B-12; #382 Hse	3±	21-23-21-16	20-18-30-28	Gravelly Sand	Gravelly Sand
B-13; at Mahala	3±	27-20-18-17	11-16-12-12	Gravelly Sand/Till	Glacial Till
B-14; #484 E 100'	3±	27-20-12-12	9-12-4-14	Gravelly Sand	Sand/Org. Silt; wet @3.5'
B-14A	3±	15-20-16-9	8-13-4-3		
B-15; UP 622/46 E; Nte 3	2±	20-21-22-16	13-12-7-25/0"	Gravelly Sand	Gravelly Sand

Hse = Front of house; Dr. = at driveway; E = east of; W = west of; S&G = Sand & Gravel (generally); Org. = organic; Till = Glacial till (native); f = fine; m = medium; c = coarse. Nte = Note; UP = utility pole. Nte 1 = East of Seavey bridge road at 20 mph sign. Nte 2 = at Brooks Road intersection. Nte 3 = 200'± east of Rte 202.



Road Test Borings Green Hill Road Barrington, New Hampshire November 12, 2012 Project No. 4895 Page No. 3

Table 2 – Hansonville Road Test Borings

LOCATION	ASPHALT	BLOWS/6":	BLOWS/6":	SOILS: #1	SOILS: #2
& NOTES	THICKNESS	$0.3'\pm$ to $2.3'\pm$	$2.3'\pm$ to $4.3'\pm$	SPOON	SPOON
H-1; Near Green Hll Rd	3½±	18-17-13-7	6-5-13-15	5" S&G/m Sand (Gravelly)	Silty Sand /f-m Sand; wet@ 3'
H-2; #47 Hse	4±	13-20-21-19	23-8-8-14	Gravelly f-m Sand	Gravelly f-m Sand/f Sand; wet @3'
H-2A; at wtlds.	3½±	15-16-11-9	7-5-11-7	Silty Sand fill; Li. Gravel	Slight Org. Sand/m sand
H-3; Nte 5	3½±	20-23-16-19	14-5-5-6	Gravelly Sand	f-m Sand/Marine; wet @3'
H-3A; #51 MB	3½±	13-17-16- 14	16-16-15-26	7" S&G/m Sand	Sandy Till
H-4	3±	16-20-6-6	7-6-7-13	Gravelly f-m sand/silty Sand	Silty Sand fill' Sandy Till

MB = mailbox; Wtlds = wetlands. Nte 4: South of UP 607A/46. Nte 5: 200'± south of Sonia Way.

Representative soil samples and photographs taken of soil samples and of roadway areas in the vicinities of test borings and of roadway cracks are on file at HTE.

We trust that your office will find this information helpful and we appreciate the opportunity to be of continued service to your office. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned for further information as necessary.


Very truly yours,

HTE NORTHEAST, INC.

Roger B. Keilig, PE, PG, CPESC

Sr. Project Manager







February 22, 2013

Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re: Green Hill Road Pavement Section Analysis

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:

We have completed an engineer's cost estimate for the proposed improvements to Green Hill Road. The total cost of the project can be broken down into two components; a western overly of 10,750 feet (Task 1) and an eastern 1,250 feet of full box reconstruction (Task 2). Condition 1 includes a general pavement overlay where an additional one inch of pavement is necessary to support existing traffic loads. Condition 2 represents the additional ½ inch of pavement required to support anticipated traffic loads from the sand and gravel operation (for a total overlay depth of 1 ½ inches. Condition 3 is the additional pavement required to support traffic loads within 100 feet of the driveway intersection. The estimated total cost to improve the full length of Green Hill Road from Route 125 to Route 202 is \$268,034.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

Jason S. Hill Project Manager

Revised 02/11/13 Total Road Length of Need Average Road width


12000 LF 20 LF

22 LF (Eastern 1250 LF only)

10750 LF	
1314 TON	
\$70	
\$91,980	
\$13,797.00	
\$105,777.00	
10550 LF	
1934 TON	
\$70	
\$135,380	
\$20,307.00	
\$155,687.00	
thin 200	
54 TON	
\$70	
\$3,780	
\$567.00	
\$4,347.00	
	1314 TON \$70 \$91,980 \$13,797.00 \$105,777.00 10550 LF 1934 TON \$70 \$135,380 \$20,307.00 \$155,687.00 \$155,687.00

Task 2: Full Box Reconstruction 22' wide (Eastern 125	50	
feet less 250 feet at NHDOT 16201)	1000 LF	
3 1/2" HBP/8" Crushed Gravel/16" BR Gravel	\$108.00 \$/LF	
Total Cost	\$108,000.00	

Overall Project Cost Summary	Total Cost
Task 1	\$160,034.00
Task 2	\$108,000.00
Net Service Control of the Control o	\$268,034.00





February 22, 2013

Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re:

NHDOT Correspondence Excavation Site Plan Map 210 Lot 57

Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:

At the request of Marcia Gasses, town planner, we have contacted Kevin Russell, District Engineer at NH Department of Transportation (NHDOT) who has suggested we provide the Board with turning template plans for the US 202 intersection at Green Hill Road. As such we have prepared the enclosed turning movement plan based on a WB-62design vehicle and NHDOT as built plans at the US202/Greenhill Road intersection. The plan indicates minor tire off-tracking may occur for trucks turning right (North) from Greehill Road onto US202 and for trucks turing right (East) from US202 onto Greenhill Road. Based on our projected peak of 15 trips to Route 202 it is probable that a max. of 25% of the 15 will be semi trailer dump. This means that we expect 3-4 WB62+worst case scenario day. Given the existence of limited WB62+ traffic on the road today I would not anticipate a minor increase to warrant any pavement widening/flaring at the Route 202 intersection.

Additionally, we have coordinated with William Oldenburg, administrator, at NHDOT regarding the planned Route 125 / Greenhill Road/ Tollend Rd. intersection project. As such, NHDOT has modified their intersection design to account for the additional truck traffic. I have attached relevant correspondence with NHDOT regarding the matter.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

48 Constitution Drive Bedford, NH 03110 Phone (603) 472-4488 Fax (603) 472-9747 www.tfmoran.com

Jason S. Hill Project Manager

Jason Hill

From:

William Oldenburg [WOldenburg@dot.state.nh.us]

Sent:

Tuesday, January 08, 2013 3:13 PM

To:

Jason Hill

Subject:

Barrington NH 125 at Greenhill Road

Attachments:

16201POP0001.TIF; 16201POP0011.TIF; 16201POP0012.TIF; 16201POP0013.TIF;

16201POP0014.TIF

Jason -

Attached are the general plans and pavement marking layout for the Barrington project. We have received some limited correspondence from the regional planning commission concerning your project.

After discussing internally the proposed gravel pit operation and the types of trucks that are anticipated we are in the process of meeting to discuss possible changes to the turning radii from NH 125 to Greenhill Road. The current design will not accommodate a WB-62 sized gravel truck. Since it is our understanding this type of vehicle will be used quite a bit on this site we are looking at changes to the design to accommodate the large tractor trailer sized dump trucks. With our initial review we anticipate increasing the radii and relocating one of the signal mast arms.


Out internal meeting is tomorrow, we can discuss after that if necessary.

Thanks,

Bill

Bill Oldenburg, Administrator NH Department of Transportation Bureau of Highway Design P.O. Box 483 7 Hazen Drive, Concord, NH 03302 Phone: (603) 271-2171







February 22, 2013

Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re: Green Hill Road over Isinglass River (NHDOT Bridge No. 109/162)

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:

At the request NH Department of Transportation (NHDOT), we have provided the design specifications of the anticipated trucks to Marcia Gasses for coordination with Stephen Liakos PE, bridge engineer with NHDOT. Subsequently, we have received an email from Stephen Liakos stating that the vehicles appear to be in compliance with the bridge posting. I have attached relevant correspondence with NHDOT regarding the matter.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

Jason S. Hill Project Manager



18 Constitution Drive, Suite 8 Bedford, NH 03110 Tele: (603) 637-1043 Fax: (866) 783-7101

ENGINEERING • PLANNING • MANAGEMENT • DEVELOPMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Marcia Gasses, Town Planner

RE: Green Hill Road Bridge (NHDOT bridge No. 109/162)

DATE: October 24, 2012

The purpose of this memorandum is to document the above-referenced project's on-site meeting held on October 10, 2012.

Attendees

Stephen Liakos

NHDOT, District 6 Bridge Engineer

John Scruton Marcia Gasses Barrington Town Manager Barrington Town Planner

Peter Cook Richard Conway Barrington Road Agent Barrington Police Chief

Minutes

1. Bridge Review

- A. Per the request of the Town of Barrington, the above-listed group met on-site to review the existing condition of Green Hill Road bridge.
- B. Stephen Liakos provided the Town with the New Hampshire Department of Transportation's (NHDOT) Bridge Inspection Report related to the Green Hill Road Bridge (NHDOT bridge No. 109/162). Stephen informed the group that the bridge is eighteen (18) feet in width, measured from face of curb to face of curb, which categorizes the bridge as a two-lane bridge. Stephen continued by stating that although the bridge is categorized as a two-lane, it is on the smaller side of a two-lane bridge definition. Stephen reported that the bridge structure is currently in satisfactory condition, but is concerned with the 6-inch concrete deck. According to Stephen, bridges should consist of an eight (8) inch concrete bridge deck, at a minimum. That stated, Stephen continued by noting the deck is in satisfactory conditions.
- C. Peter Cook inquired from Stephen if the existing bridge structure would have the capacity to handle truck traffic with gravel loads. Stephen responded that the bride structure is currently rated as a C2, which indicates Caution Crossing Bridge. Therefore, Certified Vehicles (both Single Unit and Combination Vehicles) are required to wait until they can cross the bridge with no other trucks on the bridge. With respect to determine the impact of the new truck route over Green Hill Road Bridge, Stephen recommended that the Town request specifications of the proposed truck that will be utilizing the bridge. Once truck information is obtained, Stephen noted to the Town that the District could perform a structural calculation to determine the anticipated impacts on the bridge structure.

2. Traffic Maintenance

A. Peter inquired from Stephen if there were any postings that should be added within the vicinity of the bridge to prolong the life of the structure during the period of the added truck traffic. Stephen reported that the speed limit of Green Hill Road is posted at 45 m.p.h. and

Green Hill Road Bridge (NHDOT bridge No. 109/162) October 24, 2012 Page 2 of 2

recommended that the speed be reduced to 20 or 30 m.p.h. Stephen stated that the reduction in speed would minimize the impact force of the vehicles (especially trucks) on the bridge. The last recommendation that Stephen made is probably post "One Lane Bridge Ahead" signs on either side of the bridge structure, located approximately 100 feet from the structure.

B. Richard Conway requested to the group that a stop bar pavement marking be installed at both sides of the bridge. Scott Bourcier added to Richard's request by recommending that in replace of a stop bar installing a "Yield" (R1-2) sign and yield pavement markings (in accordance with Section 3B.16 of the Federal Highway Administration Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices). Peter noted that centerline pavement striping should be removed from the bridge to the yield location.

3. Roadway Subsurface Investigation

A. Peter requested from Scott for a recommended soil program. Scott responded by recommending soil borings at 800-foot intervals, plus at areas of concern, as marked by the Town from the proposed project site to a NH Route road of every truck route identified by the Applicant. Peter agreed with the recommended boring program.

Jason Hill

From:

Planning Department [barrplan@metrocast.net]

Sent:

Wednesday, October 31, 2012 1:33 PM

To:

Jason Hill

Subject:

FW: Notes from Bridge Meeting

Jason

I just received the following response back from Stephen.

Marcia

Marcia J. Gasses
Town Planner & Land Use Administrator
P.O. Box 660
333 Calef Hwy
Barrington, NH 03825
(0) 603.664.0195
(C) 603.312.4468
barrplan@metrocast.net
barrplan@gmail.com

From: Steve Liakos [mailto:SCLiakos@dot.state.nh.us]

Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2012 10:33 AM

To: 'Planning Department'

Subject: RE: Notes from Bridge Meeting

Hi Marcia,

The vehicles mentioned appear to be in compliance, which the stipulation that they truly do not exceed legal load limits. If the vehicles have the capacity to hold more than legal loads..., they probably will. Bottom line is that it comes down to enforcement.

Through the string of e-mails below, I was unable to extract a copy of the meeting minutes. Could you please send me a copy either electronically or a hard copy? I would like to have a copy for my file, as I do not have a photographic memory of all that we discussed! Thank you.

Feel free to contact me if you have any further questions.

Stephen C. Liakos, PE Bridge Engineer

NHDOT-Bureau of Planning & Community Assistance

Tel: 603-271-4420 Fax: 603-271-8093

E-mail: scliakos@dot.state.nh.us

----Original Message-----

From: Planning Department [mailto:barrplan@metrocast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2012 9:23 AM

To: Steve Liakos

Subject: FW: Notes from Bridge Meeting

Stephen,

The response to your question at our meeting at the Green Hill Road Bridge has been provided by Jason Hill. Please let me know if you need more information from the applicant or the Town.

Marcia

Marcia J. Gasses
Town Planner & Land Use Administrator
P.O. Box 660
333 Calef Hwy
Barrington, NH 03825
(0) 603.664.0195
(C) 603.312.4468
barrplan@metrocast.net
barrplan@gmail.com

From: Jason Hill [mailto:jhill@tfmoran.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2012 4:50 PM

To: 'Planning Department'

Subject: RE: Notes from Bridge Meeting

Marcia.

I wanted to respond to the request in these minutes for specifications on the proposed truck that will be utilizing the bridge.

Truck traffic from the new development will be either a standard tri axle SUV dump truck or a standard tri axle semi trailer loaded not to exceed the legal load limits in accordance with RSA 266:18-a. Please provide this to Steve Liakos as requested in the minutes you forwarded 10/25. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Regards.

Jason S. Hill
Project Manager
TFMoran, Inc.
48 Constitution Drive
Bedford, NH 03110

Tel: (603) 472-4488 Fax: (603) 472-9747

jhill@tfmoran.com

From: Planning Department [mailto:barrplan@metrocast.net]

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 9:52 AM

To: Jeff Kevan; Jason Hill

Subject: FW: Notes from Bridge Meeting

Jason & Jeff

The notes from the Town meeting with Dubois & King and the DOT on the Green Hill Road Bridge are attached.

Marcia J. Gasses
Town Planner & Land Use Administrator
P.O. Box 660
333 Calef Hwy
Barrington, NH 03825

(0) 603.664.0195 (C) 603.312.4468 <u>barrplan@metrocast.net</u> <u>barrplan@gmail.com</u>

From: Scott M. Bourcier [mailto:sbourcier@dubois-king.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 9:34 AM

To: 'Planning Department' **Cc:** 'Jeffrey Adler'; 'Peter Cook'

Subject: RE: Notes from Bridge Meeting

Attached is a copy of the NHDOT Bridge Inspection Report of Green Hill Road Bridge (NHDOT Bridge No. 109/162). Please retain for your file.

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott M. Bourcier [mailto:SBourcier@dubois-king.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2012 9:30 AM

To: 'Planning Department'

Cc: 'Jeffrey Adler'; 'Peter Cook (peterccook123@gmail.com)'

Subject: RE: Notes from Bridge Meeting

Marcia:

Sorry about the tardiness of the meeting minutes; please see attached. At your convenience, please review and let me know if there are any modifications that you would like to make on these minutes. If not, please accept these minutes as final.

Thank you,

Scott

Scott Bourcier, P.E.

Project Manager



18 Constitution Drive, Suite 8 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 Te: (803) 637-1043 ext.13

Fax: (858) 783-7101 Celt: (603) 828-8788

Celebrating 50 YEARS of Dedicated Civil Engineering Excellence

This email transmission is confidential and may contain proprietary information for the exclusive use of the intended recipient. Any use, distribution or copying of this transmission, other than by the intended recipient, is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete all copies. Electronic media is susceptible to unauthorized modification, deterioration, and incompatibility. Accordingly, the electronic media version of any work product may not be relied upon.



Please consider the environment before printing this email.



Linked 💢 profile

-----Original Message-----

From: Planning Department [mailto:barrplan@metrocast.net]

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2012 2:51 PM


To: sbourcier@dubois-king.com Subject: Notes from Bridge Meeting

Scott

Have you had a chance to put together the notes from our meeting on the Green Hill Road Bridge?

Marcia

Marcia J. Gasses
Town Planner & Land Use Administrator
P.O. Box 660
333 Calef Hwy
Barrington, NH 03825
(0) 603.664.0195
(C) 603.312.4468
barrplan@metrocast.net
barrplan@gmail.com





February 22, 2013

Planning Board Town of Barrington 333 Calef Highway Barrington NH 03825

Re:

Excavation Site Plan

Map 210 Lot 57

Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH

Dear Chairman Huckins and Members of the Town of Barrington Planning Board:

Enclosed please find a letter report on the observation of ten test borings conducted in January 2013 by H T E Northeast Inc. at the Trinity Conservation sand and gravel site on Greenhill Road, as well as Con-Tec Inc boring logs performed on March 6, 2000 at the subject property. We have recently completed borings and observation wells as requested by town planner Marcia Gasses in order to re-affirm the groundwater levels recorded in 2000. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or comments.

Sincerely, TFMoran Inc.

Jason S. Hill Project Manager



Environmental Engineering Geotechnical Engineering Water Resources Hydrogeology

February 6, 2013 Project No. 4895B

Mr. Jason Hill, Project Manager T. F. Moran, Inc. 48 Constitution Drive Bedford, New Hampshire 03110

RE: Test Borings/Observation Wells

And Groundwater Water Table Assessment Proposed Borrow Pit: #204 Green Hill Road

Barrington, New Hampshire

Dear Jason:

This correspondence presents HTE Northeast's, Inc. (HTE's) letter report of an assessment of the groundwater table for the above-referenced site ('Site'). This work was performed in general accordance with our proposal No. P-9581.2 dated December 13, 2012. The analyses consider review of four test borings performed March 6, 2000 by Con-Tec, Inc. and the performance/observation of ten test borings (six with groundwater observation well installations) by Great Works Test Boring, Inc. on January 28 and 29, 2013. Stabilized groundwater levels were measured in the six observation wells by HTE on January 30, 2013. A Site locus plan is presented as Figure 1. This report is subject to the limitations in Appendix A.

1.0 TEST BORINGS & OBSERVATION WELLS

HTE observed the drilling of ten test borings (HTE-1 through HTE-12, but no #7 or #8). The test borings were performed in general accordance with ASTM D 1452 by Great Works Test Boring, Inc. of Rollinsford, New Hampshire, on January 28 and 29, 2013. Groundwater level observation wells (1' Ø) were installed in borings HTE- 2, 3, 5, 6, 9 and 11. The test borings were drilled using standard hollow stem auger (HSA) techniques to depths ranging from 22± feet to 42± feet each; refusal was not encountered these borings. Logs of these explorations, as prepared by HTE, are enclosed as Appendix B.

Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) were performed in each test boring in general accordance with ASTM D 1586; with split spoon (SS) samples recovered generally at five-foot intervals. The SPT consists of driving a $1^3/_8$ inch I.D. split spoon sampler using a 140 pound hammer falling 30



Borrow Pit Test Borings Letter 204 Green Hill Road Barrington, New Hampshire

February 6, 2013 Project No. 4895B Page No. 2

inches. The blows for each 6 inch interval of penetration are recorded for a total of 24 inches. The sum of the blows required to drive the sampler from 6 inches to 18 inches of penetration is

referred to as the Standard Penetration Resistance, or N-value, which is an index measure of insitu soil density or consistency.

The test borings were performed under the observation of a HTE geotechnical engineer. Soil samples from the test borings were classified in the field by HTE in general accordance with the Burmister Soil Classification System. A copy of the Burmister Soil Classification system is enclosed with the HTE exploration logs in Appendix B.

1.1 Prior Test Borings

Four test borings (B-1 to B-4 were performed (also apparently in general accordance with ASTM D 1452) by Con-Tec, Inc. of Concord, New Hampshire, on March 6, 2000. Based on the available information, B-1 was performed near/to the east of HTE-5, B-2 was performed near HTE-4, B-3 was performed near HTE-6 and B-4 was performed near HTE-3. Logs of these explorations, as prepared by Con-Tec, Inc., are enclosed as Appendix C.

2.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface soil data from the Contest, Inc. test borings (2000) and the current test borings were similar. Based on the fourteen test borings, the subsurface soil conditions consisted of 1) glacial outwash fine to fine to medium and occasional coarse sands, underlain by 2) layered fine sand and silts marine clay & silt (which were not encountered in all of the test borings). Table 1A presents a summary of the current test boring data and Table 1B presents a summary of the March 6, 2000 test boring data.

2.1 Bedrock

The test borings were terminated after verified encounter with groundwater. Bedrock was not encountered above groundwater for the test borings performed.

2.2 Groundwater Levels

The groundwater level data from the Contest, Inc. test borings (2000) and the current test borings were also similar. The noted variation for B-1/HTE-5 is likely due to the pronounced change in soil conditions (sloping downward trend – toward the river - of the 'silt' soils) in this area. Table 1A also presents a summary of the groundwater level and approximate groundwater elevation



Borrow Pit Test Borings Letter 204 Green Hill Road Barrington, New Hampshire

February 6, 2013 Project No. 4895B Page No. 3

data. The January 2013 groundwater level information was used to develop estimated groundwater level contour information presented on Figure 2.

We trust that your office will find this information helpful. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned for further information as necessary.

Very truly yours,

HTE NORTHEAST, INC.

Roger B. Keilig, PE, PG, CPESC

Sr. Project Manager

ROBERT RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

Attachments: a) Tables 1A and 1B b) Figure 1 – Locus Plan; c) Figure 2 - Groundwater Elevation Plan; d) Appendix A – Limitations; e) Appendix B – HTE Test Boring Logs and f)

Con-Tec, Inc. Test Boring Logs



SUMMARY OF TEST BORING SUBSURFACE EXPLORATIONS PROPOSED GREEN HILL ROAD BORROW PIT BARRINGTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE PROJECT NO. 4895B **TABLE 1-A**

TEST BORING DESIGNATION	APPROX. GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION	BOTTOM OF OUTWASH	TOP OF SILT or MARINE DEPOSIT	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION DEPTH	GROUNDWATER DEPTH IN OBSERVATION WELL	OBSERVED GROUNDWATER LEVELS DURING DRILLING OPERATIONS	APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER ELEVATION
	ELEVATION (FT)	DEPTH (FT)	ОЕРТН (FT)	DEPTH (FT)	ОЕРТН (FT)	DEPTH (FT)	ELEVATION (FT)
HTE-1	205 ±	41.0 ±	41.0 ±	42.0 ±	#	34.0 ±	171.0 ±
HTE-2	195 ±	10.0 ±	10.0 ±	22.0 ±	€.6 ±	6.0 ±	188.4 ±
HTE-3	205 ±	>42 ±	6.2 ±	42.0 ±	32.6 ±	31.1 ±	172.4 ±
HTE-4	205 ±	40.0 ±	40.0 ±	42.0 ±	#1	29.5 ±	175.5 ±
HTE-5	206 ±	24.0 ±	24.0 ±	27.0 ±	18.5 ±	18.5 ±	187.5 ±
HTE-6	206 ±	40.5 ±	40.5 ±	42.0 ±	30.3 ±	28.9 ±	175.7 ±
HTE-9	206 ±	>36.5	NE ±	36.5 ±	23.1 ±	24.0 ±	182.9 ±
HTE-10	206 ±	35.5 ±	35.5 ±	36.5 ±	#	24.0 ±	182.0 ±
HTE-11	206 ±	>37	NE	37.0 ±	25.3 ±	24.0 ±	180.7 ±
HTE-12	205 ±	>37	NE	37.0 ±	#1	28.0 ±	177.0 ±

Notes:

1) Borings were performed January 28 and 29, 2013 by Great Works Test Boring, Inc

of Rollinsford, New Hampshire under HTE observation. Borings #7 & #8 were deleted.

2) Groundwater levels were measured during exploration advancement and therefore are not

indicative of stabilized groundwater conditions.

3) Groundwater levels were measured in observation wells installed in 2, 3, 5, 6, 9 & 11.

4) 'NE' indicates 'not encountered'. 'R" indicates a refusal.




SUMMARY OF 2000 TEST BORING SUBSURFACE EXPLORATIONS PROPOSED GREEN HILL ROAD BORROW PIT BARRINGTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE PROJECT NO. 4895B **TABLE 1-B**

TEST BORING DESIGNATION	APPROX. GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION	BOTTOM OF OUTWASH	TOP OF SILT or MARINE DEPOSIT	BOTTOM OF EXPLORATION DEPTH	OBSERVED GROUNDWATER LEVELS DURING DRILLING OPERATIONS	APPROXIMATE GROUNDWATER ELEVATION
	ELEVATION (FT)	ОЕРТН (FT)	DEPTH (FT)	DEPTH (FT)	DEPTH (FT)	ELEVATION (FT)
B-1	205.5 ±	36.0 ±	39.0 ∓	39.7 ± R	23.0 ±	182.5 ±
B-2	205.5 ±	35.0 ±	37.0 ±	42.0 ±	28.0 ±	177.5 ±
B-3	204.0 ±	40.0 ∓	42.0 ±	42.0 ±	30.0 ≠	174.0 ±
B4	205.0 ±	>42 ±	>42 ±	42.0 ±	32.4 ±	172.6 ±

Notes:

1) Borings were performed March 6, 2000 by Con-Tec, Inc.

Bottom of Outwash and Top of Silt or Marine Deposit was indicated by the presence of 'clay'.
 'NE' indicates 'not encountered'. 'R" indicates a refusal.



LOCATION: N 41.250° W 70.999°

SOURCE: 1987 USGS ROCHESTER (NH) QUADRANGLE 7.5 X 15 MINUTE SERIES





Environmental Engineering Geotechnical Engineering Hydrogeology

2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire (603) 668—1654


LOCUS PLAN

PROPOSED GRAVEL EXCAVATIORN OPERATION 204 GREEN HILL ROAD BARRINGTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE

PROJECT NO. 4895.B

FEBRUARY 1, 2013

FIGURE 1



TEST BORING LOG PROJECT: **Green Hill Road Pit** HTE-1 SHEET: 1 of 2 CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH 4895.B FOREMAN: Jeff Lee PROJ. NO: NORTHEAST INC TF Moran, Inc. CLIENT: INSPECTOR: E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 DATE: January 28, 2013 **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 205 ± EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. **GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS** FIELD TESTING HSA LABORATORY TESTING TYPE SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) MONITORING WELL INSTALLED SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 35 13/8 CASING AT (FT) DEPTH (FT) HAMMER WT (LB) 140 34 PID SCREENING HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION BLOWS PID SAMPLE RECOVERY STRATUM Depth CHANGE (PPM) NUMBER PER 6' SYMBOL (IN) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION 2 3 4 Medium dense yellow-brown coarse to fine SAND trace (-) Silt, S1 16 6 frequent oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 9 9 8 9 10 Medium dense yellow-brown to light brown coarse to fine SAND. 5 S2 18 trace Silt, frequent oxidation layers, trending to light brown fine sand, 6 11 no odor, moist. 7 6 12 13 15 Loose light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, occasional oxidation layers, 3 S3 18 no odor, moist. 4 16 4 5 17 18 19 20 Loose light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, occasional oxidation layers, **S4** 24 no odor, moist. 6 21 6 7 22 **COHESIONLESS SOILS** COHESIVE SOILS SAMPLE TYPE **PROPORTIONS** 0 -2 = VERY SOFT 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFT S = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4 - 8 = MEDIUM UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL and = 35% - 50% 50 + = VERY DENSE 30 + = HARD V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG HTE-1 PROJECT: **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO.: SHEET: 2 of 2 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH contractor: Great Works Test Boring LOCATION: 4895.B FOREMAN: Jeff Lee PROJ. NO: CLIENT: TF Moran, Inc. INSPECTOR: E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 1/28/2013 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 205 ± DATE: GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. TYPE HSA ELAPSED TIME (HR) LABORATORY TESTING SS SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 1 3/8 CASING AT (FT) 35 MONITORING WELL INSTALLED HAMMER WT (LB) DEPTH (FT) PID SCREENING 140 34 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION Depth SAMPLE RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM PID CHANGE NUMBER (IN) PER 6' SYMBOL (PPM) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION 22 23 24 25 Medium dense light brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt. 24 **S5** occasional oxidation layers and mottling, no odor, moist. 9 26 10 12 27 28 29 30 Medium dense light brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, 10 24 **S6** occasional oxidation layers and mottling, no odor, moist to wet. 12 31 17 18 32 33 34 35 11 Medium dense light brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, 24 S7 occasional oxidation layers, no odor, wet. 12 36 14 16 37 38 39 40 5 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation 24 S8 layers, no odor, wet. 7 41.0 41 7 Medium dense olive-grey CLAY & SILT, little fine Sand, MARINE 6 layered, no odor, wet. 42.0 42 Bottom of Exploration at 42' 43 COHESIONLESS SOILS **COHESIVE SOILS** SAMPLE TYPE **PROPORTIONS** 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 4-10 = LOOSE UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4 - 8 = MEDIUM 30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL and = 35% - 50%

50 + = VERY DENSE

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

30 + = HARD

TEST BORING LOG PROJECT: **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO.: HTE-2 SHEET: 1 of 1 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring LOCATION: Jeff Lee PROJ. NO: 4895.B FORFMAN: CLIENT: TF Moran, Inc. INSPECTOR: E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 January 29, 2013 **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 195 ± DATE: EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING ELAPSED TIME (HR) LABORATORY TESTING TYPE HSA SS 0 26 SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 1% CASING AT (FT) 20 In Well OBS. WELL INSTALLED PID SCREENING HAMMER WT (LB) 140 DEPTH (FT) 6 6.55 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION SAMPLE RECOVERY **BLOWS** STRATUM PID Depth CHANGE NUMBER (IN) PER 6 SYMBOL (PPM) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION 3 Medium dense light brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, S₁ 13 8 occasional grey silty fine sand layers, no odor, moist. 6 7 7 8 9 10.0 10 Loose brown fine SAND, little Silt, layered, with frequent oxidized 4 S2 17 3 layers, no odor, moist to wet. 11 6 6 12 13 14 15 WOR/12" Very soft grey SILT & CLAY, trace fine Sand, layered, no odor, wet. S3 24 16 MARINE **DEPOSIT** 2 17 18 Auger action indicates strata change at 18.9' 18.9 19 20 Dense brown coarse to fine SAND, little Gravel, little (-) Silt; rock 10 S4 8 23 matrix visible, no odor, wet. 21 17 8 22.0 22 Bottom of Exploration at 22' COHESIONLESS SOILS **COHESIVE SOILS** SAMPLE TYPE **PROPORTIONS** 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20%3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4 - 8 = MEDIUM UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL and = 35% - 50%

50 + = VERY DENSE

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

30 + = HARD

TEST BORING LOG **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO.: HTE-3 PROJECT: SHEET: 1 of 2 LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH contractor: Great Works Test Boring FOREMAN: Jeff Lee PROJ. NO: 4895.B CLIENT: TF Moran, Inc. INSPECTOR: E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 January 28, 2013 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 205 ± DATE: GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. TYPE HSA SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) LABORATORY TESTING In Wel OBS. WELL INSTALLED SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 13/8 CASING AT (FT) 30 DEPTH (FT) 32.63 PID SCREENING HAMMER WT (LB) 140 31.1 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION PID STRATUM Depth SAMPLE RECOVERY BLOWS CHANGE DESCRIPTION (PPM) (FT) NUMBER (IN) PER 6" SYMBOL BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) 5 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, 6 **S1** 22 8 frequent oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 6 10 11 7 8 9 10 Medium dense, medium to fine SAND, trace coarse Sand, trace Silt, S₂ 16 bottom 4" light brown medium to fine SAND, oxidation mottling, no 10 11 odor, moist. 11 14 12 13 14 15 8 Medium dense light brown fine to medium (-) SAND, trace Silt, S3 21 frequent oxidation partings, no dor, moist. 9 16 12 12 17 18 19 20 21 22 COHESIONLESS SOILS **COHESIVE SOILS** SAMPLE TYPE **PROPORTIONS** 0-2 = VFRY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4 - 8 = MEDIUM UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 8 -15 = STIFF and = 35% - 50% 30-50 = DENSE UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL 50 + = VERY DENSE 30 + = HARD V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG



PROJECT:	Green Hill Road Pit	BORING NO.:	HTE-3	SHEET:	2 of 2
LOCATION:	204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH	CONTRACTOR	: Great Works Tes	st Boring	
PROJ. NO:	4895.B	FOREMAN:	J. Lee		
CLIENT:	TF Moran, Inc.	INSPECTOR:	E. Adler		
DATE	1/28/2013	CPOLIND SLIP	EACE ELEVATION:	205	+

SZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 1 3/8 CASING AT (FT) 30 IN Well		2 Cote Lane, S		CLIENT:	i F Morar	i, inc.			INSPEC	TOR: E. AC	iler		
NOTE	Bedfor			DATE:				1/28/2013	GROUN	ND SURFACE EL	EVATION:	205 ±	:
13/16 13/18 13/1	EQUIPMEN	IT:	AUGER	CASING	SAMPLER	COREBRL.		ROUNDWATER OBSE	ERVATION	IS	FIELD TE	STING	790.07
MAMERY RT. (B)	TYPE		HSA		SS		ELAPSED TIME	HR)	0	50			
MAMMER RALL (N)			2 1/4										
Depth (F) (NUMBER RECOVERY (N) PER 8" STRATUM SYMBOL BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) 22 2 3 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2							DEPTH (FT)				☐ PID SCRE	ENING	
Dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation layers, occasional 1/8" silt layers, no odor, moist. S\$\frac{24}{25}\$ S\$\frac{24}{26}\$ \$\frac{11}{27}\$ 28 29 30 \$\frac{85}{24}\$ \$\frac{11}{14}\$ \$\frac{12}{12}\$ 31 \$\frac{12}{32}\$ \$\frac{11}{32}\$ \$\frac{12}{33}\$ \$\frac{12}	HAMMER F	ALL (IN)			30	-				THE STREET STREET			
23 24 25 26 27 27 27 28 29 30 30 31 31 32 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 56 24 10 56 24 10 Dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation layers, occasional 1/8" silt layers, no odor, moist. Medium dense light brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation layers, no odor, moist to wet. Dense brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation layers, no odor, moist to wet. Dense brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. Dense brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. Bottom of Exploration at 42' 42.0						E					OCK)	CHANGE	PID (PPM)
24	22												
Dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation layers, occasional 1/8" silt layers, no odor, moist.	23												
S4	24												
26	25	S4	24			Dense ligh	nt brown fine S	SAND, trace Silt	t, frequ	ent oxidation	layers,		
29 30 S5 24 11 31 12 32 12 33 3 3 4 35 36 37 38 39 40 S6 24 10 S6				27		occasiona	ii i/o siit iaye	rs, no odor, mo	ist.				
29				25									
S5 24 11													
S5 24 11 12 12 13 12 12 13 14 15 15 18 18 18 18 18 18										ND (O''			
32		S5	24	14						ND, trace Sil	t, frequent		
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 56 24 15 18 Bottom of Exploration at 42' 42 43	32												
35 36 37 38 39 40 S6 24 15 18 Bottom of Exploration at 42' 43	33												
36	34												
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 Dense brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. Bottom of Exploration at 42' 42 43	35												
38													
39 40 41 42 43 Dense brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. Dense brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. 42.0 Bottom of Exploration at 42'					1								
40 S6 24 10 Dense brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. 41 42 18 Bottom of Exploration at 42']								
S6 24 10 Dense brown medium to line SAND, trace Sitt, no structure, no odor, wet. 41 42 43 Bottom of Exploration at 42'					}		-		_				
42 18 Bottom of Exploration at 42'		S6	24	15	1		own medium t	o fine SAND, tra	ace Sil	t, no structure	e, no odor,		
43						Bottom o	f Exploration	at 42'				42.0	
Notes:	43				1	Docton 0	- Exploration	1 ut 72					
					1								

Notes:	COHESIONLESS SOILS	COHESIVE SOILS	SAMPLE TYPE	PROPORTIONS
1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted	N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE	N = 0 -2 = VERY SOFT	C = ROCK CORE	trace = 0% - 10%
2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead	4-10 = LOOSE	2 - 4 = SOFT	S = SPLIT SPOON	little = 10% - 20%
Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan	10-30 = MEDIUM	4 - 8 = MEDIUM	UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON	some = 20% - 35%
	30-50 = DENSE	8 -15 = STIFF	UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL	and = 35% - 50%
FILE: V:\(\text{\tinte\tint{\text{\te}\text{\texi\tinte\tin}\tint{\text{\tiin}\tiint{\text{\text{\text{\ti}}}\text{\text{\text{	50 + = VERY DENSE	30 + = HARD		

TEST BORING LOG PROJECT: **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO.: HTE-4 SHEET: 1 of 2 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring LOCATION: 4895.B Jeff Lee PROJ. NO: FOREMAN: INSPECTOR: CLIENT: TF Moran, Inc. E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 January 29, 2013 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 205 ± DATE: CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. **GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS** FIELD TESTING EQUIPMENT: AUGER TYPE HSA SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) 0 LABORATORY TESTING 13/8 CASING AT (FT) MONITORING WELL INSTALLED SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 30 PID SCREENING DEPTH (FT) HAMMER WT (LB) 140 29.5 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION RECOVERY BI OWS STRATUM PID Depth SAMPLE. CHANGE NUMBER SYMBOL (PPM) (FT) (IN) PER 6 BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION 7 Medium dense yellow-brown coarse to fine SAND, trace (-) Silt, S₁ 18 7 oxidized, no odor, moist. 7 7 7 8 10 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidized 5 S₂ 16 layers, occasional silty fine sand layers, no odor, moist. 8 11 15 12 13 14 15 11 Dense light brown fine SAND, trace (-) Silt, oxidation mottling, no S3 23 16 odor, moist. 16 23 26 17 18 19 20 15 Dense light brown fine SAND, trace (-) Silt, oxidation mottling, no 18 S4 odor, moist. 21 21 24 22 COHESIONLESS SOILS **COHESIVE SOILS** SAMPLE TYPE **PROPORTIONS** 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted N = 0 - 4 = VFRY LOOSE 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORF trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFT S = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4 - 8 = MEDIUM UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 30-50 = DENSE8 -15 = STIFF UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL and = 35% - 50% 50 + = VERY DENSE 30 + = HARD V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG PROJECT: **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO.: HTE-4 SHEET: 2 of 2 OCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring 4895.B FOREMAN: J. Lee PROJ. NO: CLIENT: TF Moran, Inc. INSPECTOR: E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 1/29/2013 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 205 +DATE: EQUIPMENT: CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING AUGER HSA ELAPSED TIME (HR) LABORATORY TESTING TYPE SS 0 SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 1 3/8 CASING AT (FT) 30 MONITORING WELL INSTALLED HAMMER WT (LB) 140 DEPTH (FT) 29.5 PID SCREENING HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED SAMPLE RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM CHANGE (FT) NUMBER (IN) PER 6 SYMBOL (PPM) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) 22 23 24 25 Medium dense brown fine SAND, trace (-() Silt, frequent oxidized 6 **S5** 24 layers, no odor, moist. 8 26 8 10 27 28 29 30 WOR/12" Loose light brown fine SAND, frequent oxidation layers, no odor, wet. 20 **S6** 31 5 8 32 33 34 35 WOR/12" Loose light brown fine SAND, frequent oxidation layers, no odor, wet. S7 24 36 8 7 37 38 39 40 Medium dense brown fine SAND and Silt, layered with frequent 4 20 S8 oxidized fine sand partings, no odor, wet. 5 41 transition to Marine Clay MARINE 7 8 42.0 42 Bottom of Exploration at 42' 43 COHESIONLESS SOILS **COHESIVE SOILS** SAMPLE TYPE PROPORTIONS 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = 100SF2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4 - 8 = MEDIUM UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35%

30-50 = DENSE

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

50 + = VERY DENSE

8 -15 = STIFF

30 + = HARD

UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL

and = 35% - 50%

TEST BORING LOG BORING NO.: HTE-5 SHEET: 1 of 2 **Green Hill Road Pit** PROJECT: CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH OCATION: Jeff Lee FOREMAN: 4895.B PROJ. NO: INSPECTOR: E. Adler TF Moran, Inc. CLIENT: 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 206 ± January 29, 2013 DATE: GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING SAMPLER COREBRL. EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING LABORATORY TESTING 25 ELAPSED TIME (HR) 0 HSA SS TYPE OBS. WELL INSTALLED 20 In Well CASING AT (FT) 13/8 SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 PID SCREENING 18.45 DEPTH (FT) 18.5 140 HAMMER WT (LB) NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED 30 HAMMER FALL (IN) STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION PID RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM CHANGE SAMPLE Depth (PPM) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION NUMBER PER 6" SYMBOL (FT) 3 5 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, 4 15 **S1** layered, no odor, frequent oxidized layers, no odor, moist. 5 6 6 8 9 10 Medium dense light brown fine to medium (-) SAND, trace Silt 8 19 S₂ moist. 10 11 9 8 12 13 14 15 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt layered, no odor, 5 18 S3 moist. 5 16 8 17 18 19 20 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt layered, no odor, WOR/6" 24 **S4** 21 9 9 22 **PROPORTIONS** SAMPLE TYPE COHESIONLESS SOILS COHESIVE SOILS C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10%0-2 = VERY SOFT N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted little = 10% - 20% 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON 4-10 = LOOSE 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead some = 20% - 35% UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4-8 = MEDIUM

8 -15 = STIFF

30 + = HARD

30-50 = DENSE 50 + = VERY DENSE

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xis]HTE 6 (2)

and = 35% - 50%

UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL

TEST BORING LOG HTE-5 BORING NO.: SHEET: 2 of 2 **Green Hill Road Pit** PROJECT: CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH OCATION: FOREMAN: J. Lee 4895.B PROJ. NO: E. Adler INSPECTOR: TF Moran, Inc. CLIENT: 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 206 ± (603) 668-1654 1/29/2013 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: DATE: **GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS** FIELD TESTING SAMPLER CASING EQUIPMENT: AUGER LABORATORY TESTING ELAPSED TIME (HR) 25 SS HSA TYPE OBS. WELL INSTALLED In Well 20 1 3/8 CASING AT (FT) 2 1/4 SIZE ID (IN) 18.5 18.45 PID SCREENING DEPTH (FT) 140 HAMMER WT (LB) --NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED 30 HAMMER FALL (IN) STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION BLOWS STRATUM RECOVERY SAMPLE CHANGE Depth (PPM) NUMBER PER 6" SYMBOL BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) (IN) (FT) 22 23 24.0 Auger action indicates strata change at 24' 24 25 MARINE Very soft grey SILT & CLAY, no structure, no odor, wet. WOR/12" 24 S5 **DEPOSIT** 26 3 27.0 4 27 Bottom of Exploration at 27' 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 SAMPLE TYPE PROPORTIONS COHESIONLESS SOILS **COHESIVE SOILS** trace = 0% - 10% C = ROCK CORE 0-2 = VERY SOFT 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE S = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 2-4 = SOFT2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSEsome = 20% - 35% 4 - 8 = MEDIUM UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON 10-30 = MEDIUM Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan and = 35% - 50% UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL 30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF 50 + = VERY DENSE 30 + = HARD V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG HTE-6 SHEET: **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO.: 1 of 2 PROJECT: LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH contractor: Great Works Test Boring Jeff Lee FOREMAN: 4895.B PROJ. NO: INSPECTOR: E. Adler TF Moran, Inc. CLIENT: 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 206 ± DATE: January 28, 2013 SAMPLER FIELD TESTING COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS CASING EQUIPMENT: AUGER LABORATORY TESTING 50 ELAPSED TIME (HR) 0 TYPE **HSA** SS In Wel OBS. WELL INSTALLED 30 13/8 CASING AT (FT) SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 PID SCREENING 140 DEPTH (FT) 28.9 30.3 HAMMER WT (LB) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED HAMMER FALL (IN) SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION BLOWS STRATUM SAMPLE NUMBER RECOVERY Depth CHANGE (PPM) PER 6" SYMBOL (FT) (IN) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) 5 Medium dense brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, occasional **S1** 17 oxidized layers, no odor, moist. 9 12 12 8 9 10 Medium dense tan to light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent 6 13 S2 oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 8 11 10 12 12 13 14 15 Medium dense light brown fine to medium (1) SAND, trace Silt, 6 **S3** 23 frequent oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 16 8 10 17 18 19 20 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation **S4** 21 layers, no odor, moist. 9 21 9 11

Notes:	COHESIONLESS SOILS	COHESIVE SOILS	SAMPLE TYPE	PROPORTIONS
1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted	N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE	N = 0 -2 = VERY SOFT	C = ROCK CORE	trace = 0% - 10%
2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead	4-10 = LOOSE	2 - 4 = SOFT	S = SPLIT SPOON	little = 10% - 20%
3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan	10-30 = MEDIUM	4 - 8 = MEDIUM	UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON	some = 20% - 35%
,	30-50 = DENSE	8 -15 = STIFF	UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL	and = 35% - 50%
CIL C. V-M000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\4895B TBI ogs xis\HTE 6 (2)	50 + = VERY DENSE	30 + = HARD		

TEST BORING LOG PROJECT: **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO.: HTE-6 SHEET: 2 of 2 LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring 4895.B PROJ. NO: FOREMAN: CLIENT: TF Moran, Inc. INSPECTOR: E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 DATE: 1/28/2013 **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 206 ± EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING HSA TYPE SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) 50 LABORATORY TESTING SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 1 3/8 In Well OBS. WELL INSTALLED CASING AT (FT) 30 HAMMER WT (LB) 140 DEPTH (FT) 28.9 30.3 PID SCREENING HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION SAMPLE RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM CHANGE DESCRIPTION NUMBER PER 6" SYMBOL (PPM) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation 6 **S5** 24 8 layers, no odor, wet. 31 9 10 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, frequent oxidation 40 layers, no odor, wet. 8 40.5 24 **S6** Medium dense grey-brown fine to m (-) SAND, little (-) Silt, 10 SAND & 41 17 occasional Silt seams, oxidation layers, no odor, wet. SILT 22 42.0 42 Bottom of Exploration at 42'

Notes:	COHESIONLESS SOILS	COHESIVE SOILS	SAMPLE TYPE	PROPORTIONS
1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted	N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE	N = 0 -2 = VERY SOFT	C = ROCK CORE	trace = 0% - 10%
2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead	4-10 = LOOSE	2 - 4 = SOFT	S = SPLIT SPOON	little = 10% - 20%
Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan	10-30 = MEDIUM	4 - 8 = MEDIUM	UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON	some = 20% - 35%
	30-50 = DENSE	8 -15 = STIFF	UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL	and = 35% - 50%
FILE: V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)	50 + = VERY DENSE	30 + = HARD		

43

TEST BORING LOG PROJECT: **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO .: HTE-9 SHEET: 1 of 2 LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH contractor: Great Works Test Boring 4895.B PROJ. NO: FOREMAN: Jeff Lee TF Moran, Inc. E. Adler CLIENT: INSPECTOR: 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 January 29, 2013 DATE: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 206 ± EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING TYPE HSA SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) LABORATORY TESTING 25 SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 13/8 CASING AT (FT) 25 In Wel OBS. WELL INSTALLED DEPTH (FT) PID SCREENING HAMMER WT (LB) 140 24 23.12 30 HAMMER FALL (IN) NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED --SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION SAMPLE RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM Depth PID CHANGE NUMBER (PPM) (FT) (IN) PER 6' SYMBOL BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION 5 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine SAND, frequent 10 **S1** 15 oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 11 6 14 12 7 8 9 10 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine SAND, frequent 4 S₂ 16 oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 6 11 6 6 12 13 14 15 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace (+) Silt, frequent silty 8 20 S₃ 8 sand layers, no odor, moist. 16 11 12 17 18 19 20 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace silt, oxidation mottling, 6 20 S4 no odor, moist. 21 9 9 22 COHESIONLESS SOILS COHESIVE SOILS SAMPLE TYPE PROPORTIONS 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted trace = 0% - 10% N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE N = 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON 4-10 = LOOSE little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 10-30 = MEDIUM 4-8 = MEDIUM30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL and = 35% - 50%

50 + = VERY DENSE

30 + = HARD

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG PROJECT: **Green Hill Road Pit** BORING NO.: HTE-9 SHEET: 2 of 2 LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH contractor: Great Works Test Boring PROJ. NO: 4895.B FOREMAN: TF Moran, Inc. INSPECTOR: E. Adler CLIENT: 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 DATE: 1/29/2013 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 206 ± EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING TYPF HSA SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) 25 LABORATORY TESTING CASING AT (FT) SIZE ID (IN) 1 3/8 2 1/4 25 In Well OBS. WELL INSTALLED HAMMER WT (LB) DEPTH (FT) PID SCREENING 140 24 23.12 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION RECOVERY STRATUM Depth SAMPLE PID CHANGE (FT) PER 6" SYMBOL (PPM) (IN) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION 22 23 24 25 WOR Medium dense brown fine SAND, trace (+) Silt, layered with frequent **S5** 24 7 oxidation ottling, no odor, wet. 26 7 8 27 28 29 30 Loose light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. 2 20 **S6** 3 31 6 32 33 34 35 Loose light brown fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. 2 **S7** 18 3 36 5 36.5 Bottom of Exploration at 36.5' 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 Notes: **COHESIONLESS SOILS COHESIVE SOILS** SAMPLE TYPE **PROPORTIONS** 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4-8 = MEDIUMUP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL and = 35% - 50%

50 + = VERY DENSE

30 + = HARD

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG Green Hill Road Pit BORING NO.: **HTE-10** PROJECT: SHEET: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH LOCATION: CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring PROJ. NO: 4895.B FOREMAN: Jeff Lee TF Moran, Inc. CLIENT: INSPECTOR: E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 January 29, 2013 **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 206 ± DATE: GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. FIELD TESTING TYPE HSA SS FLAPSED TIME (HR) LABORATORY TESTING 0 SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 13/8 CASING AT (FT) 25 MONITORING WELL INSTALLED HAMMER WT (LB) PID SCREENING 140 DEPTH (FT) 24 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATIIM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION Depth RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM CHANGE DESCRIPTION NUMBER SYMBOL (PPM) (FT) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) 3 5 5 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine SAND, trace (-) Silt, 14 S1 occasional oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 6 6 8 8 9 10 Medium dense yellow-brown medium (-) to fine SAND, trace Silt, S₂ 16 7 occasional oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 11 10 11 12 13 14 15 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, 5 22 S3 occasional oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 5 16 7 17 18 19 20 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, **S4** 19 occasional oxidation layers, no odor, moist. 6 21 6 7 22 COHESIONLESS SOILS COHESIVE SOILS SAMPLE TYPE PROPORTIONS Notes: N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFT S = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4-8 = MEDIUMUP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted 30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL and = 35% - 50% 30 + = HARD 50 + = VERY DENSE V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG Green Hill Road Pit HTE-10 PROJECT: BORING NO .: SHEET: 2 of 2 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring LOCATION: PROJ. NO: 4895.B FOREMAN: J. Lee TF Moran, Inc. INSPECTOR: E. Adler CLIENT: 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 1/29/2013 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 206 ± DATE: EQUIPMENT: AUGER SAMPLER GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS CASING COREBRL. FIELD TESTING TYPE HSA SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) 0 LABORATORY TESTING 2 1/4 SIZE ID (IN) 1 3/8 CASING AT (FT) 25 MONITORING WELL INSTALLED HAMMER WT (LB) 140 DEPTH (FT) PID SCREENING 24 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION Depth RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM CHANGE DESCRIPTION NUMBER PER 6" SYMBOL (PPM) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) 22 23 24 25 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, 6 S5 24 occasional oxidation layers, no odor, wet. 8 26 14 14 27 28 29 30 WOR/12" Loose medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, no odor, wet. **S6** 18 31 5 32 33 34 35 Medium dense medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, no structure, wet. Medium dense grey-brown fine SAND and Silt, layered, no odor, wet. 5 35.5 S7 18 7 36 11 36.5 Bottom of Exploration at 36.5 37 38 39 40 41 42 43

Notes:	COHESIONLESS SOILS	COHESIVE SOILS	SAMPLE TYPE	PROPORTIONS
1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted	N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE	N = 0 -2 = VERY SOFT	C = ROCK CORE	trace = 0% - 10%
2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead	4-10 = LOOSE	2-4 = SOFT	S = SPLIT SPOON	little = 10% - 20%
Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan	10-30 = MEDIUM	4 - 8 = MEDIUM	UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON	some = 20% - 35%
	30-50 = DENSE	8 -15 = STIFF	UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL	and = 35% - 50%
FILE: V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]\HTE 6 (2)	50 + = VERY DENSE	30 + = HARD		

TEST BORING LOG PROJECT: Green Hill Road Pit BORING NO .: **HTE-11** SHEET: 1 of 2 LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH contractor: Great Works Test Boring PROJ. NO: 4895.B FOREMAN: Jeff Lee TF Moran, Inc. CLIENT: INSPECTOR: E. Adler 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 DATE: January 28, 2013 **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 206 ± EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING TYPF HSA SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) 0 50 LABORATORY TESTING SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 13/8 CASING AT (FT) In Wel OBS. WELL INSTALLED 25 HAMMER WT (LB) PID SCREENING 140 DEPTH (FT) 24 25.31 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION STRATUM SAMPLE RECOVERY BLOWS Depth PID CHANGE NUMBER (FT) PER 6" SYMBOL BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) (PPM) DESCRIPTION 3 5 Medium dense yellow-brown coarse to fine SAND, oxidized, no odor, S₁ 17 8 moist. 6 8 8 7 8 9 10 4 Medium dense light brown medium to fine SAND, trace (-) Silt, S2 18 occasional oxidation layer, no odor, moist. 4 11 5 5 12 13 14 15 8 Medium dense light brown medium SAND, trace (-) Silt, S3 22 occasional oxidation layer, no odor, moist. 11 16 12 17 18 19 20 Medium dense light brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, **S4** 21 occasional silty fine sand partings, occasional oxidized layers, no 8 21 11 odor, moist. 14 22 COHESIONLESS SOILS Notes: **COHESIVE SOILS** SAMPLE TYPE **PROPORTIONS** 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4 - 8 = MEDIUM UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% 30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL and = 35% - 50% V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2) 50 + = VERY DENSE 30 + = HARD

TEST BORING LOG Green Hill Road Pit **HTE-11** PROJECT: BORING NO .: SHEET: 2 of 2 LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH contractor: Great Works Test Boring PROJ. NO: 4895.B FOREMAN: J. Lee TF Moran, Inc. INSPECTOR: E. Adler CLIENT: 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 1/28/2013 **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 206 ± DATE: EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING SS TYPE HSA ELAPSED TIME (HR) 50 LABORATORY TESTING OBS. WELL INSTALLED SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 1 3/8 CASING AT (FT) 25 In Well HAMMER WT (LB) 140 DEPTH (FT) 25.31 PID SCREENING 24 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM Depth CHANGE NUMBER PER 6" SYMBOL (PPM) (FT) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION 22 23 24 25 Medium dense grey-brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, 6 24 **S5** occasional oxidation layers, no odor, wet. 7 26 6 11 27 28 29 30 Very loose brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, occasional WOR/12 **S6** 20 oxidation layers, frequent mica fragments, no odor, wet. 31 4 32 33 34 35 WOR/6 Loose brown medium to fine SAND, trace Silt, occasional **S7** 24 oxidation layers, frequent mica fragments, no odor, wet. 3 36 5 37.0 37 Bottom of Exploration at 37' 38 39 40 41 42 43 Notes: COHESIONLESS SOILS COHESIVE SOILS SAMPLE TYPE PROPORTIONS N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 0 -2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20%

10-30 = MEDIUM

30-50 = DENSE

50 + = VERY DENSE

UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON

UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL

some = 20% - 35%

and = 35% - 50%

4-8 = MEDIUM

8 -15 = STIFF

30 + = HARD

3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\(4895B TBLogs.xls)\HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG **HTE-12 Green Hill Road Pit** PROJECT: BORING NO .: SHEET: 1 of 2 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH CONTRACTOR: Great Works Test Boring LOCATION: PROJ. NO: 4895.B FOREMAN: Jeff Lee TF Moran, Inc. E. Adler CLIENT: INSPECTOR: 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 January 28, 2013 205 ± DATE: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: EQUIPMENT: COREBRL. CASING SAMPLER GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS AUGER FIELD TESTING HSA LABORATORY TESTING TYPE SS ELAPSED TIME (HR) 13/8 MONITORING WELL INSTALLED SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 CASING AT (FT) 30 HAMMER WT (LB) 140 DEPTH (FT) 28 PID SCREENING HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION RECOVERY BLOWS STRATUM PID SAMPLE Depth CHANGE NUMBER PER 6 SYMBOL (PPM) (FT) (IN) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) DESCRIPTION 2 3 5 4 Medium dense yellow-brown medium to fine (-) SAND, oxidized, **S1** 23 6 no odor, moist. 6 8 10 8 9 10 Auger cuttings indicate similar to above. 11 12 13 14 15 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace silt, oxidation mottling, S2 18 no odor, moist. 10 16 10 9 17 18 19 20 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace silt, oxidation mottling, 23 S3 no odor, moist. 6 21 6 7 22 COHESIONLESS SOILS **COHESIVE SOILS** SAMPLE TYPE PROPORTIONS N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 0 -2 = VERY SOFT 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4 - 8 = MEDIUM UP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35% and = 35% - 50% UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL 30-50 = DENSE 8 -15 = STIFF

50 + = VERY DENSE

30 + = HARD

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\[4895B TBLogs.xls]HTE 6 (2)

TEST BORING LOG Green Hill Road Pit **HTE-12** PROJECT: BORING NO.: SHEET: 2 of 2 LOCATION: 204 Green Hill Road, Barrington, NH contractor: Great Works Test Boring PROJ. NO: 4895.B FOREMAN: J. Lee INSPECTOR: E. Adler CLIENT: TF Moran, Inc. 2 Cote Lane, Suite 1 Bedford, New Hampshire 03110 (603) 668-1654 DATE: 1/28/2013 **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** 205 ± EQUIPMENT: AUGER CASING SAMPLER COREBRL. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS FIELD TESTING ELAPSED TIME (HR) TYPE HSA SS n LABORATORY TESTING SIZE ID (IN) 2 1/4 1 3/8 CASING AT (FT) MONITORING WELL INSTALLED 30 HAMMER WT (LB) 140 DEPTH (FT) PID SCREENING 28 HAMMER FALL (IN) 30 NO GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED STRATUM SOIL AND ROCK CLASSIFICATION-DESCRIPTION RECOVERY STRATUM Depth CHANGE DESCRIPTION NUMBER SYMBOL (PPM) (FT) BURMISTER SYSTEM (SOIL) U.S. CORPS OF ENGINEERS SYSTEM (ROCK) 22 23 24 25 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace silt, oxidation mottling, 6 **S4** 23 7 no odor, moist. 26 10 12 27 28 29 30 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace (+) silt, oxidation mottling, WOR/6" **S5** 23 no odor, wet. 8 31 9 12 32 33 34 35 Medium dense light brown fine SAND, trace (+) silt, oxidation mottling, WOR/6" **S6** 24 no odor, wet. 4 36 6 37.0 6 37 Bottom of Exploration at 37' 38 39 40 41 42 43 Notes: COHESIONLESS SOILS COHESIVE SOILS SAMPLE TYPE PROPORTIONS 1) TYPE OF RIG: Mobile Drill B-53; Track Mounted N = 0 - 4 = VERY LOOSE 0-2 = VERY SOFT C = ROCK CORE trace = 0% - 10% 2) HAMMER/HOIST TYPE: Safety / Cathead 4-10 = LOOSE 2-4 = SOFTS = SPLIT SPOON little = 10% - 20% 3) Groundsurface Elevations estimated from TFMoran Plan 10-30 = MEDIUM 4-8 = MEDIUMUP = UNDISTURBED PISTON some = 20% - 35%

30-50 = DENSE

50 + = VERY DENSE

V:\4000\48\4895 Barr Gm Hill\4895B Pit Borings\(4895B TBLogs.xls)HTE 6 (2)

8 -15 = STIFF

30 + = HARD

UT = UNDISTURBED THINWALL

and = 35% - 50%



SPEE11

HILL

ROAD

BARRINGTON, N.H.

No Scale

MARCH- 2000

2017 108 HQ

JECT:	. H	IUSSI BARR	EY PI	T ON, NH							HOLE NO. SHEET	1 OF 1	
ATION:	т			SAMPLE	CORE	GROUNE	WATER		DEPTH TO	BOTTOM OF	START DATE	03/06/00	
		CAS		SS	-	DATE	TME	WATER	BOTTOM OF CASING	HOLE	DRALLER	W.Hoeckete	1
	TYPE		SA	1 3/8°	1			237			HELPER	F.Howe	1
	\$07E ID	4	14*	140	1-1					ļ	INSPECTOR		. 1
	MER WT.			30"	1			1	<u></u>	<u></u>			
	CASHG BLOWS FER	SAAP HO.	MAEDE	PERS NOIS	E RESIN.			\$0	AL DESCRIPT	ION			
	FOOR	<u>├</u>		<u></u>	1			opling to 20°					
		1			7	05 .5 - 20	TOPSOIL	m, diry. 11 m. S.	AND				
		-			1								
10.0		-	-			\dashv							
	-	-	1										
	1	-											
		一 ·			_	11							
20.0						_	- aird me	edium dense,	USAND				
		1	20	8-1	-	_O' Gray	, 1110031, 112		•				
]			1	10-	-10	11							
1	-		}	-		-							
	-	_		-		1)							
25.0	<u>r</u>		1	25-27'	4-7	2.0 Ligi	ht brown, v	ret, medium o	dense, mytic Sa	AND, trace light	ive		
	-		2 2		1-21	}					•		
	-												
	-		\			- 11							
1			- }										
30	0.0		3	30-32	3-2	.T \\							
1					1-1] P	ossible sla	nt of clay @ 3	51				
1	-		1			- 11							
			. }			1							
\ ,	35.0								aneri VA In a	sift			
+	2.0		4A	35'-36'	1-2	2.0	Yellow-bro	m, moist, so	H CLAY, trace	eili			
1			48	36-37	1-5	4 ? !			RT CLAY, trace				
}	 -		1 1	1] []				onbadded fin	ic GRAVEL, I	ace (Im sand	`
	+		1 1	39.5-	10/.2	$\int z$	Light brow	rs, wet, very	dense SILT an	d embedded f/n			`
ł			1 .]	39.7	75/0	1 1	Auner Re	fusal					
	- +												
-	40.0'		5	1 30.7			BOTTOM	OF BORING					
-	40,0'		1 5	35.7			Note:		er's Field Log.				

CON-TEC, INC. TEST BORING LOG

LOCATION:

HUSSEY PIT

BARRINGTON, NH

2017 JOH NO HOLE NO. B-2 SHEET 1 QF 1

:WOITA		SARRI									SHEET	03/06/00	- 1
		CASIA	6	SAMPLE	CORE	GROUN	DWATER		BOTTOM OF	BOTTOM OF	START DATE	03/06/00	
				SS		DATE	TIME	WATER	BOTTOM OF CASMG	HOLE	FINISH DATE		
	TYPE	HS		1 3/8°				28'			DRILLER	W.Hoeckele	1
	SIZE ID	4 1/	4-				1				HELPER	F.Howe .	
HAR	ER WI.			140							INSPECTOR		
HAR	RFAL			30°	RECOV.	-				2011			
ree a	CASING ONS PER	NO SA	MATEDEAN	PER NOTES				so	AL DESCRIPT	ION			
	FOOT				٠	<u> </u>						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				T		Drilled w	vithout sam	pling to 20°					
-					7		JIOSPOT						
-				-	1			n, dry, fim SA	AND				
-		1		-	1								
-		1		-	-	11							
10.0		1-1		-	-	11							
-		4			-	}}						i .	
		1 1		-	-	11							
) .			_								
20.0		}				41			004110 4	nac Army till in f	erasional 1/2°	lavers	
		1	20'-27	20-1	2.0	Light 1	brown, dry	medium den	se, I/Sand. U	ace gray silt in (ALLESON NA VIEW		
		7	1	12-1-	4								
			1			11							
		7	ļ][
25.0	-	7	ļ								1.4100 1		
20.0	1-	1 2	25-2	7 19-2	3 2.0	Light	brown, dry	, dense, fISA	ND, trace gray	sitt in occasion	al 1/2 layers		
		d -		21-7	12	11							
	-	-				} }							
1	-	-	}		_	- { }							
	-	\dashv			-	H							
30.0	+		30-	32' 13-	11 2	.or Ligh	al brown, w	et, medium d	ense, I/SAND.	trace silt			
1		_ 3	30-		11 ~								
	_	-	1	10		- 11							
1	-		1			}}							
	_	_		-									
35.0			4						firm dance fi	SAND and SILT			
		4	35	-37	15-6	ونا (2.0	nt gray-bro	wn, wel, med	TITLE OCTOR, N	- n 10 min 4.21			
				8	-11	11							
			}			- 11							
40.0	,		1										
40.0			5 4	7-42	3-5	2.0° U	ght brown,	wet, medium	dense USANI				
	-		1		7-6	11							
į	-		1	1-		B	O MOTTO	RORING					
1			í		,	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Dorane					

HOLE NO. B-3 1 OF 1 HUSSEY PIT SHEET 03/06/00 BARRINGTON, NH START DATE ROJECT: DEPTH TO 03/08/00 LOCATION: BOTTOM OF FINISH DATE GROUNDWATER BOTTOM OF R.B.McGlashan HOLE CORE SMPLE WATER CASHIG DRILLER TOME CASING DATE G.Dean HELPER 30 SS HSA TYPE NEPECTOR 1 3/8 4 114" SUZE ID 140 SOIL DESCRIPTION HUMBER WT. 30° PECOV SAPPLE DEPTH SAMPLE BLONG HAMMER FALL CASAG BLOWG PE Drilled without sampling to 20' POOT O - . B' TOPSOIL .8' - 5' Light brown, dry, fimic SAND, trace ligravel 5' - 20' Light brown, dry, fisaND 10.0 Light brown, dry, dense, HSAND, trace silt in occasional 1/8° layers 2.0 20.0 10-15 20-22 18-17 Light brown, dry, medium dense, (ISAND 2.0 25.0 6-7 25-27 2 7-9

2.0

2.0

6-8

8-10

5-7

10-11

7-8

9-11

30'-32

35-37

40-42

3

4

5

30.0

35.0

40.0

Light brown and light gray, well, medium dense, frSAND, trace sitt in occasional 1/8" layers

Ught brown, wet, medium dense, frSAND

Light brown, well, medium dense, frSAND and SILT

BOTTOM OF BORING

Note: Typed Driffer's Field Log.

HUSSEY PIT

2017 B-4 HOLE NO.

ROJECT:	. н	IUSSEY PIT	.							HOLE NO. SHEET	10F1	1	
LOCATION:	E	BARRINGTO	DN, NH							START DATE	03/06/00	Ì	
		CASING	SMPLE	CORE	GROUND	WATER		DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF	BOTTOM OF	FWISH DATE	03/06/00		
			SS		DATE	TALE	WATER	CASING	HOLF	DRILLER .	R.B.McGlashan	. 1	
	TYPE	HSA	1 3/8'				32.4			HELPER	G.Dean		
	SZE 10	4 1/4"	140	1			 			MEPECTOR			1
Ним			30"			L	<u> </u>	<u></u>		I Comment			1
HAME	R FALL	BMP BMAELEP	SHIPLE BLOW	6 HELOV.			sc	NL DESCRIPT	NON				1
PEEL S	OMS FER FOOT	MO.	The state of the s										7
20.0	FOOT	2 2	5-27' 1	-15	05 - 3 L .5 - 3 L 3 - 20 Light	TOPSOIL. Ight brown Light brown brown, dr	n, dry, f/SAN y, medium de dry, medium d	rise USAND Dense, USAND	, trace silt in oc AND, trace sill in		o 1/2" layers 8" to 1/2" layers		
	40.0	5	40'-42	7-9 13-16	1.7'	BOTTO	M OF BORIN		SAND, trace sit				4:
1					-	Note:	3 ypea Dit						